Supplementary Information

Soil contamination in nearby natural areas mirrors that in urban greenspaces worldwide

Yu-Rong Liu^{1,2*}, Marcel G.A. van der Heijden^{3,4}, Judith Riedo⁴, Carlos Sanz-Lazaro^{5,6}, David J. Eldridge⁷, Felipe Bastida⁸, Eduardo Moreno-Jiménez^{9,10,11}, Xin-Quan Zhou², Hang-Wei Hu¹², Ji-Zheng He¹², José L. Moreno⁸, Sebastian Abades¹³, Fernando Alfaro^{13,14}, Adebola R. Bamigboye¹⁵, Miguel Berdugo¹⁶, José L. Blanco-Pastor¹⁷, Asunción de los Ríos¹⁸, Jorge Duran^{19,20}, Tine Grebenc²¹, Javier G. Illán²², Thulani P. Makhalanyane²³, Marco A. Molina-Montenegro²⁴, Tina U. Nahberger²¹ Gabriel F. Peñaloza-Bojacá²⁵, César Plaza²⁶, Ana Rey¹⁹, Alexandra Rodríguez^{19,20}, Christina Siebe²⁷, Alberto L. Teixido²⁸, Nuria Casado-Coy⁵, Pankaj Trivedi²⁹, Cristian Torres-Díaz³⁰, Jay Prakash Verma³¹, Arpan Mukherjee³¹, Xiao-Min Zeng², Ling Wang³², Jianyong Wang³², Eli Zaady³³, Xiaobing Zhou³⁴, Qiaoyun Huang^{1, 2}, Wenfen Tan^{2,35}, Yong-Guan Zhu³⁶, Matthias C. Rillig^{10,11}, Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo^{37,38*}

¹State Key Laboratory of Agricultural Microbiology, Huazhong Agricultural University, 430070 Wuhan, China

²College of Resources and Environment, Huazhong Agricultural University, 430070 Wuhan, China

³Plant-Soil Interactions, Institute for Sustainability Sciences, Agroscope, Zürich, 8046, Switzerland

⁴Institute of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zürich, Zürich, 8057, Switzerland

⁵Multidisciplinary Institute for Environmental Studies (MIES), University of Alicante, P.O. Box 99, E-03080, Alicante, Spain

⁶Department of Ecology, University of Alicante, PO Box 99, E-03080, Alicante, Spain

⁷Centre for Ecosystem Science, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, New South Wales 2052, Australia

⁸CEBAS-CSIC. Department of Soil and Water Conservation. Campus Universitario de Espinardo, 30100, Murcia, Spain

⁹Department of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, Faculty of Sciences, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, 28049, Madrid, Spain

¹⁰Institute of Biology, Freie Universität Berlin, Berlin, 14195 Germany

¹¹Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced Biodiversity Research (BBIB), Berlin, 14195 Germany

¹²Faculty of Science, The University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Victoria, Australia

¹³GEMA Center for Genomics, Ecology & Environment, Universidad Mayor, Santiago, Chile

¹⁴ Instituto de Ecología y Biodiversidad (IEB), CP 7800003, Santiago, Chile

¹⁵Natural History Museum (Botany Unit), Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria

¹⁶Institute of Integrative Biology, Department of Environment Systems Science, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

¹⁷INRAE, UR4 (URP3F), Centre Nouvelle-Aquitaine-Poitiers, 86600 Lusignan, France

¹⁸Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 115 bis, 28006 Madrid, Spain

¹⁹Misión Biológica de Galicia, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Pontevedra, Spain.

²⁰Centre for Functional Ecology, Department of Life Sciences, University of Coimbra, 3000-456 Coimbra, Portugal

²¹Department of Forest Physiology and Genetics, Slovenian Forestry Institute. Ljubljana, Slovenia
 ²²Department of Entomology, Washington State University, Pullman, WA. 99164 USA

²³Centre for Microbial Ecology and Genomics, Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, DSI/NRF SARChI Chair in Marine Microbiomics, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa, 0028

²⁴Laboratorio de Ecología Integrativa, Instituto de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad de Talca, Talca, Chile, CEAZA, Universidad Católica del Norte, Coquimbo, Chile

²⁵Laboratório de Sistemática Vegetal, Departamento de Botânica, Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Av. Antônio Carlos, 6627, Pampulha, Belo Horizonte, 31270-901, MG, Brazil

²⁶Instituto de Ciencias Agrarias, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas, Serrano 115 bis, 28006 Madrid, Spain

²⁷Instituto de Geología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, México D.F. CP 04510, México.

²⁸Departamento de Botânnica e Ecologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso, Av. Fernando Corrêa, 2367, Boa Esperança, Cuiabá, 78060-900, MT, Brazil

²⁹Microbiome Network and Department of Agricultural Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, 80523, CO, USA

³⁰Grupo de Biodiversidad y Cambio Global (BCG), Departamento de Ciencias. Básicas, Universidad del Bío-Bío, Campus Fernando May, Chillán, Chile

³¹Institute of Environment and Sustainable Development, Banaras Hindu University, Varanasi-221005, Uttar Pradesh, India

³²Institute of Grassland Science/School of Life Science, Northeast Normal University, and Key Laboratory of Vegetation Ecology, Ministry of Education, Changchun, Jilin 130024, China

³³Department of Natural Resources, Agricultural Research Organization, Institute of Plant Sciences, Gilat Research Center, Mobile Post Negev, 8531100, Israel

³⁴State Key Laboratory of Desert and Oasis Ecology, Xinjiang Institute of Ecology and Geography, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Urumqi, China

³⁵State Environmental Protection Key Laboratory of Soil Health and Green Remediation, Wuhan 430000, China

³⁶State Key Laboratory of Urban and Regional Ecology, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

³⁷Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS), CSIC, Av. Reina Mercedes 10, E-41012, Sevilla, Spain.

³⁸Departamento de Sistemas Físicos, Químicos y Naturales, Universidad Pablo de Olavide, 41013 Sevilla, Spain

Correspondence to: Yu-Rong Liu; Email: yrliu@mail.hzau.edu.cn; Manuel Delgado-Baquerizo; Email: m.delgadobaquerizo@gmail.com

This PDF file includes:

Supplementary Method Supplementary Figures 1 to 18 Supplementary Tables 1 to 9

Supplementary Method: Number of soil contaminants over a threshold

We calculated the number of soil contaminants over four thresholds (e.g., 25%, 50%, 75% and 90%) of maximum contaminant levels acorrding to a similar menthod from previous studies ^{1,2}. This approach assumes that when a number of soil contaminants (i.e., metal(loid)s, pesticides, microplastics and antibiotic resistance genes) pass high number of contaminanants (e.g., >75%) (i.e., vs. maximum values), this can negatively impact soil mrobial functional traits. Specifically, we first standardized all individual soil contaminant (i.e., metal(loid)s). Then, we calculated the number of the contaminants passing over these four thresholds. Finally, we established relationships between the number of soil contaminants passing different thresholds and the relative abundance of the selected functional genes.



Figure S1. Locations and sampling strategies of the paired natural and urban areas worldwide. Panel A, the sites where soils were used for analyses of contaminants including metal(loid)s, pesticides, microplasitcs (MPs), and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) with different colors. Panel B, subsets of the municipalities where soil microbial traits were determined using shotgun sequencing. Red circles represent the locations with microbial analyses (shotgun megetagenomic sequencing), while white ones are the locations without microbial analyses. Panel C, a diagram of sampling design. The number of samples analyzed for each analyses differs due to sample availabilityand resource limitation as explaine in Method. In all cases, these subsets of samples were selected to cover the entire biogeographic range along with contrasting environmental conditions. The maps in figure A and B were generated using ArcGIS 10.2 software, and figure C was drawn in online Biorender (https://www.biorender.com/) using available elements in the platform.



Figure S2. Locations of the three remote ecosystems from maritime Antarctica. The map was generated using ArcGIS 10.2 software.



Figure S3. The occurrence of soil contaminants in urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas. Panel A, soil contents of the individual metal(loid)s (n =112): mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni) and chromium (Cr), the average occurrence of multiple metal(loid)s and multi-contamination (see Methods). Panel B, soil contents of all pesticides, one specific herbicide (Atrazine + transformation products), and the assessed fungicides, herbicides and insecticides (n = 54). Panel C, the total content of microplastics (MPs) and percentages of major shapes and polymer types (n = 64). Panel D, proportions of total and selected antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) (n = 112). Asterisks within each panel indicate significant differences between ecosystems based on nested PERMANOVA analyses using a block design as described in the Methods section. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. ns = not significant (see Table S4 for the statistical summary).



Figure S4. Spearman correlations between contaminants in the soil from urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas. Metal(loid)s contain mercury (Hg), arsenic (As), copper (Cu), cadmium (Cd), lead (Pb), zinc (Zn), nickel (Ni) and chromium (Cr). The size of the square represents the strength of the relationship when significant. MPs, microplasitcs; ARGs, antibiotic resistance genes; FCA, fluoroquinolone, quinolone, florfenicol, chloramphenicol and amphenicol; MLSB, macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin B. Statistically non-significant correlations (P >0.05) are shown in white.



Figure S5. Distributions of metal(loid)s, pesticide residues, microplasitcs (MPs), and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in topsoils of natural areas and urban greenspaces. Metal(loid) indices were calculated by averaging the standardized metal(loid) contents (see Methods). White columns show the distribution of metal(loid)s in natural areas, while color columns show those in urban greenspaces.



Figure S6. Relationships between the number of contaminants operating above a threshold (measured as % respect to maximum values of contaminants) and relative abundance of the seletced genes. These genes are associated with environmental stress resistance, nutrient cycling, and pathogenesis, and detailed gene category is shownin Supplementary Table 9.



Figure S7. Comparisons of soil contaminants between the Antarctica and urban greenspaces. Asterisks indicate significant differences using ANOVA (detailed statistical test is shown in Figure S8). Panel A, heavy metals; Panel B, pesticides; Panel C, microplastics (MPs), Panel D, antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs). Bootstrap was performed to randomly take 100 times of 3 samples from 56 urban ecosystems for a comparison with 3 samples from Antarctica. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01. ns = not significant.



Figure S8. Histograms showing the probability of the differences in soil contaminants between the Antarctica and urban greenspaces (from Figure S7). Panel A, heavy metals; Panel B, pesticides; Panel C, microplastics (MPs), Panel D, antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs). Bootstrap was performed to randomly take 100 times of 3 samples from 56 urban ecosystems for a comparison with 3 samples from Antarctica. The probability (i.e., P-value) ≥ 0.05 represents insignificant differences of soil contaminants between the Antarctica and urban greenspaces.



Figure S9. Percentages of polymer type and shape of the microplasitcs (MPs) in the soil of urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas. PP, polypropylene; HDPE, high-density polyethylene; LDPE, low-density polyethylene.



Figure S10. The percentages of other polymer type and shape of soil MPs in urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas (n = 64). Asterisks within each panel indicate significant differences between ecosystems based on nested PERMANOVA analyses using a block design as described in the Methods section. ns = not significant.



Figure S11. Relative abundances and the richness of remaining antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) in the soil of natural areas and urban greenspaces (n = 56). Asterisks indicate significant differences in nested PERMANOVA analyses using a block design as described in the Method section. *P < 0.05. ns = not significant.



Figure S12. Total standardized effects (direct plus indirect) of different factors on four types of contaminants from the structural equation modelling (Figure 2A in main text). MPs, microplasitcs; ARGs, antibiotic resistance genes; HDI, human development index; GDP, gross domestic product. Urban indicates urban greenspaces, and plant represents plant cover. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; SOC, soil organic carbon; TP, total phosphorus; TN, total nitrogen. Urban, urban greenspaces; Plant, plant cover.



Figure S13. Heatmap showing correlations (Spearman) between soil contaminants and socioeconomic and environmental factors. Statistically non-significant correlations (P > 0.05) are shown in white. MPs, microplasitcs; ARGs, antibiotic resistance genes; HDI, human development index; GDP, gross domestic product. Urban indicates urban greenspaces, and plant represents plant cover. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; SOC, soil organic carbon; TP, total phosphorus; TN, total nitrogen. Urban, urban greenspaces; Plant, plant cover.



Figure S14. The relationship between human development index (HDI), gross domestic product (GDP).



Figure S15. The genes are associated with the transport of metal(loid)s in the soils of urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas. Asterisks indicate significant differences in nested PERMANOVA analyses using a block design as described in the Method section. **P < 0.01.



Figure S16. Relationships between soil contaminants and selected microbial functional genes. These functional genes are associated with environmental stress, pathogenicity, nutrient cycling and microbial metabolisms (see Suppmentary Table 9), which were annotated according to BacMet and MG-RAST using metagenomic data (see details in Methods).



Figure S17. Spearman correlations between soil pesticide residues and the proportions of the selected genes associated with element cycles. Statistically non-significant correlations (P > 0.05) are shown in white.



#	Associations	Rationale							
1	Socio-economic	Socio-economic development is known to associated with							
	\rightarrow	releases of contaminants. For example, high population							
	Soil contaminants	density and GPD are reported to be positively correlated							
		with levels of contaminants in surrounding soils.							
2	Land management	Land management influences the accumulation of soil							
	\rightarrow	contaminants. For example, fertilization and irrigation are							
	Soil contaminants	known to be important sources of microplastics, ARGs,							
		metal(loid)s and pesticides. Mowing can affect soil							
		processes including transfer of contaminants.							
3	Climate	Climate is known to influence the aerial transport of							
	\rightarrow	contaminants. For example, increases in MAP can lead to							
	Soil contaminants	enhanced soil contamination via wet deposition.							
4	Plant	Plant has interception effect on the contaminants from							
	\rightarrow	atmospheric deposition, and thus mitigate contaminant							
	Soil contaminants	accumulation in soil.							
5	Soil	Soil organic matter can bind to contaminants. Also, soil							
	\rightarrow	nutrients can influence soil microbes and thus microbial							
	Contaminants	transformation of contaminants							
6	Socio-economic	Socio-economic development is known to have							
	\rightarrow	disturbances on plants. For example, increased industry							
	Plant	and human activities can adversely influence plant							
		growth.							

		~
7	Climate	Climate regulates plant attributes. For example, MAP and
	\rightarrow	MAT is expected to be positively associated with plant
	Plant	cover and richness.
8	Socio-economic	Lots of nutrients released from industries and domestic
	\rightarrow	sewage could enhance their level in surrounding
	Soil	environments. For example, socio-economic development
		is known to positive associated with the levels of soil C,
		N and P.
9	Climate \rightarrow Soil	Climate influences soil chemical properties. For example,
		increases in humidity (e.g. tropical rainforest) are known
		to be positively associated with soil nutrients. MAT is
		expected to have a positive effect on soil C.
10	Land management	Management practices such as fertilization, irrigation, and
	\rightarrow	mowing could directly and indirectly influence soil
	Soil	fertility.
11	$Plant \rightarrow Soil$	Plants are known to be closely associated with multiple
		soil properties. For example, increased plant cover is
		known to promote soil nutrients via litterfall.

Figure S18. A *priori* model identifying associations of socio-economic and environmental factors with contaminants in the soils of surveyed sites worldwide. The examined variables include socio-economic (population size and density, human development index (HDI), gross domestic product (GDP)) and (climate, plant and soil) accounting for different management practices (irrigated, mowed and fertilized). Urban indicates urban greenspaces, and plant represents plant cover. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation; SOC, soil organic carbon; TP, total phosphorus; TN, total nitrogen. Urban, urban greenspaces; Plant, plant cover.

Location	City	Landuse	Latitude (°)	Longitude (°)	TN (%)	TP (%)	SOC (g kg ⁻¹)	рН	Salinity (g kg ⁻¹)	Soil type	Vegetation	Climate
1	Tonghua City, Jilin,	Natural	41.7353	126.0447	0.57	0.05	13.24	5.72	171.36	Haplic Luvisols	Forest	Continental
	China	Urban	41.7394	125.9428	0.44	0.04	15.69	5.07	209.57			
2	Baishan City, Jilin,	Natural	42.2753	127.4425	0.89	0.06	19.08	4.67	369.82	Haplic Luvisols	Grassland	Continental
	China	Urban	42.1753	127.5003	0.2	0.1	3.49	6.36	122.78			
3	Yanji City, Jilin,	Natural	42.8406	129.4636	0.66	0.06	13.7	6.58	229.83	Haplic Luvisols	Forest	Continental
	China	Urban	42.9108	129.49	0.16	0.02	3.74	6.52	81.58			
4	Dunhua City, Jilin,	Natural	43.3389	128.2375	0.4	0.04	8.86	5.31	104.21	Haplic Phaeozems	Forest	Continental
	China	Urban	43.3808	128.2242	0.54	0.05	11.64	4.85	212.82			
5	Jilin City, Jilin,	Natural	43.8778	126.6067	0.69	0.07	14.81	6.58	217.27	Haplic Luvisols	Shrubland	Continental
	China	Urban	43.8425	126.5231	0.33	0.04	7.69	5.54	141.44			
6	Santiago, Metropolitan Region,	Natural	-33.3822	-70.9635	0.27	0.07	4.69	7.95	302.36	Luvic Calcisols	Forest	Temperate
	Chile	Urban	-33.3739	-70.6074	0.33	0.09	5.14	7.99	382.92			
7	Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais State, Brazil	Natural	-19.2689	-43.585	0.09	0.01	1.39	5.09	46.24	Ferralic Cambisols	Grassland	Tropical
	Diulii	Urban	-19.8737	-43.9726	0.15	0.03	4.43	7	119.76			
8	Contagem, Minas Gerais State,	Natural	-19.2653	-43.5836	0.09	0.01	1.45	5.1	50.39	Ferralic Cambisols	Forest	Tropical
	Brazil	Urban	-19.9399	-44.039	0.19	0.05	4.89	7.88	155.6			
9	Betim, Minas Gerais State,	Natural	-19.2944	-43.6058	0.2	0.01	3.52	4.38	59.05	Ferralic Cambisols	Grassland	Tropical
	Brazil	Urban	-19.9392	-44.1845	0.09	0.01	2	5.77	53.03			
10	Longmont, CO, USA	Natural	40.242	-105.212	0.15	0.02	2.7	6.13	135.66	Albic Luvisols	Grassland	Arid
		Urban	40.1614	-105.121	0.42	0.07	9.11	7.59	561.69			
11	Grand Junction, CO, USA	Natural	39.1904	-109.115	0.18	0.03	2.23	8.57	491.34	Eutric Fluvisols	Grassland	Arid
		Urban	39.1126	-108.608	0.26	0.07	3.89	8.62	222.45			

Table S1. Detailed information on geographical coordinates of sampling sites and soil physichimcal properties in 56 locations across the globe.

12	Cheyenne, WY, USA	Natural	41.3159	-104.827	0.15	0.02	2.76	7.46	70.37	Luvic Kastanozems	Grassland	Arid
		Urban	41.156	-104.831	0.33	0.04	5.69	7.95	188.83	Rastanozems		
13	South Lyon, MI, USA	Natural	42.4451	-83.4423	0.32	0.03	5.11	7.98	139.94	Orthic Luvisols	Grassland	Continental
		Urban	42.4394	-83.6751	0.25	0.03	4.66	7.84	98.26			
14	Oxford, England, UK	Natural	53.0798	-2.1869	0.64	0.06	10.77	7.44	224.29	Dystric Gleysols	Grassland	Temperate
		Urban	53.0798	-2.1869	0.61	0.08	12.52	7.81	163.96	·		
15	Bodø, Norway	Natural	67.3018	14.3901	0.73	0.06	14.79	5.35	122.84	Gleyic Arenosols	Grassland	Continental
		Urban	67.2795	14.3976	0.73	0.12	13.8	7.08	289.15			
16	Uppsala, Sweden	Natural	59.8521	17.5977	0.76	0.06	25.33	5.23	172.16	Dystric Cambisols	Grassland	Continental
		Urban	59.8574	17.6403	0.71	0.11	18.83	6.47	633.84			
17	Poitiers, France	Natural	46.5069	0.3717	0.19	0.01	5.26	5.46	71.05	Eutric Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	46.5756	0.3353	0.52	0.14	9.56	7.76	232.44			
18	Niort, France	Natural	46.3039	-0.6844	0.65	0.09	12.01	7.81	281.24	Gleyic Solonchaks	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	46.325	-0.4672	0.33	0.15	5.63	7.79	147.37			
19	Tours, France	Natural	47.3356	0.7514	0.48	0.02	11.35	4.46	82.4	Haplic Luvisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	47.3964	0.6772	0.19	0.05	3.45	7.76	103.26			
20	Ljubljana, Slovenia	Natural	46.0522	14.4783	0.44	0.05	11.24	4.15	100.42	Dystric Cambisols	Forest	Continental
		Urban	46.0519	14.4799	0.39	0.05	6.9	7.1	191.67			
21	Koper, Slovenia	Natural	45.5261	13.7172	0.54	0.05	14.1	7.62	245.27	Calcaric Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	45.5444	13.7312	0.58	0.07	12.46	7.47	275.87			
22	Maribor, Slovenia	Natural	46.5809	15.6363	0.27	0.03	6.3	5.46	92.72	Eutric Cambisols	Forest	Continental
		Urban	46.5652	15.6488	0.4	0.1	6.18	7.37	148.33			
23	Pretoria, South Africa	Natural	-25.7879	28.203	0.32	0.05	6.51	6.01	127.16	Lithic Leptosols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	-25.7618	28.2203	0.32	0.12	5.94	6.66	258.81			
24	Germiston, South	Natural	-26.4834	28.2116	0.55	0.06	11.51	5.15	265.67	Lithic Leptosols	Grassland	Temperate

	Africa											
		Urban	-26.1643	28.131	0.39	0.07	6.06	6.35	186.29			
25	Cape Town, South Africa	Natural	-33.3338	18.2729	0.08	0.02	1.87	8.03	900.06	Albic Arenosols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	-33.904	18.402	0.55	0.09	11.46	7.93	303.11			
26	Durgapur, West Bengal, India	Natural	23.535	87.338	0.07	0.01	1.74	6.18	57	Orthic Luvisols	Forest	Tropical
		Urban	23.535	87.338	0.12	0.01	2.19	6.51	101.33			
27	Mirzapur, Uttar Pradesh, India	Natural	25.1461	82.569	0.08	0.01	1.47	6.01	53.67	Orthic Luvisols	Grassland	Temperate
		Urban	25.1461	82.569	0.24	0.16	5.07	8.14	95			
28	Agra, Uttar Pradesh, India	Natural	27.1752	78.0098	0.13	0.07	1.52	8.35	105	Eutric Cambisols	Grassland	Arid
		Urban	27.1752	78.0098	0.15	0.08	1.87	8.36	404			
29	Beijing, China	Natural	40.0726	116.182	0.19	0.07	3.22	8.22	236.1	Calcaric Fluvisols	Forest	Continental
		Urban	40.0103	116.3886	0.2	0.05	2.51	8.28	165.7			
30	Tai'an, Shandong, China	Natural	36.208	117.1102	0.52	0.03	7.79	5.19	231.21	Haplic Luvisols	Forest	Continental
		Urban	36.2218	117.0188	0.17	0.04	3.45	7.67	161.55			
31	Tianjin, China	Natural	39.0753	117.1478	0.2	0.05	3.46	8.32	172.95	Calcic Gleysols	Forest	Continental
		Urban	39.0846	117.6862	0.14	0.06	2.84	8.41	156.9			
32	Ürümqi, Xinjiang, China	Natural	43.8342	88.0452	1.18	0.08	35.96	6.66	699.43	Eutric Leptosols	Grassland	Arid
		Urban	43.8308	87.657	0.75	0.09	10.13	8.27	337.77			
33	Alice Springs, Northern Territory, Australia	Natural	-23.8255	133.3547	0.08	0.01	1.93	6.24	57.16	Lithosols	Forest	Arid
		Urban	-23.7083	133.8746	0.24	0.06	3.42	8.53	237.69			
34	Brisbane, Queensland, Australia	Natural	-27.4922	153.4294	0.19	0	2.09	4.95	35.67	Orthic Podzols	Grassland	Temperate
		Urban	-27.4984	153.016	0.58	0.09	11.49	6.59	172.91			
35	Mildura, Victoria,	Natural	-34.1802	142.1729	0.59	0.04	8.44	6.72	312.85	Chromic	Grassland	Arid

	Australia									Vertisols		
		Urban	-34.1854	142.1696	0.51	0.04	9.99	7.97	280.9			
36	Cecil Hills, New South Wales,	Natural	-33.8812	150.8546	0.45	0.05	11.49	6.44	152.17	Chromic Luvisols	Grassland	Temperate
	Australia	Urban	-33.8814	150.8533	0.46	0.09	8.75	6.97	165.9			
37	Heathcote, New South Wales,	Natural	-34.0721	151.0012	0.13	0	8.22	4.59	112.21	Orthic Acrisols	Grassland	Temperate
	Australia	Urban	-34.0822	151.0126	0.16	0.04	4	6.92	184.76			
38	Barcelona, Catalunya, Spain	Natural	41.4768	2.1475	0.56	0.05	11.07	6.65	364.94	Calcaric Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	41.416	2.1507	0.3	0.05	6.43	7.77	323.1			
39	Pullman, Washington, USA	Natural	46.7255	-116.923	0.35	0.06	4.43	6.25	195.84	Luvic Phaeozems	Shrubland	Continental
		Urban	46.7401	-117.175	0.52	0.08	8.51	6.89	343.48			
40	Corvallis, Oregon, USA	Natural	44.4965	-123.338	0.3	0.05	13.25	5.44	214.7	Humic Acrisols	Grassland	Temperate
		Urban	44.5304	-123.26	0.59	0.06	63.23	5.16	432.51			
41	Coyoacán, Mexico City, Mexico	Natural	19.603	-99.478	1.29	0.11	35.58	5.92	198.85	Eutric Regosols	Shrubland	Temperate
		Urban	19.3106	-99.1831	1.4	0.08	27.76	5.89	211.77			
42	Tlalpan, Mexico City, Mexico	Natural	19.6013	-99.4759	1.07	0.08	29.78	7	129.57	Eutric Regosols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	19.2943	-99.1939	0.5	0.05	11.27	6.78	178.35			
43	Miguel Hidalgo, Mexico City,	Natural	19.081	-99.1701	0.98	0.08	25.06	5.27	138.3	Eutric Regosols	Forest	Temperate
	Mexico	Urban	19.4188	-99.1863	0.59	0.12	12.05	7.52	190.38			
44	Madrid, La Comunidad de Madrid.	Natural	40.5722	-4.1533	0.32	0.06	5.95	6.4	310.39	Dystric Regosols	Forest	Arid
	Spain	Urban	40.4106	-3.6903	0.15	0.04	3.42	8.63	211.43			
45	Esa-Odo, Osun state, Nigeria	Natural	7.757	4.828	0.2	0.01	3.09	5.59	116.87	Ferric Luvisols	Forest	Tropical
	-	Urban	7.756	4.811	0.12	0.02	1.34	5.12	178.57			
46	Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife,	Natural	7.616	4.414	0.2	0.02	3.81	5.82	122.62	Ferric Luvisols	Forest	Tropical

Osun state, Nigeria

		Urban	7.517	4.528	0.2	0.02	3.7	6.82	139.05			
47	Ife city, Osun state, Nigeria	Natural	7.615	4.385	0.25	0.02	4.55	6.1	69.51	Ferric Luvisols	Shrubland	Tropical
	0	Urban	7.492	4.585	0.1	0.02	1.82	7.98	151.15			
48	Lakeland, Florida, USA	Natural	28.296	-81.0404	0.08	0.01	1.19	6.87	64.08	Gleyic Podzols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	28.0404	-81.9666	0.19	0.02	1.86	8.26	237.8			
49	Sebring, Florida, USA	Natural	27.4712	-81.5303	0.11	0	1.9	7.17	76.52	Gleyic Podzols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	27.4834	-81.4212	0.08	0.01	1.82	7.67	165.53			
50	Punta Gorda, Florida, USA	Natural	26.9093	-82.0678	0.05	0.02	0.78	7.41	101.21	Dystric Gleysols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	26.9335	-82.0562	0.03	0.03	0.26	7.31	201.04			
51	Utrera, Sevilla, Spain	Natural	37.2011	-5.8445	0.29	0.01	1.74	5.71	88.73	Calcaric Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	37.1929	-5.7666	0.13	0.07	2.14	8.21	119.8			
52	Coimbra, Portugal	Natural	40.0956	-8.4935	0.26	0.03	10.4	6.11	77.58	Calcaric Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	40.2052	-8.4206	0.06	0.02	1.24	8.31	58.59			
53	Porto, Portugal	Natural	41.1572	-8.485	1.56	0.06	47.97	3.98	352.1	Humic Cambisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	41.1672	-8.679	0.33	0.05	6.7	5.39	216.43			
54	Jerusalem, Israel	Natural	31.752	35.1292	0.15	0.02	3.12	7.93	140.21	Chromic Luvisols	Forest	Temperate
		Urban	31.7701	35.2235	0.6	0.18	12.44	8.02	423.47			
55	Be'er Sheva, Israel	Natural	31.2493	34.7415	0.15	0.03	1.51	8.44	135.03	Calcic Yermosols	Forest	Arid
		Urban	31.2347	34.7927	0.12	0.03	2	8.63	192.4			
56	Ofakim, Israel	Natural	31.2711	34.6503	0.08	0.02	1.06	8.6	92.61	Calcaric Regosols	Forest	Arid
		Urban	31.3095	34.6307	0.13	0.04	2.63	8.38	358.32			

Location	Metal(loid)s	ARGs	Pesticides	MPs
1	Yes	Yes	No	No
2	Yes	Yes	No	No
3	Yes	Yes	No	No
4	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
5	Yes	Yes	No	No
б	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
7	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
8	Yes	Yes	No	No
9	Yes	Yes	No	No
10	Yes	Yes	No	No
11	Yes	Yes	No	No
12	Yes	Yes	No	No
13	Yes	Yes	No	No
14	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
15	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
16	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
17	Yes	Yes	No	No
18	Yes	Yes	No	No
19	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
20	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
21	Yes	Yes	No	No
22	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
23	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
24	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
25	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
26	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
27	Yes	Yes	No	No
28	Yes	Yes	No	No
29	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
30	Yes	Yes	No	No
31	Yes	Yes	No	No
32	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
33	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
34	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
35	Yes	Yes	No	No
36	Yes	Yes	No	No
37	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
38	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
39	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
40	Yes	Yes	No	No

Table S2. The soil contaminants including metal(loid)s, pesticides, microplastics (MPs), and antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) determined in different sites worldwide. Yes and No indicates that the soil contaminants were determined or not in the location, respectively.

41	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
42	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
43	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
44	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
45	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
46	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
47	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
48	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
49	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
50	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
51	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
52	Yes	Yes	No	No
53	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
54	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
55	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
56	Yes	Yes	Yes	No

Gene Name	Туре	Gene Classification	Resistance Mechanism
16S rRNA	16S rRNA	16S rRNA	Internal control
aac	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aacC1	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aacC2	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aacC4	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')I1	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aacA/aphD	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')-Iy	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')-II	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aacC	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')-Ib-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')-Ib-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA5-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA5-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aac(6')-Ib-03	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA1	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA2-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA2-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadD	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA2-03	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA9-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA9-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadE	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
spcN-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
spcN-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA-1-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aph6ia	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aph(2')-Id-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aph(2')-Id-01	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aph	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aphA1	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
aadA-1-02	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
str	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
strA	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
strB	ARG	Aminoglycoside	deactivate
ampC-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-04	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-05	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-06	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-07	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC-09	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
ampC/blaDHA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate

Table S3. A list of antibiotic resistance genes (ARGs) mobile genetic elements (MGEs) considered in this study.

bla1	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
bla-ACC-1	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCMY	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCMY2-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCMY2-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-03	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-04	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-05	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaCTX-M-06	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaGES	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaIMP-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaIMP-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
bla-L1	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaMOX/blaCMY	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOCH	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOKP	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOXA1/blaOXA30	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOXA10-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOXA10-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaOXY	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaPAO	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaPER	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaPSE	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaROB	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaSFO	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaSHV-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaSHV-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaTEM	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaTLA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaVEB	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaVIM	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
blaZ	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
cepA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
cfiA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
cfxA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
cphA-01	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
cphA-02	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
fox5	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
mecA	ARG	Beta Lactamase	protection
NDMI	ARG	Beta Lactamase	deactivate
pbp	ARG	Beta Lactamase	protection
pbp2x	ARG	Beta Lactamase	protection
Роро	ARG	Beta Lactamase	protection
penA	AKG	Beta Lactamase	protection
carB	ARG	MLSB	erflux
ereA	AKG	MI SD MI SD	deactivate
ereB	AKG	MLSB MLSB	deactivate
$\operatorname{erm}(54)$	AKG	MI SD MI SD	protection
enn(33)	AKU	WILSD	protection

erm(36)	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermA	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermA/ermTR	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermB	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermC	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermF	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermJ/ermD	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermK-01	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermK-02	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermT-01	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermT-02	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermX	ARG	MLSB	protection
ermY	ARG	MLSB	protection
lmrA-01	ARG	MLSB	efflux
lnuA-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
lnuB-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
lnuB-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
lnuC	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
matA/mel	ARG	MLSB	efflux
mdtA	ARG	MLSB	efflux
mefA	ARG	MLSB	efflux
mphA-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
mphA-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
mphB	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
mphC	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
msrA-01	ARG	MLSB	efflux
msrC-01	ARG	MLSB	efflux
oleC	ARG	MLSB	efflux
pikR1	ARG	MLSB	protection
pikR2	ARG	MLSB	protection
vatB-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vatB-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vatC-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vatC-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vatE-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vatE-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vgaA-01	ARG	MLSB	efflux
vgaA-02	ARG	MLSB	efflux
vgb-01	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
vgbB-01	ARG	MLSB	efflux
vgbB-02	ARG	MLSB	deactivate
sul2	ARG		protection
sull	ARG		protection
sulA/folP-01	ARG		protection
sulA/IOIP-05	AKG	Suitonamide	protection
	AKG	Suitonamide	deactivate
arrA12	ARG	Sulfonamide	deactivate
101A	AKG		ueactivate
tet(32)	AKG		protection
tet(34)	AKG	Tetracycnne	unknown
lel(33)	AKU	retracycline	unknown

tat(36) 01	APG	Tetracycline	protection
tot(30)-01	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tet(30)-02	ARG	Tetracycline	unknown
tet(37)	ARO	Tetracycline	offlux
tetA-01	ARG	Tetracycline	offlux
tetP 01	ARG	Tetracycline	offlux
tetD 01	ARG	Tetra evoline	efflux
tetC 01	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetC 02	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetC-02	ARG		efflux
tetD-01	ARG		efflux
tetD-02	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetE	ARG		erriux
tetG-01	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetG-02	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetH	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetJ	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetK	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetL-01	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetL-02	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetM-01	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetM-02	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetO-01	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetPA	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetPB-01	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetPB-02	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetPB-03	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetPB-05	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetQ	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetR-02	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetR-03	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetS	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetT	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetU-01	ARG	Tetracycline	unknown
tetV	ARG	Tetracycline	efflux
tetW-01	ARG	Tetracycline	protection
tetX	ARG	Tetracycline	unknown
vanA	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanB-01	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanC-01	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanC-02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanC-03	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanC1	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanC2/vanC3	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanG	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanHB	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanHD	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanRA-01	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanRA-02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanRB	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanRC	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
vanRC4	ARG	Vancomycin	protection

	vanRD	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanSA	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanSR	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanSC-01	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanSC-01	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanSC-02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanTC 02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanTC-02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanTC	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
		ARG	Vancomycin	protection
		ARG	Vancomych	protection
		ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	VallAA	ARG		protection
	vanXB	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	vanXD	ARG	vancomycin	protection
	van YB	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	van YD-01	ARG	vancomycin	protection
	vanYD-02	ARG	Vancomycin	protection
	acrA-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrA-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrA-03	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrA-04	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrB-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrR-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrR-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	adeA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrA-05	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	acrF	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	ceoA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	cmeA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	cmr	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	emrD	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	marR-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mdetl1	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mdtE/yhiU	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mepA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mexA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mexD	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mexE	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mexF	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mtrC-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mtrC-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mtrD-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	mtrD-03	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	oprD	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	oprJ	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	pmrA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	putative multidrug	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	qac	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	qacA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	qacA/qacB	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
	qacEdelta1-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
-				

qacEdelta1-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
qacH-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
qacH-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
rarD-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
sdeB	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
tolC-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
tolC-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
tolC-03	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
ttgA	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
ttgB	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yceE/mdtG-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yceE/mdtG-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yceL/mdtH-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yceL/mdtH-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yceL/mdtH-03	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yidY/mdtL-01	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
yidY/mdtL-02	ARG	Multidrug	efflux
fabK	ARG	other	deactivate
imiR	ARG	other	unknown
nisB	ARG	other	unknown
speA	ARG	other	unknown
bacA-01	ARG	other	deactivate
bacA-02	ARG	other	deactivate
fosB	ARG	other	deactivate
fosX	ARG	other	deactivate
nimE	ARG	other	unknown
pncA	ARG	other	unknown
sat4	ARG	other	deactivate

		Df	Mean	F	\mathbb{R}^2	Pr(>F)
Multi-	Landuca	1	0.007	1 21	0.02	0.15
contamination	Dariduala	1	0.007	1.51	0.05	0.15
	Total	40	1.00	0.97		
M-+-1(1-:-1)-	Total	4/	1.00	250	0.02	0.01
Metal(101d)s	Landuse	1	0.05	3.56	0.03	0.01
	Residuals	110	0.01	0.97		
	l otal	111	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.40
As	Landuse	1	620	0.24	0.00	0.48
	Residuals	110	2541	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00	1.0.7	0.01	0.40
Cd	Landuse	1.00	0.13	1.25	0.01	0.10
	Residuals	110	0.10	0.99		
-	Total	111	1.00			
Pb	Landuse	1	2142	1.78	0.02	0.10
	Residuals	110	1205	0.98		
_	Total	111	1.00			
Cr	Landuse	1	3026	1.13	0.01	0.06
	Residuals	110	2668	0.99		
	Total	111	1.00			
Cu	Landuse	1	3597	5.90	0.05	0.00
	Residuals	110	609	0.95		
	Total	111	1.00			
Ni	Landuse	1	89.2	0.26	0.00	0.36
	Residuals	110	346	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Zn	Landuse	1	138962	6.64	0.06	0.00
	Residuals	110	20934	0.94		
	Total	111	1.00			
Hg	Landuse	1	46200	4.81	0.04	0.01
	Residuals	110	9603	0.96		
	Total	111	1.00			
Pesticides	Landuse	1	169	0.04	0.00	0.64
	Residuals	52	4136	1.00		
	Total	53	1.00			
Atrazine	Landuse	1	70.88	0.83	0.02	0.17
	Residuals	52	85.04	0.98		
	Total	53	1.00			
Fungicide	Landuse	1	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.99
	Residuals	52	196	1.00		
	Total	53	1.00			
Herbicide	Landuse	1	96.5	0.52	0.01	0.19

Table S4. A statistical summary of nest-PERMANOVA of soil contaminants between paired urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas across the globe.

	Residuals	52	186	0.99		
	Total	53	1.00			
Insecticide	Landuse	1	490	0.18	0.00	0.13
	Residuals	52	2801	1.00		
	Total	53	1.00			
MPs	Landuse	1	1.30	2.39	0.04	0.06
	Residuals	62	0.54	0.96		
	Total	63	1.00			
Fragments	Landuse	1	16.3	0.02	0.00	0.87
	Residuals	62	820	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
Films	Landuse	1	149	0.41	0.01	0.57
	Residuals	62	362	0.99		
	Total	63	1.00			
Fibers	Landuse	1	67.1	0.07	0.00	0.81
	Residuals	62	967	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
PP	Landuse	1	162	0.21	0.00	0.66
	Residuals	62	763.	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
Polyester	Landuse	1	64.5	0.09	0.00	0.74
	Residuals	62	704	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
HDPE	Landuse	1	0.52	0.01	0.00	1.00
	Residuals	62	54.4	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
LDPE	Landuse	1	15.8	0.05	0.00	0.84
	Residuals	62	333	1.00		
	Total	63	1.00			
ARG	Landuse	1	0.02	0.22	0.00	0.62
	Residuals	110	0.08	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Multidrug	Landuse	1	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.79
	Residuals	110	0.04	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
MLSB_abundance	Landuse	1	0.00	1.03	0.01	0.40
	Residuals	110	0.00	0.99		
	Total	111	1.00			
Tetracycline	Landuse	1	0.00	0.29	0.00	0.59
	Residuals	110	0.00	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Vancomycin	Landuse	1	0.00	0.16	0.00	0.68
	Residuals	110	0.00	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			

Aminoglycoside	Landuse	1	0.00	0.02	0.00	0.84
	Residuals	110	0.00	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Beta_Lactamase	Landuse	1	0.00	0.36	0.00	0.47
	Residuals	110	0.00	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Sulfonamide	Landuse	1	0.00	2.37	0.02	0.02
	Residuals	110	0.00	0.98		
	Total	111	1.00			
Others	Landuse	1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97
	Residuals	110	0.00	1.00		
	Total	111	1.00			
Richness of ARGs	Landuse	1	390	1.12	0.01	0.04
	Residuals	110	348.	0.99		
	Total	111	1.00			

Table S5. Average levels of soil contaminants in urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas. For the contents of and pesticide residues, the unit is $\mu g k g^{-1}$, while for metal(loid)s, the unit is mg kg⁻¹. Content of microplastics (MPs) was shown as item g⁻¹, and the shape and material composition are present as %. ARGs are showed as relative abundances (see Methods). *Pesticide transformation products. ^a means ± standard error.

Contaminants	Implement	Natural areas	Urban greenspaces
As	Metal(loid)	$48.38\pm3.91^{\rm a}$	53.09. ± 3.86
Cd	Metal(loid)	0.41 ± 0.022	0.48 ± 0.03
Cr	Metal(loid)	43.75 ± 3.10	54.14 ± 4.71
Cu	Metal(loid)	18.18 ± 1.36	29.51. ± 2.32
Ni	Metal(loid)	18.95 ± 1.51	$20.75. \pm 1.36$
Pb	Metal(loid)	37.53 ± 2.48	46.27 ± 2.87
Zn	Metal(loid)	55.44 ± 3.35	125.89 ± 15.43
Hg	Metal(loid)	0.063 ± 0.004	0.103 ± 0.097
6-Deisopropylatrazine*	Herbicide	0.011 ± 0.01	0.24 ± 0.08
Clothianidin	Insecticide	3.01 ± 1.68	1.63 ± 0.94
2,6-Dichlorbenzamid*	Herbicide	0	0.29 ± 0.12
Deethylatrazine	Herbicide	0	0
Thiamethoxam	Insecticide	0.73 ± 0.39	0.18 ± 0.10
2-Hydroxyatrazine*	Herbicide	5.53 ± 1.08	2.95 ± 0.94
Carbendazim	Fungicide	0.52 ± 0.21	0.18 ± 0.10
Chloridazon	Herbicide	0	0
Metamitron	Herbicide	0	0
Imidacloprid	Insecticide	3.92 ± 2.13	11.42 ± 6.14
Terbutylazine-desethyl*	Herbicide	0.02 ± 0.01	0
1-(4-Isopropylphenyl)urea*	Herbicide	0	0
Diuron	Herbicide	2.10 ± 1.21	2.36 ± 1.20
Atrazine	Herbicide	0.05 ± 0.01	0.12 ± 0.04
Thiacloprid	Insecticide	0	0.43 ± 0.25
Isoproturon	Herbicide	0	0
Linuron	Herbicide	0	0
Fludioxonil	Fungicide	0	0
Terbutylazine	Herbicide	0.05 ± 0.03	0
Pirimicarb	Insecticide	0	0
Fluxapyroxad	Fungicide	0.40 ± 0.22	0
Fluopyram	Fungicide	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01
Cyproconazole	Fungicide	0.02 ± 0.01	0
Metalaxyl	Fungicide	0.16 ± 0.09	0.14 ± 0.08
Ethofumesate	Herbicide	0	0
Fluopicolid	Fungicide	0	0
Boscalid	Fungicide	0	0
Tebuconazole	Fungicide	0.27 ± 0.12	2.02 ± 1.11
Flusilazole	Fungicide	0	0

Acetochlor	Herbicide	0	0
Pyrifenox	Fungicide	0	0
Cyprodinil	Fungicide	0	0
Epoxiconazole	Fungicide	0	0
Alachlor	Herbicide	0	0
S-Metolachlor	Herbicide	0	0
Napropamide	Herbicide	0	0
Propiconazole	Fungicide	0.65 ± 0.21	1.57 ± 0.90
Azoxystrobin	Fungicide	1.93 ± 0.76	0.23 ± 0.13
Orbencarb	Herbicide	0	0
Trifloxystrobin	Fungicide	0	0
Fenpropidin	Fungicide	0	0
Chlorpyrifos	Insecticide	0.09 ± 0.05	0.13 ± 0.06
Metolachlor-OA*	Herbicide	0.86 ± 0.50	0
Metolachlor-ESA*	Herbicide	0	0
Fipronil	Insecticide	0	0
Oryzalin	Herbicide	0	0
PP (%)	MPs	59.16 ± 2.94	55.97 ± 2.70
Polyester (%)	MPs	31.63 ± 2.69	33.64 ± 2.73
HDPE (%)	MPs	1.56 ± 0.90	1.74 ± 0.57
LDPE (%)	MPs	7.65 ± 1.68	8.64 ± 2.04
Fibers (%)	MPs	69.41 ± 3.30	71.46 ± 3.05
Fragments (%)	MPs	18.04 ± 2.96	19.05 ± 2.88
Film (%)	MPs	12.55 ± 2.30	9.50 ± 1.51
Aminoglycoside	ARGs	0.012 ± 0.001	0.011 ± 0.001
Beta Lactamase	ARGs	0.051 ± 0.004	0.046 ± 0.003
FCA	ARGs	0.002 ± 0.0005	0
MLSB	ARGs	0.014 ± 0.003	0.008 ± 0.001
Multidrug	ARGs	0.171 ± 0.017	0.162 ± 0.013
Other	ARGs	0.002 ± 0.0002	0.002 ± 0.0002
Sulfonamide	ARGs	0	0
Tetracycline	ARGs	0.012 ± 0.001	0.011 ± 0.001
Vancomycin	ARGs	0.011 ± 0.001	0.01 ± 0.001
ARGs Richness	ARGs	68.786 ± 1.443	72.518 ± 1.438

Lower guideline value (mg kg ⁻¹)	% exceeding the limit (Urban)	% exceeding the limit (Natural)
50	42.2	35.7
2	0	0
10	0	0
200	3.6	1.8
150	1.8	0
200	1.8	0
100	0	0
250	8.9	0
	Lower guideline value (mg kg ⁻¹) 50 2 10 200 150 200 100 250	Lower guideline value (mg kg ⁻¹) % exceeding the limit (Urban) 50 42.2 2 0 10 0 200 3.6 150 1.8 200 0 250 8.9

Table S6. Percentages of soil samples exceeding lower guideline values of Metal(loid) thresholds of Finnish Legislation ³.

Table S7. Spearman correlations between socio-economic and environmental factors and the response ratios of soil contaminants in urban greenspaces compared with adjacent natural areas. MPs, microplastics; ARGs, antibiotic resistance genes. HDI, human development index; GDP, gross domestic product. Urban indicates urban greenspaces, and plant represents plant cover. MAT, mean annual temperature; MAP, mean annual precipitation.*P < 0.05; **P < 0.01.

	Metal(loid)s	Pesticides	MPs	ARGs
HDI	0.05	-0.06	-0.13	-0.22
GDP	0.08	-0.01	-0.07	-0.22
Population	0.09	-0.22	0.09	0.04
Population density	0.11	0.16	0.41*	-0.11
Irrigated	0.14	0.34	-0.17	-0.36**
Fertilized	0.02	0.29	0.12	-0.07
Mowed	0.18	0.21	0.03	-0.49**
MAT	0.1	0.38	-0.14	-0.23
MAP	0.07	-0.08	-0.04	-0.15
Plant cover	0.15	0.03	-0.11	-0.31*
Total N	0.26	-0.3	0.38*	-0.22
Total P	0.36**	-0.09	0.16	-0.31*
Organic carbon	0.26	-0.25	0.36*	-0.18
pH	-0.08	0.17	-0.19	-0.16

		Df	Mean	F	R ²	Pr(>F)
As	Landuse	1	1.41E-08	7.69	0.13	0.01
	Residuals	52	1.83E-09	0.87		
	Total	53	1			
Cu	Landuse	1	2.82E-07	14.97	0.22	0.00
	Residuals	52	1.88E-08	0.78		
	Total	53	1			
Hg	Landuse	1	6.26E-09	6.56	0.11	0.00
	Residuals	52	9.55E-10	0.89		
	Total	53	1			
Cd	Landuse	1	1.66E-09	13.25	0.20	0.00
	Residuals	52	1.25E-10	0.80		
	Total	53	1			
Cr	Landuse	1	1.01E-07	6.70	0.11	0.01
	Residuals	52	1.51E-08	0.89		
	Total	53	1			
Pb	Landuse	1	1.38E-05	26.62	0.34	0.00
	Residuals	52	5.19E-07	0.66		
	Total	53	1			
Zn	Landuse	1	4.21E-07	2.94	0.05	0.04
	Residuals	52	1.43E-07	0.95		
	Total	53	1			
Ni	Landuse	1	1.09E-10	0.49	0.01	0.40
	Residuals	52	2.23E-10	0.99		
	Total	53	1			

Table S8. A statistical summary of nest-PERMANOVA of genes associated with metal(loid) resistance between paired urban greenspaces and adjacent natural areas across the globe.

Description Database Gene Function MGRUST44 Alanine biosynthesis MGRUST Stress resistance Anaerobic Oxidative Degradation MGRUST65 MGRUST of L Ornithine Stress resistance Bacillus biofilm matrix protein MGRUST115 MGRUST component TasA and homologs Stress resistance CMP N acetylneuraminate MGRUST294 MGRUST Biosynthesis Stress resistance Copper homeostasis MGRUST331 MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST399 DNA repair MGRUST Stress resistance Folate Biosynthesis MGRUST470 MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST650 Mercury resistance MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST666 Methylglyoxal Metabolism MGRUST Stress resistance Multidrug Resistance Operon MGRUST686 MGRUST mdtRP of Bacillus Stress resistance MGRUST942 Oxidative stress MGRUST Stress resistance SigmaB stress responce MGRUST1033 MGRUST regulation Stress resistance Thiamin biosynthesis LDP MGRUST1128 MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST1202 Tryptophan synthesis MGRUST Stress resistance Type 1 pili mannose sensitive MGRUST1208 MGRUST fimbriae Stress resistance Type III secretion system orphans MGRUST1212 MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST464 Flagellum MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST463 Flagellar motility MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST890 Pseudouridine Metabolism MGRUST Stress resistance MGRUST1073 Streptothricin resistance MGRUST Stress resistance Copper-transporting P-type actP BacMet **ATPase** Stress resistance aioA/aoxB Arsenite oxidase subunit BacMet Stress resistance Arsenite oxidase subunit aioB/aoxA BacMet Stress resistance Arsenite S-**BacMet** arsM Stress resistance adenosylmethyltransferase arsA Arsenical pump-driving **BacMet** Stress resistance involved in the processing of chrE BacMet chromium-glutathione-complexes Stress resistance regulatory protein, involved in chrF BacMet Chromate resistance Stress resistance Nickel and cobalt resistance **BacMet** cnrB protein Stress resistance copA Copper exporting **BacMet** Stress resistance

Table S9. The resistance included the resistant genes to stresses of metal(loid), drugs and pathogens, and the pathogenesis was assessed by the abundance of selected pathogenic genes in the soil microbiome

copB	Copper resistance protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
copZ	Copper chaperone	Stress resistance	BacMet
ctpG	Cadmium transporting	Stress resistance	BacMet
cutO	Multicopper oxidase family protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
czcC	Cobalt-Zinc-Cadmium efflux	Stress resistance	BacMet
czcP	heavy metal translocating P-type ATPase	Stress resistance	BacMet
emrBsm	Putative multidrug resistance protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
mdtG/yceE	Multidrug resistance	Stress resistance	BacMet
merD	Mercury operon coregulator protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
merR	Mercuric resistance operon regulatory protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
merR1	regulatory protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
nccC	protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
ncrB	Metal resistance	Stress resistance	BacMet
pbrA	Pb(II) resistance	Stress resistance	BacMet
pcoA	Copper resistance protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
рсоВ	Copper resistance protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
silP	Silver exporting P-type ATPase	Stress resistance	BacMet
tcrB	Copper resistance	Stress resistance	BacMet
terC	Tellurium resistance protein	Stress resistance	BacMet
zntA/yhhO	cadmium, zinc and mercury- transporting ATPase	Stress resistance	BacMet
MGRUST508	Phosphate uptake and utilization	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST533	Heme and Siroheme biosynthesis in plants	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST538	Phosphate uptake	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST569	Iron acquisition	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST571	Iron scavenging cluster	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST747	Nitrogen fixation	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST750	N linked glycosylation	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST831	Phosphoglycerate transport	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST896	P uptake	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST1083	Sulfatases and sulfatase modifying	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST568	Iron acquisition	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST826	Phosphate metabolism	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST

MGRUST1159	Manganese transport	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST540	High affinity phosphate transporter	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST1158	Iron transport	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST1162	Zinc transport	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
MGRUST1275	Zinc regulatedt	Nutrient cycling	MGRUST
abeS	Quaternary ammonium compound	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
aioX/aoxX	binding	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
bfrA	Iron regulated outer membrane	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
fecD	Fe(3+) dicitrate transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
fecE	Fe(3+) dicitrate transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
pitA	Low-affinity inorganic phosphate transporter 1	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
pstA	permease protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
pstB	protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
pstC	Phosphate transport system permease protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
pstS	Phosphate-binding protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
qacG	Quaternary ammonium compound-resistance protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
sitA	Iron transporter	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
sugE	Quaternary ammonium compound-resistance protein	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
troC	Zinc transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
troD	Zinc transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
yfeA	Periplasmic chelated iron-binding	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
yfeB	Chelated iron transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
yfeC	Chelated iron transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
yfeD	Chelated iron transport	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
zitB/ybgR	Zinc transporter	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
znuB/yebI	High affinity zinc uptake	Nutrient cycling	BacMet
MGRUST720	Mycobacterium virulence operon involved with nitrate dormancy regulon	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST1095	T6SS BR toxic	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST617	Listeria surface proteins: LPXTG motif	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST614	Listeria pathogenicity	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST615	Listeria phi A118 like prophages	Pathogenesis	MGRUST

MGRUST712	Mycobacterium virulence operon involved in DNA transcription	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST716	Mycobacterium virulence	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST717	Mycobacterium virulence operon involved in protein synthesis SSU ribosomal proteins	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST721	Mycobacterium virulence operon	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST1071	Streptococcus pyogenes Virulome	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST1206	Two component Response Regulator of Virulence ResDE	Pathogenesis	MGRUST
MGRUST11	ABC transporter	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST13	ABC transporter dipeptide TC 3 A 1 5 2	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST150	Cytochrome biogenesis	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST791	Glucose catabolism	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST842	Photosystem II type photosynthetic reaction center	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST1112	Terminal cytochrome O ubiquinol oxidase	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST1221	cytochrome c reductase complexes	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST1231	Unknown carbohydrate utilization cluster Ydj	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST835	Phosphonate metabolism	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
MGRUST462	Fe S cluster assembly	Microbial metabolism	MGRUST
arrB	4Fe-4S ferredoxin	Microbial metabolism	BacMet
modA	Molybdenum ABC transporter, periplasmic molybdenum-binding protein	Microbial metabolism	BacMet
modB	Molybdenum ABC transporter, permease protein	Microbial metabolism	BacMet
vcaM	ABC transporter	Microbial metabolism	BacMet

Supplmentary References

- 1. Byrnes, J.E.K., et al., Investigating the relationship between biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality: challenges and solutions. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 5, 111-124 (2014).
- 2. Rillig, M.C., et al., The role of multiple global change factors in driving soil functions and microbial biodiversity. *Science* 366, 886-890 (2019).
- 3. Tóth, G., Hermann, T., Da Silva, M. R. & Montanarella, L. Heavy metals in agricultural soils of the European Union with implications for food safety. *Environ. Int.* 88, 299-309 (2016).