



Article Loss and Gain of Gut Bacterial Phylotype Symbionts in Afrotropical Stingless Bee Species (Apidae: Meliponinae)

Yosef Hamba Tola^{1,2}, Jacqueline Wahura Waweru¹, Nelly N. Ndungu¹, Kiatoko Nkoba¹, Bernard Slippers², and Juan C. Paredes^{1,*}

- ¹ International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology, Nairobi 30772-00100, Kenya; yosef@icipe.org (Y.H.T.); jackiemaingih@gmail.com (J.W.W.); nndungu@icipe.org (N.N.N.); nkiatoko@icipe.org (K.N.)
- ² Department of Biochemistry, Genetics and Microbiology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology
- Institute (FABI), University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002, South Africa; bernard.slippers@up.ac.za Correspondence: jparedes@icipe.org; Tel.: +254-20-8632000

Abstract: Stingless bees (Apidae: Meliponini) are the most diverse group of corbiculate bees and are important managed and wild pollinators distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions of the globe. However, little is known about their associated beneficial microbes that play major roles in host nutrition, detoxification, growth, activation of immune responses, and protection against pathogens in their sister groups, honeybees and bumble bees. Here, we provide an initial characterization of the gut bacterial microbiota of eight stingless bee species from sub-Saharan Africa using 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing. Our findings revealed that Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria were the dominant and conserved phyla across the eight stingless bee species. Additionally, we found significant geographical and host intra-species-specific bacterial diversity. Notably, African strains showed significant phylogenetic clustering when compared with strains from other continents, and each stingless bee species has its own microbial composition with its own dominant bacterial genus. Our results suggest host selective mechanisms maintain distinct gut communities among sympatric species and thus constitute an important resource for future studies on bee health management and host-microbe co-evolution and adaptation.

Keywords: Lactobacillaceae; Bifidobacteriaceae; Acetobacteraceae; Meliponula; Dactylurina; Hypotrigona; Liotrigona

1. Introduction

More than 90% of tropical plant flowers are pollinated by animals; among them, bees are the most important pollinators [1–3]. Stingless bees (Meliponinae) represent the most diverse group among corbiculate bees and are commonly distributed in the tropical and subtropical regions; 77% are found in the Neotropics (Central America, Caribbean, and South America), followed by 16% in the Indo Malay/Australasian region and 7% in the Afro tropics [4–9]. Worldwide, there are approximately 61 identified stingless bee genera and over 550 species [4,8,10]. In Africa, there are 10 genera and 36 species of stingless bees described, and they are commonly distributed in tropical forests, savannahs, and deserts [4,10,11]. In Kenya, 6 genera (*Cleptotrigona, Dactylurina, Hypotrigona, Liotrigona, Meliponula*, and *Plebeina*) have been reported and are composed of 12 species that inhabit the Kakamega forest, Mwingi, the Arabuko-Sokoke Forest, and the Taita hills [10,12–14]. The *Meliponula* genus has been further divided into three sub-genera, namely *Meliponula* (one species), *Axestotrigona* (two species), and *Meliplebeia* (five species) [6,10].

The current increasing demand for managed pollinators and the advantages that stingless bees present have further encouraged their domestication [15–19]. In contrast to honeybees, stingless bees do not sting, they can be used for crop pollination in greenhouses, and they produce honey, propolis, and wax with important medicinal properties (e.g., antioxidant capacity, antimicrobial activity) of high economical value [17,20–23].



Citation: Tola, Y.H.; Waweru, J.W.; Ndungu, N.N.; Nkoba, K.; Slippers, B.; Paredes, J.C. Loss and Gain of Gut Bacterial Phylotype Symbionts in Afrotropical Stingless Bee Species (Apidae: Meliponinae). *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 2420. https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms9122420

Academic Editors: Flavia Indrio and Michael H. Kogut

Received: 8 October 2021 Accepted: 15 November 2021 Published: 24 November 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Bacterial symbiosis is a profound mechanism that has shaped insect physiology and ecology throughout their evolution [24,25]. With the increased number of insect models used for beneficial gut bacterial studies, there is cumulative evidence that insect gut microbiota play an important role in insect physiology, organ development, protection against natural enemies and help in their nutrition and detoxification. Additionally, they are involved in immune response activation and communication [26–31]. Bee gut bacterial communities are not an exception [32–39].

The vast majority of bee gut bacterial microbiota research has been conducted on honeybees (Apini tribe), and to a lesser extent, in bumble bees (Bombini tribe), but very little is known regarding stingless bees [40–45]. The handful of studies investigating stingless bee gut bacterial microbiota have reported substantial community diversity that varies across bee species [40,42–46]. *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* have been reported as the most abundant genera, and in contrast to Apini and Bombini tribes, in Meliponini, *Gilliamella* and *Snodgrassella* are not always present or abundant. Additionally, stingless bees harbor numerous and specific *Acetobacter* species [41–47].

Despite being the most diverse group of corbiculate bees, only a few stingless bee species have been studied for their gut bacterial microbiota. Thus, much greater diversity is expected since they occupy a variety of tropical and subtropical habitats, and they present a large range of behaviors, such as, specific plant foraging and the construction of perennial nests that have different locations and structures [4,10,11,18,48]. Therefore, a better characterization of stingless bee gut bacterial microbiota will contribute to understanding host–symbiont interactions which likely impact stingless bee physiology. This will lay the foundation for different strategies to ensure beneficial microbe persistence in bees for agricultural sustainability and biodiversity conservation.

Here, we characterized the gut bacterial microbiota of eight different Afrotropical stingless bee species belonging to *Meliponula*, *Dactylurina*, *Hypotrigona*, and *Liotrigona* genera and evaluated their phylogenetic diversity and their relationship with other corbiculate bees from other continents.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Sample Collection and Preparation

Foragers from eight African stingless bee species belonging to four genera (*Meliponula*, *Dactylurina*, *Hypotrigona*, *Liotrigona*) were collected from colonies located at the International Centre of Insect Physiology and Ecology (*icipe*) meliponary, Duduville campus, Nairobi, Kenya, from October 2019 to February 2020. The following bees were originally collected from the Kakamega forest (Western Kenya): *Meliponula bocandei* (collected in February 2019), *Meliponula togoensis* and *Meliponula ferruginea* (collected in September 2018), and *Meliponula lendliana* and *Liotrigona* spp. (collected in September 2019). From the Mwingi (Eastern Kenya), the following bees were collected: *Hypotrigona* spp. 1 (collected in February 2017) and *Hypotrigona* spp. 2 (collected in August 2015). From the Taita Hills and Kilifi (Coastal Kenya), *Dactylurina schmidti* were collected (collected in September 2018). At the *icipe* meliponary, all colonies had access to the same floral resources and faced the same ecological conditions (e.g., temperature, humidity). Gardens in their foraging range included *Acacia, Eucalyptus, Psidium, Cordia Africana, croton megalocarpus, Leucaena glauca,* and mixed crops.

Fifty forager worker bees per hive from two hives per species were collected at the hive entrance and washed in 4% sodium hypochlorite, followed by a wash in 70% ethanol and finally $1 \times$ PBS for 2 min to eliminate any external microorganisms or contaminant DNA attached to the cuticle [49,50]. The entire gut, with its contents, was dissected aseptically from each bee using forceps, and the guts were placed in a 2 mL microcentrifuge-tube containing 500 µL PBS. Samples were stored at -80 °C before DNA extraction.

2.2. DNA Extraction

DNA extraction from the entire gut was done using the CTAB-Phenol–Chloroform extraction method described in [50]. DNA was resuspended in 200 μ L sterile water, and DNA concentration and quality per sample was confirmed using NanoDrop 2000 Spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, Wilmington, NC, USA).

2.3. 16S rRNA Gene Amplification, Sequencing, and Gut Community Analysis

All 16S rRNA gene amplification and sequencing was done at Macrogen Europe BV (Meibergdreef 31, 1105 AZ Amsterdam, The Netherlands, https://dna.macrogen-europe. com/eng/, accessed on 17 April 2020). We analyzed 8,563,992 paired-end sequences spanning the V3-V4 region of the 16S rRNA with an average of 155,709 reads per sample (10 pooled guts per sample, and the number of reads ranged from 106,894 to 198,324). We used the DADA2 pipeline embedded in R (version 4.0.2) to analyze our sequence reads [51]. Reads were checked for quality and primer sequences trimmed using Cutadapt (version 2.10). Taxonomic classification was performed against the SILVA138 database using a pre-trained Naive Bayes classifier [52]. Taxa and ASVs with cumulative abundance below five were discarded from analysis and from our negative control (a "blank" sample was included in the run, and we recovered only 2 reads), as well as those classified as unwanted sequences of animal and fungal origin (Chloroplast, Chloroflexi, Mitochondria, Archaea, and Eukaryota). Data were rarefied for the downstream alpha and beta diversity analysis (Figure S1). Alpha diversity was determined using Evenness, Faith's phylogenetic diversity, and the Shannon index, and the statistical differences of gut bacterial microbiota diversity across the species were tested using Kruskal–Wallis H test. Average diversity values were plotted for each sample at each even sampling depth and samples were grouped based on sample metadata. To visualize the clustering of the microbial communities per species, principle coordinate analysis (PCoA) was done using Bray-Curtis distances and UniFrac distances (Weighted and Unweighted UniFrac). A Pearson correlation test was additionally performed to identify the impact of bee size on the bacterial richness and evenness.

2.4. Phylogenetic Tree Analysis

Phylogenetic trees were constructed for *Lactobacillaceae*, *Bifidobacteriaceae*, and *Ace-tobacteraceae* members using MEGA X [53]. Sequences were aligned using Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic Mean (UPGMA). Phylogenetic trees were computed with the Kimura 2-parameter model using the Maximum Likelihood method [54]. The model was selected based on the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) values. The bootstrap consensus tree was inferred from 1000 replicates, and less than 50% bootstrap replicates collapsed.

3. Results

3.1. Bacterial Communities Associated with Stingless Bee Guts

We sampled eight different stingless bee species in Kenya: *Meliponula bocandei*, *M. togoensis*, *M. ferruginea*, *M. lendliana*, *Dactylurina schmidti*, *Liotrigona* spp., and *Hypotrigona* sp. 1 and sp. 2. We obtained 2038 amplicon sequence variants (ASVs). We found 409 genera of which 13 genera represented more than 99% of total reads (Figures 1A and S2 and Table S1).

We found that Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, and Actinobacteria were the dominant phyla across the eight stingless bee species (Table S1). The most abundant was Firmicutes with about 60% of total reads encompassing *Lactobacillus*, *Bombilactobacillus*, *Acetilactobacillus*, and *Apilactobacillus*, among others. *Lactobacillus* accounted for approximately 25% of total reads (Figures 1 and S2, Table S1). The second highest phylum was Proteobacteria with approximately 18% of total reads including *Saccharibacter*, *Neokomagataea*, *Wolbachia*, *Bombella*, *Gluconacetobacter*, *Nguyenibacter*, *Acinetobacter*, *Zymobacter*, *Klebsiella*, and *Acetobacter*. *Saccharibacter* accounted for about 8% of total sample reads (Figures 1 and S2, Table S1). The actinobacteria phylum including *Bifidobacterium* accounted for approximately 10% of total reads (Figures 1 and S2, Table S1). Interestingly, whereas almost all stingless bee

species were dominated by a member of the *Lactobacillaceae* family, the dominant genera varied across species. *Acetilactobacillus* and *Apilactobacillus* related genera dominated in *Hypotrigona* sp. 2, *M. lendliana*, and *M. ferruginea*, *Lactobacillus* dominated in *Hypotrigona* sp. 1 and *M. togoensis*, and *Bombilactobacillus* in *D. schmidti*. *Bifidobacterium* was present in all species except *Hypotrigona* sp. 2 and was the most abundant in *Hypotrigona* sp. 1. Among the *Acetobacteraceae* family, *Bombella* was the most abundant in *M. bocandei*, and *Saccharibacter* was the most abundant in *D. schmidti* (Figures 1 and S2, Table S1). Interestingly, we also uncovered the endosymbiont, *Wolbachia*, which was highly abundant in all *Liotrigona* sp. (59% of *Liotrigona* sp. total reads) and in one sample of *M. lendliana* (0.5% of *M. lendliana* total reads) (Table S1).



Figure 1. Gut bacterial genera associated with eight stingless bee species in Kenya. (**A**) A bar plot representation of all the stingless bee gut bacterial genera with an overall abundance higher than 1%. All genera with abundances below 1% were categorized as "Others". The phylogeny of Afrotropical stingless bees was based on [8]. (**B**,**C**) heatmap comprising of the ASVs from the five most abundant genera across the eight stingless bee species. ASV ID numbers (Table S1) are indicated at the top. Darker squares correspond to higher mean relative abundances for a given ASV in each bee species.

3.2. Bacterial Communities Varied across Stingless Bee Species

To measure the bacterial community variation across species, we analyzed the overall diversity of the gut bacteria in the eight stingless bee species (Figure 2). As seen in Figure 1, alpha diversity varied significantly when richness (Shannon: p = 0.000038, H = 29.672, (Figure 2A)), evenness (Evenness: p = 0.00005, H = 29.12, (Figure 2B)), and phylogenetic distances (Faith's phylogenetic diversity, p = 0.00018, H = 24.888, (Figure 2C)) were tested. These results are consistent with the PCoA using Bray–Curtis and from the weighted and unweighted UniFrac distances (Figure 3).

Even though *M. lendliana, Hypotrigona* sp. 2, and *Liotrigona* sp. clustered together in Bray–Curtis, they did not cluster in Unifrac distances. It is also noteworthy that these are the species where we obtained a lower number of samples (2 to 4 compared to 8 to 10 for others (Figure 3)).



Figure 2. Alpha diversity estimates from the different stingless bee species. (**A**) Shannon diversity (p = 0.000038, H = 29.672), (**B**) Evenness diversity (p = 0.00005, H = 29.12), (**C**) Faith's phylogenetic diversity (Faith's PD) (p = 0.00018, H = 24.888).



Figure 3. PCoA clustering using (**A**) Bray–Curtis PCoA, (**B**) Weighted UniFrac PCoA, (**C**) Unweighted UniFrac PCoA. The ellipse cluster indicate the distribution of the gut bacterial microbiota with respect to the different species of stingless bees (standard errors at 95% confidence).

3.3. Phylogenetic Diversity of Stingless Bee Gut Bacterial Microbiota

To evaluate the relationship between the bacteria generated in this study to other bacterial sequences isolated in other corbiculate bees, we constructed phylogenetic trees with the three most abundant families, *Lactobacillaceae*, *Bifidobacteriaceae*, and *Acetobacteraceae* (Figure 4).

ASVs related to *Lactobacillaceae* were found overall distributed with other reported sequences from corbiculate bees. The most abundant ASVs were closely related to strains from *Lactobacillus* (Firmicutes 5 group) and *Bombilactobacillus* (Firmicutes 4 group), known members of honeybee and bumble bee core gut bacterial microbiota. Interestingly, we also uncovered multiple ASVs related to environmental or hive-associated *Lactobacillaceae* such as *Acetilactobacillus* and *Apilactobacillus* with an important abundance and prevalence across samples. One ASVs was related to *Ligilactobacillus* but at a very low abundance (Figures 1 and 4).

Bifidobacteriaceae associated ASVs were found to cluster in two subgroups (Figure 5). The most numerous and abundant were highly related to *Bifidobacterium commune, Bifidobacterium bohemicum*, and *Bifidobacterium bombi*, all of which are found in bumble bee guts. Two other ASV clustered with *Bifidobacterium coryneforme* strains found in *Apis mellifera* and *M. bocandei*, from previous studies. Interestingly, none of the represented ASVs in Figure 4 were related to *Bifidobacterium asteroids* found in honeybees or bumble bees, but were found in the stingless bee *M. bocandei* from Kenya [44].

The *Acetobacteraceae*-associated ASVs clustered with other reported strains and in specific groups (Figure 6). The most abundant cluster was found to be highly related to other *Acetobacter* species isolated in other stingless bees, *Melipona* sp. and *Meliponula* sp., from Panama and Gabon [41,63,64]. We found one group also related to *Bombella apis* and *Bombella intestini* reported in honey bees and bumble bees, and another group with very long branches related to *Neokomagataea thailandica* isolated from flowers [41,65]. Interestingly, we found that some ASVs form a group on their own, with no closest known related strain (ASV 34, 35, 39, 52, and 75, Figure 6). In contrast to *Apis mellifera* bacterial microbiota, we did not uncover any *Commensalibacter* related strains in our study [66,67].



0.050

Figure 4. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships between *Lactobacillaceae* ASVs from this study and reference *Lactobacillaceae* sequences. Accession Number are indicated at the beginning of each name reported from [41,44,55–58], and bacterial strains are indicated in brackets. Only ASVs that represent more than 1% among *Lactobacillaceae* family per species were represented. Percentage greater than 1% of the relative abundance from total reads of each ASV are represented by color bars. Each color represents each stingless bee species using the same color code as in Figures 2 and 3.



Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships between *Bifidobacterium* from stingless bees from Africa compared to other continents. Accession Number is indicated at the beginning of each name reported in [42,44,57–62]. Species from which the bacterial strain was reported and the country of origin (two letter code) are indicated in grey. Only ASVs that represent more than 1% among *Bifidobacteriaceae* family per species were represented. Percentage greater than 1% of the relative abundance from total reads of each ASV are represented by color bars. Each color represents each stingless bee species using the same color code as in Figures 2 and 3.



0.050

Figure 6. Phylogenetic tree showing the relationships between *Acetobacteraceae* family from African stingless bees compared to other continents. Accession Number is indicated at the beginning of each name reported in [41,42,61,63,64,66–68]. Species from which the bacterial strain was reported and the country of origin (two letter code) are indicated in grey. Only ASVs that represent more than 1% among *Acetobacteraceae* family per species are represented. Percentage greater than 1% of the relative abundance from total reads of each ASV are represented by color bars. Each color represents each stingless bee species using the same color code as in Figures 2 and 3.

4. Discussion

We reported the first characterization of the gut bacterial microbiota of eight endemic African stingless bee species (Apidae: Meliponini). We found that Firmicutes, Actinobacteria, and Proteobacteria were the dominant and conserved phyla across the stingless bee species. Interestingly, there were significant variations amongst the genera that dominated the gut of each stingless bee species. Furthermore, phylogenetic analysis showed substantial bacterial strain diversity, which is shared with other corbiculate bees, but also with several African specific bacterial clusters. As has been reported for South American stingless bee species, the East African stingless bee species we examined lost the honeybee and bumble bee specific symbionts *Snodgrassella*, *Gilliamella*, and *Frischella* [42,46,69,70].

Together, these results are an important contribution towards the study of the common origin of corbiculate bee gut bacterial microbiota and their co-evolution with their host.

Among the African stingless bee populations that were sampled, we identified *Lactobacillaceae* (including *Lactobacillus, Paucilactobacillus, Bombilactobacillus,* and *Lentilactobacillus), Bifidobacterium,* and *Acetobacteraceae* (including *Saccharibacter* and *Neokomagataea*) as the most abundant families, which account for more than 73% of the total gut bacterial microbiota. Interestingly, phylogenetic analyses revealed novel diversity of strains from these groups that has not been reported in other studies on stingless bees [41,42]. Additionally, despite sharing the same habitat for at least six months, each stingless bee species was dominated by a certain number of specific bacterial strains. Evaluating bacterial gut composition across longer time scales—months or years—from feral colonies or after a change of location would be important to understand the causes of this diversity [40]. These results demonstrate that there is a vast diversity of stingless bee gut bacterial microbiota members that are yet to be characterized and that conducting research on multiple strains, as done in this study, is needed to have a comprehensive and comparative picture of stingless and corbiculate bee gut bacterial microbiota and their co-evolutionary patterns.

We found that gut bacterial microbiota richness and evenness varied across the stingless bee species. To get a better understanding of the drivers of bacterial diversity, we investigated potential correlations between bacterial diversity and bee size; however, we did not find any correlation (Figure S3). A recent study reported that larger bees have higher bacterial diversity, and that this correlation is higher when colony size was considered [42]. Since African stingless bee research is in its early stages, little is known about bee colony and hive size. This enables us to better calculate a potential correlation between bee habitat size and the gut bacterial microbiota diversity in their guts.

Whereas library sequencing of the 16S rRNA V3-V4 region has proved to be informative and very useful for bee bacterial gut microbiota characterization, it has important limitations in resolution and absolute bacterial quantification. Complementary studies evaluating whole bacterial genome sequences (DNA metagenomics) and bacteria metabolically active pathways (RNA metagenomics) will provide better information about bacterial classification and metabolic capabilities [36]. Additionally, such approaches will help to discriminate between metabolically active resident bacteria and transient inactive foodassociated microbes.

Interestingly, we found the endosymbiotic bacteria *Wolbachia* in *Liotrigona* sp. with 100% prevalence that accounted for 59% of total reads, and in *M. lendliana* with 33% prevalence. The two *Wolbachia* ASVs found in this study were closely related to the *Wolbachia* strain WCAAL1 (MT590312, 97% similarity) which is found in the *Aedes albopictus* mosquito. Studies have shown that *Wolbachia* protects its host against viruses [71,72]. Since bees harbor a substantial diversity of viruses, it might be relevant to investigate the potential *Wolbachia* antiviral protection phenotype in these two stingless bee species [73]. Additionally, *Wolbachia* has also been shown to manipulate host reproduction by inducing parthenogenesis [74]. Most of stingless bee workers contribute to the production of haploid eggs, which generate male offspring or trophic eggs that can be eaten by the queen as a food source [75,76]. It would be interesting to investigate if there is any involvement of *Wolbachia* in these processes in *Liotrigona* spp. or *M. lendliana*.

Tropical regions are profitable environments to conduct gut bacterial microbiota studies due to their number of sympatric honeybee and stingless bee species and their diversity, which enables research on the evolutionary history of their bacterial microbiota [4,10,11]. This study contributes to the understanding of general concepts in microbial ecology and evolution in these bee species that could only be found in tropical latitudes and microbe rich environments.

Supplementary Materials: The following are available online at https://www.mdpi.com/article/ 10.3390/microorganisms9122420/s1, Figure S1: Alpha rarefaction curve. Figure S2: Gut bacterial genera associated with each of the eight stingless bee species in Kenya. Figure S3: Bacterial alpha diversity did not correlate with the stingless bee size. Table S1: 16S rRNA sequencing data analysis (Excel file).

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.C.P. and B.S.; investigation, Y.H.T.; data curation, Y.H.T. and J.W.W.; formal analysis, Y.H.T., J.W.W. and J.C.P.; funding acquisition, J.C.P.; methodology, Y.H.T. and J.C.P.; visualization, Y.H.T., J.W.W. and J.C.P.; writing—original draft preparation, Y.H.T. and J.C.P.; writing—review and editing, Y.H.T., J.W.W., N.N.N., K.N., B.S. and J.C.P.; project administration: J.C.P.; All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation Postdoc Mobility (grants P300PA_174329 and P2ELP3_165168), the German Academic Exchange Service (DAAD), grant 91671945, Norwegian Agency for Development Cooperation, the Section for Research, Innovation, and Higher Education grant number RAF-3058 KEN-18/0005 (CAP-Africa), and by *icipe* core donors (see Acknowledgments).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable for insects.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The datasets presented in this study can be found in the following online repository PRJNA776526 and in Supplementary Material.

Acknowledgments: The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support for this research by the following organizations and agencies as core donors of *icipe*; UK's Foreign, Commonwealth & Development Office (FCDO); the Swedish International Development Cooperation Agency (Sida); the Swiss Agency for Development and Cooperation (SDC); the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia; and the Government of the Republic of Kenya. The views expressed herein do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the donors. We are additionally grateful to Jeremy Herren for manuscript review and to *icipe* stingless bee team Gilvian Onsomu and Sophia Kariuki, and *icipe* bee health gut bacterial microbiota laboratory team.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

- 1. Bawa, K.S. Plant-Pollinator Interactions in Tropical Rain Forests. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 1990, 21, 399–422. [CrossRef]
- 2. Van Dulmen, A. Pollination and phenology of flowers in the canopy of two contrasting rain forest types in Amazonia, Colombia. *Plant Ecol.* **2001**, *153*, 73–85. [CrossRef]
- Garibaldi, L.A.; Carvalheiro, L.G.; Vaissière, B.E.; Gemmill-Herren, B.; Hipólito, J.; Freitas, B.M.; Ngo, H.T.; Azzu, N.; Sáez, A.; Åström, J.; et al. Mutually beneficial pollinator diversity and crop yield outcomes in small and large farms. *Science* 2016, 351, 388–391. [CrossRef]
- 4. Grüter, C. *Stingless Bees, Their Behaviour, Ecology and Evolution;* Springer Nature Switzerland AG: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; ISBN 9783030600891.
- Jalil, A.H. Beescape for Meliponines: Conservation of Indo-Malayan Stingless Bees; Partridge Publishing Singapore: Singapore, 2014; ISBN 9781482823622.
- 6. Michener, C.D. *The Bees of the World*; The Johns Hopkins University Press: Baltimore, MD, USA, 2000; Volume 58, ISBN 0-8018-6133-0.
- 7. Quezada-Euán, J.J.G. Stingless Bees of Mexico; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2018; ISBN 9783319777849.
- 8. Rasmussen, C.; Cameron, S.A. Global stingless bee phylogeny supports ancient divergence, vicariance, and long distance dispersal. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 2010, *99*, 206–232. [CrossRef]
- 9. Roig-Alsina, A.; Alvarez, L.J. Southern distributional limits of Meliponini bees (Hymenoptera, Apidae) in the Neotropics: Taxonomic notes and distribution of *Plebeia droryana* and *P. emerinoides* in Argentina. *Zootaxa* **2017**, 4244, 261–268. [CrossRef]
- 10. Eardley, C.D. Taxonomic revision of the African stingless bees (Apoidea: Apidae: Apinae: Meliponini). *Afr. Plant Prot.* **2004**, *10*, 63–96.
- 11. Fabre Anguilet, E.; Nguyen, B.K.; Bengone Ndong, T.; Haubruge, É.; Francis, F. Meliponini and Apini in Africa (Apidae: Apinae): A review on the challenges and stakes bound to their diversity and their distribution. *Biotechnol. Agron. Soc. Environ.* **2015**, *19*, 382–391.
- 12. Ndungu, N.N.; Nkoba, K.; Sole, C.; Pirk, C.; Abdullahi, A.Y.; Raina, S.K.; Masiga, D.K. Resolving taxonomic ambiguity and cryptic speciation of *Hypotrigona* species through morphometrics and DNA barcoding. *J. Apic. Res.* 2018, 57, 354–363. [CrossRef]
- 13. Ndungu, N.N.; Kiatoko, N.; Ciosi, M.; Salifu, D.; Nyansera, D.; Masiga, D.; Raina, S.K. Identification of stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) in Kenya using morphometrics and DNA barcoding. *J. Apic. Res.* **2017**, *56*, 341–353. [CrossRef]

- Nkoba, K.; Raina, S.K.; Muli, E.; Mithöfer, K.; Mueke, J. Species richness and nest dispersion of some tropical meliponine bees (Apidae: Meliponinae) in six habitat types in the Kakamega forest, western Kenya. *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.* 2012, 32, 194–202. [CrossRef]
- Azmi, W.A.; Sembok, W.Z.W.; Yusuf, N.; Hatta, M.F.M.; Salleh, A.F.; Hamzah, M.A.H.; Ramli, S.N. Effects of Pollination by the Indo-Malaya Stingless Bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) on the Quality of Greenhouse-Produced Rockmelon. *J. Econ. Entomol.* 2019, 112, 20–24. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Potts, S.G.; Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.; Ngo, H.T.; Aizen, M.; Biesmeijer, J.C.; Breeze, T.; Dicks, L.; Garibaldi, L.A.; Hill, R.; Settele, J.; et al. Safeguarding pollinators and their values to human well-being. *Nature* **2016**, *540*, 220–229. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Kiatoko, N.; Raina, S.K.; Muli, E.; Mueke, J. Enhancement of fruit quality in *Capsicum annum* through pollination by Hypotrigona gribodoi in Kakamega, Western Kenya. *Entomol. Sci.* **2014**, 17, 106–110. [CrossRef]
- 18. Slaa, E.J.; Chaves, L.A.S.; Malagodi-Braga, K.S.; Hofstede, F.E. Stingless bees in applied pollination: Practice and perspectives. *Apidologie* **2006**, *37*, 293–315. [CrossRef]
- 19. Nkoba, K.; Raina, S.K.; Langevelde, F. A vertical compartmented hive design for reducing post-harvest colony losses in three afrotropical stingless bee species (Apidae: Meliponinae). *Int. J. Dev. Res.* **2016**, *6*, 9026–9034.
- Kishan Tej, M.; Srinivasan, M.R.; Rajashree, V.; Thakur, R.K. Stingless bee *Tetragonula iridipennis* Smith for pollination of greenhouse cucumber. J. Entomol. Zool. Stud. 2017, 5, 1729–1733.
- Nishio, E.K.; Ribeiro, J.; de Oliveira, A.G.; Andrade, C.G.T.J.; Proni, E.A.; Kobayashi, R.K.T.; Nakazato, G. Antibacterial synergic effect of honey from two stingless bees: *Scaptotrigona bipunctata* Lepeletier, 1836, and *S. postica Latreille*, 1807. *Sci. Rep.* 2016, 6, 21641. [CrossRef]
- 22. Dos Santos, S.B.; Roselino, A.; Hrncir, M.; Bego, L. Pollination of tomatoes by the stingless bee *Melipona quadrifasciata* and the honey bee *Apis mellifera* (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Genet. Mol. Res.* **2009**, *8*, 751–757. [CrossRef]
- Mokaya, H.O.; Nkoba, K.; Ndunda, R.M.; Vereecken, N.J. Characterization of honeys produced by sympatric species of Afrotropical stingless bees (Hymenoptera, Meliponini). *Food Chem.* 2021, 366, 130597. [CrossRef]
- Moran, N.A.; Tran, P.; Gerardo, N.M.; Tannock, G.W.; Ghazally, S.; Walter, J.; Loach, D.; Brooks, H.; Cook, G.; Surette, M.; et al. Symbiosis and Insect Diversification: An Ancient Symbiont of Sap-Feeding Insects from the Bacterial Phylum Bacteroidetes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2005, 71, 8419–8425. [CrossRef]
- 25. Gerardo, N.; Hurst, G. Q&A: Friends (but sometimes foes) within: The complex evolutionary ecology of symbioses between host and microbes. *BMC Biol.* 2017, *15*, 126. [CrossRef]
- 26. Schmidt, K.; Engel, P. Mechanisms underlying gut microbiota–host interactions in insects. J. Exp. Biol. 2021, 224, jeb207696. [CrossRef]
- Salem, H.; Kaltenpoth, M. Beetle–Bacterial Symbioses: Endless Forms Most Functional. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 2022, 67, 201–219. [CrossRef]
- 28. Weisskopf, L.; Schulz, S.; Garbeva, P. Microbial volatile organic compounds in intra-kingdom and inter-kingdom interactions. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2021**, *19*, 391–404. [CrossRef]
- Duplais, C.; Sarou-Kanian, V.; Massiot, D.; Hassan, A.; Perrone, B.; Estevez, Y.; Wertz, J.T.; Martineau, E.; Farjon, J.; Giraudeau, P.; et al. Gut bacteria are essential for normal cuticle development in herbivorous turtle ants. *Nat. Commun.* 2021, 12, 1–6. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 30. Ma, Q.; Cui, Y.; Chu, X.; Li, G.; Yang, M.; Wang, R.; Liang, G.; Wu, S.; Tigabu, M.; Zhang, F.; et al. Gut Bacterial Communities of *Lymantria xylina* and Their Associations with Host Development and Diet. *Microorganisms* **2021**, *9*, 1860. [CrossRef]
- 31. Chakraborty, A.; Roy, A. Microbial influence on plant–insect interaction. In *Plant-Pest Interactions: From Molecular Mechanisms to Chemical Ecology*; Springer: Singapore, 2021.
- 32. Emery, O.; Schmidt, K.; Engel, P. Immune system stimulation by the gut symbiont *Frischella perrarain* the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Mol. Ecol.* **2017**, *26*, 2576–2590. [CrossRef]
- Engel, P.; Bartlett, K.D.; Moran, N.A. The Bacterium *Frischella perrara* Causes Scab Formation in the Gut of its Honeybee Host. *MBio* 2015, 6, 1–8. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 34. Koch, H.; Schmid-Hempel, P. Socially transmitted gut microbiota protect bumble bees against an intestinal parasite. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2011**, *108*, 19288–19292. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Vernier, C.L.; Chin, I.M.; Adu-Oppong, B.; Krupp, J.J.; Levine, J.; Dantas, G.; Ben-Shahar, Y. The gut microbiome defines social group membership in honey bee colonies. *Sci. Adv.* **2020**, *6*, 42. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 36. Kešnerová, L.; Mars, R.A.T.; Ellegaard, K.M.; Troilo, M.; Sauer, U.; Engel, P. Disentangling metabolic functions of bacteria in the honey bee gut. *PLoS Biol.* **2017**, *15*, e2003467. [CrossRef]
- 37. Zheng, H.; Nishida, A.; Kwong, W.K.; Koch, H.; Engel, P.; Steele, M.I.; Moran, N.A. Metabolism of Toxic Sugars by Strains of the Bee Gut Symbiont *Gilliamella apicola*. *MBio* **2016**, *7*, e01326-16. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zheng, H.; Powell, J.E.; Steele, M.I.; Dietrich, C.; Moran, N.A. Honeybee gut microbiota promotes host weight gain via bacterial metabolism and hormonal signaling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2017, 114, 4775–4780. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Zheng, H.; Perreau, J.; Powell, J.; Han, B.; Zhang, Z.; Kwong, W.K.; Tringe, S.G.; Moran, N.A. Division of labor in honey bee gut microbiota for plant polysaccharide digestion. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2019, *116*, 25909–25916. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Hall, M.A.; Brettell, L.E.; Liu, H.; Nacko, S.; Spooner-Hart, R.; Riegler, M.; Cook, J.M. Temporal changes in the microbiome of stingless bee foragers following colony relocation. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2020**, *97*, fiaa236. [CrossRef]

- 41. Koch, H.; Abrol, D.P.; Li, J.; Schmid-Hempel, P. Diversity and evolutionary patterns of bacterial gut associates of corbiculate bees. *Mol. Ecol.* **2013**, *22*, 2028–2044. [CrossRef]
- 42. Kwong, W.K.; Medina, L.A.; Koch, H.; Sing, K.-W.; Soh, E.J.Y.; Ascher, J.S.; Jaffé, R.; Moran, N.A. Dynamic microbiome evolution in social bees. *Sci. Adv.* **2017**, *3*, e1600513. [CrossRef]
- 43. Leonhardt, S.D.; Kaltenpoth, M. Microbial Communities of Three Sympatric Australian Stingless Bee Species. *PLoS ONE* 2014, 9, e105718. [CrossRef]
- 44. Vásquez, A.; Forsgren, E.; Fries, I.; Paxton, R.; Flaberg, E.; Szekely, L.; Olofsson, T.C. Symbionts as Major Modulators of Insect Health: Lactic Acid Bacteria and Honeybees. *PLoS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e33188. [CrossRef]
- Tang, Q.-H.; Miao, C.-H.; Chen, Y.-F.; Dong, Z.-X.; Cao, Z.; Liao, S.-Q.; Wang, J.-X.; Wang, Z.-W.; Guo, J. The composition of bacteria in gut and beebread of stingless bees (Apidae: Meliponini) from tropics Yunnan, China. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* 2021, 114, 1293–1305. [CrossRef]
- 46. Cerqueira, A.E.S.; Hammer, T.J.; Moran, N.A.; Santana, W.C.; Kasuya, M.C.M.; da Silva, C.C. Extinction of anciently associated gut bacterial symbionts in a clade of stingless bees. *ISME J.* **2021**, *15*, 2813–2816. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Díaz, S.; Urbano, S.D.S.; Caesar, L.; Blochtein, B.; Sattler, A.; Zuge, V.; Haag, K.L. Report on the microbiota of *Melipona quadrifasciata* affected by a recurrent disease. *J. Invertebr. Pathol.* **2017**, *143*, 35–39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Vit, P.; Roubik, D.W.; Pedro, S.R.M. Taxonomy as a Tool for Conservation of African Stingless Bees and Their Honey. In *Eardley, Connal Kwapong, Peter;* Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2013; pp. 1–654. ISBN 9781461449607.
- 49. Engel, P.; James, R.R.; Koga, R.; Kwong, W.K.; Mcfrederick, Q.S.; Moran, N.A. Standard methods for research on *Apis mellifera* gut symbionts. *J. Apic. Res.* 2013, 524, 1–24. [CrossRef]
- 50. Tola, Y.; Waweru, J.; Hurst, G.; Slippers, B.; Paredes, J. Characterization of the Kenyan Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera*) Gut Microbiota: A First Look at Tropical and Sub-Saharan African Bee Associated Microbiomes. *Microorganisms* **2020**, *8*, 1721. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 51. Callahan, B.J.; McMurdie, P.J.; Rosen, M.J.; Han, A.W.; Johnson, A.J.A.; Holmes, S.P. DADA2: High-resolution sample inference from Illumina amplicon data. *Nat. Methods* **2016**, *13*, 581–583. [CrossRef]
- 52. Wang, Q.; Garrity, G.M.; Tiedje, J.M.; Cole, J.R. Naïve Bayesian Classifier for Rapid Assignment of rRNA Sequences into the New Bacterial Taxonomy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 2007, 73, 5261–5267. [CrossRef]
- 53. Kumar, S.; Stecher, G.; Li, M.; Knyaz, C.; Tamura, K. MEGA X: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis across Computing Platforms. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 2018, *35*, 1547–1549. [CrossRef]
- 54. Kimura, M. A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. *J. Mol. Evol.* **1980**, *16*, 111–120. [CrossRef]
- 55. Kapheim, K.M.; Rao, V.D.; Yeoman, C.J.; Wilson, B.A.; White, B.A.; Goldenfeld, N.; Robinson, G.E. Caste-Specific Differences in Hindgut Microbial Communities of Honey Bees (*Apis mellifera*). *PLoS ONE* **2015**, *10*, e0123911. [CrossRef]
- Killer, J.; Dubná, S.; Sedláček, I.; Švec, P. Lactobacillus apis sp. nov., from the stomach of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*), having an in vitro inhibitory effect on the causative agents of American and European foulbrood. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* 2014, 64, 152–157. [CrossRef]
- 57. Olofsson, T.C.; Vásquez, A. Detection and Identification of a Novel Lactic Acid Bacterial Flora within the Honey Stomach of the Honeybee *Apis mellifera*. *Curr. Microbiol.* **2008**, *57*, 356–363. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Praet, J.; Meeus, I.; Cnockaert, M.; Houf, K.; Smagghe, G.; Vandamme, P. Novel lactic acid bacteria isolated from the bumble bee gut: Convivina intestini gen. nov., sp. nov., *Lactobacillus bombicola* sp. nov., and *Weissella bombi* sp. nov. *Antonie Leeuwenhoek* 2015, 107, 1337–1349. [CrossRef]
- 59. Anderson, K.E.; Johansson, A.; Sheehan, T.H.; Mott, B.M.; Corby-Harris, V.; Johnstone, L.; Sprissler, R.; Fitz, W. Draft genome sequences of two *Bifidobacterium* sp. from the honey bee (*Apis mellifera*). *Gut Pathog.* **2013**, *5*, 42. [CrossRef]
- 60. Engel, P.; Martinson, V.G.; Moran, N.A. Functional diversity within the simple gut microbiota of the honey bee. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 11002–11007. [CrossRef]
- 61. Kapheim, K.M.; Johnson, M.M.; Jolley, M. Composition and acquisition of the microbiome in solitary, ground-nesting alkali bees. *Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *11*, 1–11. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 62. Killer, J.; Kopečný, J.; Mrazek, J.; Rada, V.; Benada, O.; Koppová, I.; Havlik, J.; Straka, J. *Bifidobacterium bombi* sp. nov., from the bumblebee digestive tract. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2009**, *59*, 2020–2024. [CrossRef]
- 63. Yun, J.-H.; Lee, J.-Y.; Hyun, D.-W.; Jung, M.-J.; Bae, J.-W. *Bombella apis* sp. nov., an acetic acid bacterium isolated from the midgut of a honey bee. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2017**, *67*, 2184–2188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 64. Li, L.; Illeghems, K.; Van Kerrebroeck, S.; Borremans, W.; Cleenwerck, I.; Smagghe, G.; De Vuyst, L.; Vandamme, P. Whole-Genome Sequence Analysis of *Bombella intestini* LMG 28161T, a Novel Acetic Acid Bacterium Isolated from the Crop of a Red-Tailed Bumble Bee, *Bombus lapidarius*. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0165611. [CrossRef]
- 65. Yukphan, P.; Malimas, T.; Muramatsu, Y.; Potacharoen, W.; Tanasupawat, S.; Nakagawa, Y.; Tanticharoen, M.; Yamada, Y. *Neokomagataea* gen. Nov., with descriptions of *Neokomagataea thailandica* sp. nov. and *Neokomagataea tanensis* sp. Nov., osmotolerant acetic acid bacteria of the α-proteobacteria. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* 2011, 75, 419–426. [CrossRef]
- 66. Ellegaard, K.; Engel, P. New Reference Genome Sequences for 17 Bacterial Strains of the Honey Bee Gut Microbiota. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2018**, *7*, 4–6. [CrossRef]

- 67. Siozios, S.; Moran, J.; Chege, M.; Hurst, G.D.D.; Paredes, J.C. Complete Reference Genome Assembly for *Commensalibacter* sp. Strain AMU001, an Acetic Acid Bacterium Isolated from the Gut of Honey Bees. *Microbiol. Resour. Announc.* **2019**, *8*, 1–2. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 68. Corby-Harris, V.; Maes, P.; Anderson, K.E. The Bacterial Communities Associated with Honey Bee (*Apis mellifera*) Foragers. *PLoS* ONE 2014, 9, e95056. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 69. Rosso, G.B.; Engel, P. Functional roles and metabolic niches in the honey bee gut microbiota. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* **2018**, 43, 69–76. [CrossRef]
- 70. Raymann, K.; Moran, N.A. The role of the gut microbiome in health and disease of adult honey bee workers. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 2018, 26, 97–104. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 71. Hedges, L.M.; Brownlie, J.C.; O'Neill, S.L.; Johnson, K.N. *Wolbachia* and Virus Protection in Insects. *Science* 2008, 322, 702. [CrossRef]
- Teixeira, L.; Ferreira, Á.; Ashburner, M. The Bacterial Symbiont Wolbachia Induces Resistance to RNA Viral Infections in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol. 2008, 6, 2753–2763. [CrossRef]
- Galbraith, D.A.; Fuller, Z.L.; Ray, A.M.; Brockmann, A.; Frazier, M.; Gikungu, M.W.; Martinez, J.F.I.; Kapheim, K.M.; Kerby, J.T.; Kocher, S.D.; et al. Investigating the viral ecology of global bee communities with high-throughput metagenomics. *Sci. Rep.* 2018, 8, 1–11. [CrossRef]
- 74. Werren, J.H.; Baldo, L.; Clark, M.E. *Wolbachia*: Master manipulators of invertebrate biology. *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 2008, *6*, 741–751. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 75. Luna-Lucena, D.; Rabico, F.; Simoes, Z.L. Reproductive capacity and castes in eusocial stingless bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* **2019**, *31*, 20–28. [CrossRef]
- 76. Vollet-Neto, A.; Koffler, S.; Dos Santos, C.F.; Menezes, C.; Nunes, F.; Hartfelder, K.; Imperatriz-Fonseca, V.L.; Alves, D.A. Recent advances in reproductive biology of stingless bees. *Insectes Sociaux* 2018, *65*, 201–212. [CrossRef]