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ABSTRACT: A dual band bandpass filter consisting of a cascade of unit elements and shunt connected stepped open and stepped short circuited stubs, is described. The dual passbands can have unequal, asymmetrical width; the stop-bandwidth between the desired bands and the harmonic upper bands is substantially increased. A prototype filter that finds application in communication systems is developed.
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1. Introduction

Dual band bandpass (DBBP) filters find wide application in telecommunications systems such as WiFi, where more than one band of frequencies is of interest. Conventional approaches to the realization of DBBP filters make use of a variety of structures, such as comblines [1], signal interference [2], C-sections [3], spurlines [4], and separate signal paths [5]. An extensive class of structures make use of coupled stepped impedance resonators [6] – [8] or coupled lines [9], multimode resonators [10] – [12] or various stepped stubs or stepped impedance resonators [13] – [17].

In cases where commensurate length circuit elements are used, the passbands are often of equal width, and the responses are repeated harmonically and symmetrically. In this paper, a dual band bandpass filter is described that realizes dual passbands that can differ in relative bandwidth over a wide range, as much as 12:1, while the stopband-width between the upper passband and harmonic passbands is increased.

The filter consists of a cascade of three shunt connected elemental sections separated by sections of cascade transmission line (unit elements), each of length \( \lambda_0/2 \). The elemental sections each consist of a stepped open circuited stub of total length \( \lambda_0/2 \), and a short circuited stepped stub of total length \( \lambda_0/2 \); both stepped stubs are shunt connected to the nodes formed by the unit elements as circuit elements, as shown in Fig. 1(a). The stepped
stubs are cascades of \( \lambda/4 \) lines of different impedance, and this causes the axis of symmetry that would conventionally be formed at 0.5\( f_0 \), to be shifted, resulting in an unsymmetrical response. The response mapped from 0 to \( f_0 \) is then mirrored between \( f_0 \) and 2\( f_0 \).

![Fig. 1. The elemental OCSS connection. (a) Physical structure. (b) Equivalent circuit.](image)

The stepped open circuited line will force transmission zeros between 0 and \( f_0 \), and again between \( f_0 \) and 2\( f_0 \), the exact location of the transmission zeros being determined by the relative impedances of the stepped stubs. The stepped short circuited stubs will form transmission zeros at 0 and \( f_0 \); passbands are formed to either side of the transmission zeros, and their positions and widths are determined by the relative impedances of the sets of stepped stubs. The passbands properties are determined by the stepped stub impedances, and can be varied over a wide range.

While the physical structure is easily analysed, no procedure exists for the synthesis of the filter from a specification of the desired passband widths, separation, or harmonics. Instead, a heuristic approach to developing a prototype will be followed.

2. Properties of the elemental section

Fig. 1(a) shows a schematic representation of an open circuit stepped stub (OCSS), consisting of unit elements, \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \), each of length \( \lambda_0/4 \), shunt connected to a node between two cascade unit elements; a shorted stepped stub (SCSS) with sections \( Z_3 \) and \( Z_4 \) is connected at the same point. Under Richards’ transform [18], the OCSS will cause two transmission zeros on either side of \( f_0 \), determined by [19]

\[
f_{00} = f_0 \frac{2}{\pi} \tan^{-1} \frac{Z_1}{Z_2}
\]

\[
= 0.5f_0 \pm \Delta f \quad \text{and} \quad 1.5f_0 \mp \Delta f ,
\]
while the equivalent circuit elements of Fig. 1(b) are given by

\[ L_O = \frac{Z_2^2}{Z_1 + Z_2}, \quad C_O = \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1 Z_2}. \]  

(2)

The SCSS exhibits a reflection zero at [20]

\[ f_{00} = f_0 2 \tan^{-1} \sqrt{\frac{Z_4}{Z_3}} \]

\[ = 0.5f_0 \pm \Delta f \quad \text{and} \quad 1.5f_0 \pm \Delta f, \]  

(3)

while the equivalent circuit elements are given by

\[ C_S = \frac{Y_4^2}{Y_3 + Y_4}, \quad L_S = \frac{Y_3 + Y_4}{Y_3 Y_4}, \quad Y_3 = 1/Z_3, \quad Y_4 = 1/Z_4. \]  

(4)

Transmission zeros are formed at 0, \( f_0 \), and 2\( f_0 \).

The equivalent circuit of the shunt connected elemental circuit is shown in Fig. 1(b); Fig. 2 shows an illustrative response with the values of the stepped stubs chosen as \( Z_1 = Z_3 = 30 \Omega \), and \( Z_2 = Z_4 = 70 \Omega \), and the cascade unit elements \( Z_i = 50 \Omega \). For this case the inband transmission zero occurs at 0.3690\( f_0 \), denoted by the arrow in Fig. 2. The response has an axis of symmetry at \( f_0 \propto 4/\lambda_0 \); note that the two passbands are unequal, and not symmetrically spaced, while a mirror image is formed about \( f_0 \) in the band \( f_0 \) to 2\( f_0 \). The choice of \( (Z_1 - Z_3) \) and \( (Z_2 - Z_4) \) in this example is to a certain extent arbitrary; the major consideration is that \( Z_1 \) and \( Z_2 \) are different, and that \( Z_1 < Z_2 \), so as to ensure that the transmission zero falls below 0.5\( f_0 \). Considerations for the values of \( Z_i \) will be discussed later.

![Fig. 2. Elemental section transmission response.](image)

3
3. Compound Structure

The passband response of the elemental section is dramatically improved by cascading three elemental sections, each separated by a unit element of $\lambda_0/2$, as shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Physical layout of compound structure.](image)

With the cascade unit elements set to $Z_{01} = Z_{02} = Z_{03} = Z_{04} = 50\, \Omega$, and the stepped stub impedances $Z_1 = Z_3 = 30\, \Omega$, $Z_2 = Z_4 = 70\, \Omega$, as before, the response of Fig. 4(a) is obtained.

With adjustment of the unit elements to $Z_{01} = Z_{34} = 90\, \Omega$, $Z_{12} = Z_{23} = 160\, \Omega$, both the passband match and the cutoff rate are dramatically improved, as shown in Fig. 4(b).

![Fig. 4. Transmission response of compound structure (elemental response shown dashed).](image)

(a) With 50 $\Omega$ unit elements; (b) With unit elements adjusted for passband match.

The length of the unit elements is chosen as $\lambda_0/2$ to ensure the correct phase relationship between the cascade of elemental sections, so that the contribution of each section adds constructively. At the same time, cascading the elemental sections cause a substantial mismatch, both internally in the filter, as well as between the filter and the 50 $\Omega$ environment. The major contribution of the unit elements in terms of insertion loss, occurs in the vicinity of $0.5f_0$, and its effect on the passband responses can be clearly seen.
4. Element Properties and Development of a Prototype

An exact design procedure for this class of DBBP does not exist. However, evaluation of a large number of prototypes has evolved into a procedure for obtaining a satisfactory filter performance. In the choice of element values, if $Z_1 = Z_2 = Z_3 = Z_4 = Z_{STUB}$, the passbands are approximately equal, and are centered about $f_0/2$ and $1.5f_0$; the response below $f_0$ is always mirrored above $f_0$. High values of $Z_{STUB}$ increase the passband widths and low values of $Z_4$ cause narrow bandwidths.

The ratio, $Z_1/Z_2$ determines the position of the transmission zero between the two passbands; to increase the stop-bandwidth between the upper passband and its image, $Z_1 < Z_2$. The position of the zero between the passbands is fixed with fixed $Z_1/Z_2$; decreasing $Z_3$ shifts the upper passband, with little effect on the lower passband. A decrease in $Z_4$ causes the two passbands to shift upwards in frequency; the lower passband is forced closer to the transmission zero, becomes narrower, while the upper passband shifts higher in frequency, and increases in bandwidth.

The internal impedance of filter is substantially mismatched. Over a wide range of filters it has been found that good starting values are $Z_{12} = Z_{23} = 150 \, \Omega$, $Z_{01} = Z_{34} = 80 \, \Omega$.

In developing a filter, all stub impedances are initially set equal to 50 $\Omega$, and the unit elements set to $Z_{12} = Z_{23} = 150 \, \Omega$, $Z_{01} = Z_{34} = 80 \, \Omega$. Now the stub impedances are adjusted: the value of $Z_1$ is reduced and the value of $Z_2$ increased to obtain the approximate positions of the passbands. Then $Z_3$ and $Z_4$ are adjusted to improve the response towards the desired value. Finally, Adjust the unit element impedances are adjusted to obtain acceptable passband reflection coefficients.

5. Flexibility of Realization

Three examples are included to illustrate the flexibility of the design; examples were chosen to illustrate the wide range of relative bandwidths that are achieved. The element values are given in Table I, as well as the relative bandwidths of the passbands. Fig. 5(a) to 5(c) show the responses for the first passbands, from 0 to $f_0$. A mirror image is mapped from $f_0$ to $2f_0$. In the illustrated examples, the ratio of lower passband bandwidth ($B_L$) to upper passband bandwidth ($B_H$) varies between $B_L/B_H = 0.18$ and 5.1; ratios between 0.1 and 10 can be readily obtained.
Fig. 5. Transmission and Reflection Response of illustrative designs. (a) Narrow lower passband, wide upper passband, wide upper stopband. (b) Lower and upper passbands approximately equal, slight reduction in upper stopband. (c) Transmission zero shifted above 0.5$f_0$ causes narrow upper passband, reduction in upper stopband.
### Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element values (Ω)</th>
<th>Figure</th>
<th>S(a)</th>
<th>S(b)</th>
<th>S(c)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z_1$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_2$</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_3$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_4$</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{12} = Z_{23}$</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_{01} = Z_{34}$</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_L/f_0$ %</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_H/f_0$ %</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_L/B_H$</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6. Prototype Filter

Following the procedure described above, a prototype was developed for which the theoretical frequency response over a period of $2f_0$ is shown in Fig. 6(a), while the detail of the passbands is shown in Fig. 6(b). Table II shows the element values for the stepped Open circuit (OC) and Short Circuited (SC) stubs, and unit elements.

![Transmission and reflection response of prototype filter](image)

**Fig. 6.** Transmission and reflection response of prototype filter. (a) Over a bandwidth of $2f_0$. (b) Expanded view of the passbands.
Table II
Element values for Prototype Filter (Ω)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stepped Stub Impedances</th>
<th>Unit Element Impedances</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_1 = 24$</td>
<td>$Z_{01} = 83$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_2 = 70$</td>
<td>$Z_{12} = 165$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_3 = 24$</td>
<td>$Z_{33} = 165$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z_4 = 35$</td>
<td>$Z_{34} = 83$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The filter was constructed as an etched microstrip circuit on RT Duroid 5880, with $\varepsilon_r = 2.2$, and dielectric thickness $h = 1.575$ mm. Fig. 7 shows a photograph of the constructed filter. As the shorted stubs are all of the same length, they were extended to the edge of the printed board, and the short circuits realized by wrapping copper foil of appropriate width over the ends of the lines and soldering to the ground planes and stubs. The difference in widths between the various stubs and unit elements are substantial, and were compensated to some extent as shown in Fig. 7; this also applied to end effect compensation.

![Fig. 7. Manufactured dual band bandpass filter.](image)

The measured reflection coefficient response $S_{11}$ of the filter is compared to the fullwave analysis and the theoretical response up to the first harmonic response in Fig. 8; the measured transmission and reflection response in the passbands are compared to the theoretical calculations in Fig. 9.

Table III shows a comparison of the measured and theoretical parameters of the filter. The agreement between theoretical and measured parameters is good in the region of the two passbands, and up to the center frequency. Above that, the response diverges from the design
values, due to the substantial discontinuities at the junctions between unit elements and stubs, as well as the fact that no tuning has been performed on this filter.

![Graph showing measured and theoretical values.](image)

**Fig. 8.** Comparison of the measured return loss response with the fullwave-analysis and the theoretical values.

![Graph showing return loss and transmission coefficient.](image)

**Fig. 9.** Comparison of the return loss and transmission Coefficient for the measured and theoretical filter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table III</th>
<th>Filter Properties</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Frequency (GHz)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_0$</td>
<td>5.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_L$</td>
<td>0.177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_L$</td>
<td>1.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_U$</td>
<td>0.382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_U$</td>
<td>3.378</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B_S$</td>
<td>4.714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table III,

- $f_0$ = Centre Frequency
- $B_L$ = Lower passband bandwidth
- $f_L$ = Lower passband centre frequency
- $B_U$ = Upper passband bandwidth
- $f_U$ = Upper passband centre frequency
- $B_S$ = Stopband between upper passband and image.
7. Conclusion

In this paper a dual passband filter that employs open and short circuited stepped stubs has been described. A major advantage is that it is possible to realize passbands of different bandwidth, as well as to separate the wanted passbands from the harmonic passbands. This structure is inherently capable of realizing a wide variety of different sets of bandwidths and is of simple construction. Good agreement is found between calculated and measured values.
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