Genome-Based Selection and Characterization of Fusarium circinatum-Specific Sequences

Mkhululi N. Maphosa,* Emma T. Steenkamp,† and Brenda D. Wingfield*,1

*Department of Genetics and [†]Department of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, 0028 South Africa

ABSTRACT Fusarium circinatum is an important pathogen of pine trees and its management in the commercial forestry environment relies largely on early detection, particularly in seedling nurseries. The fact that the entire genome of this pathogen is available opens new avenues for the development of diagnostic tools for this fungus. In this study we identified open reading frames (ORFs) unique to F. circinatum and determined that they were specific to the pathogen. The ORF identification process involved bioinformaticsbased screening of all the putative F. circinatum ORFs against public databases. This was followed by functional characterization of ORFs found to be unique to F. circinatum. We used PCR- and hybridization-based approaches to confirm the presence of selected unique genes in different strains of F. circinatum and their absence from other Fusarium species for which genome sequence data are not yet available. These included species that are closely related to F. circinatum as well as those that are commonly encountered in the forestry environment. Thirty-six ORFs were identified as potentially unique to F. circinatum. Nineteen of these encode proteins with known domains while the other 17 encode proteins of unknown function. The results of our PCR analyses and hybridization assays showed that three of the selected genes were present in all of the strains of F. circinatum tested and absent from the other Fusarium species screened. These data thus indicate that the selected genes are common and unique to F. circinatum. These genes thus could be good candidates for use in rapid, in-the-field diagnostic assays specific to F. circinatum. Our study further demonstrates how genome sequence information can be mined for the identification of new diagnostic markers for the detection of plant pathogens.

KEYWORDS

pitch canker diagnostic candidates unique genes

Fusarium circinatum is the causal agent of pitch canker, which is an economically important disease of pines (Wingfield et al. 2008). The first known incidence of an epidemic caused by this fungus occurred in the south-eastern United States in 1946 (Gordon et al. 2001), but the pathogen has since spread worldwide and is responsible for devastating forestry industry losses (Viljoen et al. 1994; Gordon et al. 2001; Wingfield et al. 2002, 2008; Landeras et al. 2005;

Copyright © 2016 Maphosa et al. doi: 10.1534/g3.115.025817

Manuscript received December 9, 2015; accepted for publication January 1, 2016; published Early Online February 17, 2016.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

¹Corresponding author: Department of Genetics, Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute, University of Pretoria, Faculty of Natural and Agricultural Sciences, Pretoria, Cnr Lynnwood and Roper Str., Pretoria, 0028 South Africa. E-mail: Brenda.wingfield@fabi.up.ac.za

Carlucci et al. 2007; Alonso and Bettucci 2009; Bragança et al. 2009; Steenkamp et al. 2012; Pfenning et al. 2014). In regions where the pathogen occurs, it often also has a complicated life history and distribution that could not have been easily predicted. In South Africa, for example, the pathogen initially appeared to be confined to nurseries where it caused severe root disease on pine seedlings and cuttings (Viljoen et al. 1994; Wingfield et al. 2008), while it emerged as a plantation pathogen only recently (Coutinho et al. 2007; Steenkamp et al. 2014) by affecting established or mature pine trees in the Eastern Cape, Western Cape, and KwaZulu Natal Provinces (Britz et al. 2005; Coutinho et al. 2007; Steenkamp et al. 2014; Santana et al. 2015).

The global spread of *F. circinatum* could be attributed to trade in seeds while the spread from nurseries to plantations is probably the consequence of practices that involve the planting of contaminated or infected seedlings (Wingfield *et al.* 2008). Therefore, a major challenge facing forestry industries has been the detection of the pathogen in plant growth media and in plant tissues especially during the early stages of infection. However, one of the most significant hurdles in terms of early detection has been the lack of rapid,

■ Table 1 Primers used in this study indicating different annealing temperatures for each primer pair

F. circinatum Gene	Name	Sequence 5'-3'	Annealing Temperatures
FCIRG_14470	FCIRG_14470F	CCTCTTCCGCCTCAACTA	55
	FCIRG_14470R	GAGCCGTTTAGCGACCTG	
FCIRG_06550	FCIRG_06550F	CCCTCCCAGCAACCACCG	57
	FCIRG_06550R	CGACCGTTTCCTGGCTGACC	
FCIRG_06217	FCIRG_06217F	AGAGGTCCCAGTAGCAGTAG	54
	FCIRG_06217R	GCACCTTGTCTTCCTCGG	
FCIRG_05181	FCIRG_05181F	CGCAGACGCTGAAGAAAA	57
	FCIRG_05181R	TGGCAGGTTGACAGTGAAAT	
FCIRG_10575	FCIRG_10575F	TCTCGGAATAGGTCTTGTATCAGC	58
	FCIRG_10575R	CCTGGCGAGGCGACATTAGC	

in-the-field pathogen detection tools. The currently available quantitative real-time PCR methodologies (Schweigkofler *et al.* 2004; Ioos *et al.* 2009; Dreaden *et al.* 2012) all utilize expensive and sophisticated equipment that are not practically and economically feasible for routine use in nurseries and field stations. Alternative tools such as the DNA-based loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) method (Tomita *et al.* 2008) and antigen-based enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) test kits (Gan *et al.* 1997) would be much more appropriate for in-the-field detection, but have not yet been developed for the pitch canker pathogen.

The development of diagnostic assays based on technologies such as LAMP and ELISA is dependent on the availability of pathogen-specific targets to allow unambiguous identification of *F. circinatum*. In the case of LAMP, the DNA target region should ideally span an area not exceeding 200 bp specific to the genome of *F. circinatum* (Notomi *et al.* 2000; Tomita *et al.* 2008), while the ELISA targets should represent antigenic proteins with epitopes specific to the pathogen (Gan *et al.* 1997). However, the available diagnostic tools for this fungus were mostly developed based on known taxonomic markers and accordingly rely on polymorphisms within highly conserved and/or noncoding DNA regions (Steenkamp *et al.* 1999; Schweigkofler *et al.* 2004; Ioos *et al.* 2009; Dreaden *et al.* 2012), which would not be suitable for LAMP purposes or for developing ELISA tools.

Increased access to whole genome sequence information for fungal pathogens has opened up the possibility of mining these genomes for suitable targets to use in diagnostics. The genome sequences for various Fusarium species have been determined previously and are in the public domain; e.g., the Fusarium Comparative Sequencing Project (Broad Institute of Harvard and MIT; http://www.broadinstitute.org) and the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov). This is also true for the pitch canker fungus (Wingfield et al. 2012) and its close relatives F. verticillioides (Fusarium Comparative Sequencing Project) and F. fujikuroi (Wiemann et al. 2013). Although comparisons among these genomes have revealed high levels of synteny, various chromosomal regions in these fungi have been suggested to be strain- or speciesspecific (Wiemann et al. 2013; De Vos et al. 2014). The overall goal of this study was, therefore, to explore the possibility of using genome-based information to identify targets that would be suitable for future development of diagnostic methods based on technologies such as LAMP and ELISA. Our first aim was to analyze the protein-coding component of the F. circinatum genome against those of other Fusarium species in public databases to identify genes unique to the pitch canker fungus. We then characterized the identified sequences in terms of the proteins they encode, as well as the cellular localization and antigenicity of the inferred proteins. Finally, genes that were apparently specific to F. circinatum and that could potentially encode products unique to this fungus were then

evaluated for their distribution among isolates of *F. circinatum* and their absence in other species of *Fusarium*, particularly those such as *F. proliferatum* (Stępień *et al.* 2011) and *F. oxysporum* (Fravel *et al.* 2003) which often occur in the same environment as the pitch canker fungus. This study will thus provide the foundation for future development of highly specific diagnostic assays for this important pathogen, both in terms of potential gene targets and the methodologies to identify suitable diagnostic markers.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Screening of the F. circinatum genome to identify species-specific genes

In this study, the genome sequence information for one strain (FSP34) of F. circinatum was used (Wingfield et al. 2012). Genome data and predicted protein sequences of F. oxysporum, F. graminearum, and F. verticillioides were obtained from the Broad Institute's Fusarium Comparative Sequencing Project. The genomic data of *F. fujikuroi* that were generated by Wiemann et al. (2013) were obtained from the authors. A nucleotide database and a protein database of all these genomes were created on CLC Main Workbench 5.7 (CLC bio A/S). This platform was then used to search for homologs of the ca. 15,000 putative genes of F. circinatum (Wingfield et al. 2012) in the genomes of these other fungi by making use of BLASTn and a word size of 11. In a similar way, the protein sequences encoded by the screened genes were then analyzed on the protein database using BLASTp searches to identify potentially unique proteins in F. circinatum. All the identified genes were then screened against the nucleotide and protein sequences databases at the NCBI, using BLASTn and BLASTp searches. For the purposes of this study, unique open reading frames (ORFs) were defined as those showing less than 50% nucleotide sequence identity and encode for proteins returning less than 30% positive amino acid identity from all screened databases.

Putative unique ORFs or ORFs that are potentially specific to *F. circinatum* were subjected to BLASTx and tBLASTn analyses using the search engines and databases of the Broad Institute and NCBI to characterize the potential protein products coded for by these putative genes. All putative genes that potentially coded for protein sequences similar to sequences available in either of these public databases were eliminated from our set of ORFs that are potentially unique to *F. circinatum*.

In silico characterization of possible F. circinatumspecific genes

To predict functions for the *F. circinatum*-specific candidate genes, their inferred amino acid sequences were analyzed on the following databases: Pfam (Punta *et al.* 2012) to determine which protein family they belong to; conserved domains (CDD) (Marchler-Bauer *et al.* 2011) to

Table 2 Fungal isolates and species used in this study as well as their hosts and geographic origins

Isolates ^a	Species	Host and Origin ^b
CMWF530, CMWF1799, CMWF1800,	F. circinatum	Pinus patula, Mexico, Hildalgo
CMWF1801, CMWF1802, CMWF1803		
CMWF550	F. circinatum	Pinus leiophylla, Mexico, North-central Michoacan
CMWF567	F. circinatum	Pinus douglasiana, Mexico, Jalisco
CMWF1804	F. circinatum	Pinus greggii, Mexico, Laguna Atezca
CMWF39, CMWF30, CMWF45	F. circinatum	Pinus patula, South Africa, Mpumalanga
CMWF56	F. circinatum	Pinus greggii, South Africa, Mpumalanga
CMWF497	F. circinatum	Pinus patula, South Africa, Mpumalanga
CMWF538, CMWF513,	F. circinatum	Pinus radiata, South Africa, Western Cape
CMWF659, CMWF674		
CMWF350	F. circinatum	Pinus radiata, USA, California
CMWF968, CMWF1002	F. oxysporum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Gauteng
CMWF915, CMWF927	F. oxysporum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, KwaZulu Natal
CMWF940	F. oxysporum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Western Cape
CMWF985	F. oxysporum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Western Cape
CMWF978	F. pallidoroseum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, KwaZulu Natal
CMWF948, CMWF898	F. proliferatum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Gauteng
CMWF1155, CMWF1161	F. proliferatum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, KwaZulu Natal
CMWF1182	F. proliferatum	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Western Cape
CMWF1005	F. solani	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, Western Cape
CMWF1147	F. solani	Syzygium cordatum, South Africa, KwaZulu Natal
CMWF1474, CMWF1475	F. subglutinans	Zea mays, USA, Illinois

^a CMWF refers to the Fusarium culture collection of the Forestry and Agriculture Biotechnology Institute, FABI, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

deduce any conserved domains they might encode; and Simple Modular Architecture Research Tool (SMART) (Letunic et al. 2012) to ascertain the arrangement of different domains (where applicable). To predict the cellular localization of the putative proteins, the following programs were used: SignalP (Dyrløv Bendtsen et al. 2004) to predict any signal peptides within the first 70 amino acids of the protein sequence; and WoLF PSORT (Horton et al. 2007) to predict subcellular localization. To evaluate if the proteins could be applicable in an immune assay such as ELISA, VaxiJen (Doytchinova and Flower 2007) was used to predict antigenicity. To determine if there could be paralogs within the F. circinatum genome we analyzed the ORF sequences against the F. circinatum genomic data using the BLASTn function on CLC Bio workbench. We further analyzed the unique candidate sequences against the available F. circinatum RNA sequence data (Wingfield et al. 2012) to ascertain the evidence of expression.

Evaluating the specificity of the identified ORFs to F. circinatum

PCR primers were designed as close as possible to the beginning and end of the predicted ORFs by making use of Primer Premier (Abd-Elsalam 2003). These primers (Table 1) were then used to amplify the genes in a set of *F. circinatum* isolates (Table 2). These were specifically chosen to span the known diversity of the fungus, as reported in various studies on its population biology (Viljoen et al. 1997; Wikler and Gordon 2000; Steenkamp et al. 2014). We also included a set of other Fusarium species available in our culture collection in these screenings to evaluate the occurrence of the identified genes in taxa other than the pitch canker pathogen (Table 2). Although this second isolate set included a number of Fusarium species, those commonly encountered in pinebased forestry environments were emphasized. Therefore, various isolates were specifically chosen to span a broad diversity in each of F. oxysporum and F. proliferatum.

For these PCR-based analyses, we used 25-µl reaction mixtures consisting of 2.5 mM of each dNTP, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 10 µM of each primer, 100 ng template DNA, 0.03U Taq DNA polymerase, and reaction buffer (Roche). The PCR cycling conditions were as follows: initial denaturation hold at 94° for 5 min, 30 cycles of denaturation at 94° for 30 sec, annealing for 30 sec (see Table 1 for specific temperatures), and elongation at 72° for 30 sec, one hold for elongation at 72° for 7 min, followed by a final hold at 4°. The samples were analyzed using 2% agarose gel electrophoresis (Sambrook et al. 1989) using gel red as a DNA indicator and a 100 bp ladder (Promega) as a size marker.

All amplicons were purified using the Invitek PCR clean up kit and then sequenced in both directions using the original PCR primers. For this purpose the Big Dye kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) and an ABI PRISM 3100 Autosequencer (Applied Biosystems) at the University of Pretoria's sequencing facility were used. All sequence traces were analyzed and assembled into contigs using CLC Bio workbench, after which sequence alignments were conducted using ClustalW in Mega version 5 (Tamura et al. 2011). Sequences derived from F. circinatum isolates were analyzed against each other to check for variations and sequences from other Fusarium species were compared to the F. circinatum sequences to check for similarities.

We used dot blot hybridization assays to screen for the presence of the identified candidate genes in each of the isolates included in the study. These assays were also used to resolve instances where PCR resulted in no amplification and/or multiple amplicons that could not be sequenced. For these assays, we utilized Roche's DIG (digoxigenin) High Prime DNA Labeling and Detection Kit (Roche, Manheim, Germany). Genomic DNA of the fungal isolates (Table 2) was blotted onto positively charged nylon membranes and hybridized at 42° with the respective random primed

The isolates of F. circinatum were all reported from previous studies where those from Mexico and California were used by Wikler and Gordon (2000), while those from the Western Cape and Mpumalanga provinces of South Africa were respectively reported by Steenkamp et al. (2014) and Viljoen et al. (1997). The representatives of F. subglutinans came from the study of Steenkamp et al. (2001). All of the isolates from Syzigium cordatum originated from a previous survey of the diversity of Fusarium species associated with this host in South Africa (Kvas et al. 2008; E. Steenkamp, unpublished data).

■ Table 3 Genes that are potentially unique to *F. circinatum* indicating gene sizes, protein sizes, and number of introns as per information derived from the *F. circinatum* genome annotation

Name of Gene in FSP34	Gene Size	Predicted Protein Size	Expression Values ^a	Number of Introns
FCIRG_01122	819	166	_	1
FCIRG_12049	2631	479	9.33	6
FCIRG_07223	3057	944	4.42	3
FCIRG_06393	712	206	17.23	2
FCIRG_00789	2520	375	7.47	4
FCIRG_14829	1022	290	7.04	4
FCIRG_05207	530	169	2.68	1
FCIRG_05759	1863	412	46.49	2
FCIRG_03368	708	219	5.86	2
FCIRG_08620	945	249	3.64	3
FCIRG_03489	3179	1038	_	1
FCIRG_14907	537	136	_	3
FCIRG 14908	647	144	26.17	3
FCIRG_12843	1632	544	4.46	_
FCIRG_15130	1139	349	_	2
FCIRG_12122	2611	733	8.04	4
FCIRG_10746	1334	189	16.78	1
FCIRG_14470	1227	409	1.11	_
FCIRG_13499	630	174	3.90	2
FCIRG_13677	1011	337	_	_
FCIRG_06550	1284	428	0.53	_
FCIRG_02584	3390	795	2.10	2
FCIRG_06217	820	263	44.84	1
FCIRG_10116	4186	1045	11.75	5
FCIRG_06189	2734	849	6.34	3
FCIRG_05800	1918	551	1.10	4
FCIRG_03074	2311	579	0.39	9
FCIRG_09402	508	155	_	1
FCIRG_05181	589	190	0.79	1
FCIRG_03107	724	228	3.65	2
FCIRG_10765	1982	375	_	6
FCIRG_04655	1706	259	2.34	2
FCIRG_10144	1484	439	11.21	3
FCIRG_02555	2585	540	_	8
FCIRG_09038	949	173	1.74	3
FCIRG_10575	486	159	0.47	1

The expression values were extracted from the available RNA sequence data.

DIG-labeled amplicons of *F. circinatum* isolate FSP34 (*i.e.*, the labeled amplicon for each of the candidate genes was hybridized to the genomic DNA of each of the respective isolates). All hybridizations and detections were conducted according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Data availability

All the genome sequences used in this study are available without restriction.

RESULTS

Screening of the F. circinatum genome to identify species-specific genes

BLASTn analyses against the genomic database of *F. oxysporum*, *F. graminearum*, *F. verticillioides*, and *F. fujikuroi* returned 411 *F. circinatum* ORFs that were <50% similar to those of the other fungi. This set of ORFs also did not include smaller genes (<450 bp) that would encode proteins less than 140 amino acids long as their limited size might complicate detection assays based on ELISA technologies. BLASTp analyses using the 411 ORF sequences resulted in the identification of 214 predicted

F. circinatum proteins that showed <30% amino acid sequence similarity to those in the other Fusarium genomes. Screening of these 214 ORFs against NCBI's database identified three ORFs that were more than 50% similar at the nucleotide level to other genes in the database. After excluding these ORFs, screening of the predicted amino acid sequences for the remaining 211 ORFs against NCBI's protein database returned 36 putative proteins that shared <30% amino acid similarity to other proteins in the database (Table 3). A final screening of these 36 ORFs against the NCBI and Broad Institute databases using BLASTx and a tBLASTn confirmed that they all represented potentially unique sequences in the pitch canker fungus.

In silico characterization of possible F. circinatumspecific genes

Of the 36 putative genes potentially unique to *F. circinatum*, 19 encode proteins with known domains (Table 4) and 17 encode proteins of unknown function (Table 5). SignalP predicted that three of the putative proteins had signal peptides and were also predicted to be extracellular proteins by WoLF PSORT. Some putative proteins were predicted to represent mitochondrial proteins, but these were likely exported to this organelle as no significant hits were obtained when

^a Expression values derived from RNA sequence data in reads per kilobase per million (RPKM).

Table 4 F. circinatum potentially unique candidate genes with known putative domains, indicating putative protein families and domains, the top predicted subcellular localization, and whether proteins are antigens or nonantigens

FCIRG_07223 Oxidored_FMN OYE_like_FMN N cyto TIM_phosphate_binding superfamily NAD_binding_8 superfamily NemA FCIRG_00789 Fungal_trans_2 Fungal_trans_2 superfamily RTA1 RTA1 superfamily FCIRG_05207 RR_TM4-6 DUF4337 IFP_35_N	Nonantigen Antigen Nonantigen
RTA1 RTA1 superfamily FCIRG_05207 RR_TM4-6 N cyto_nucl	· ·
DUF4337 IFP_35_N	Nonantigen
FCIRG_05759 DUF2935 N cyto_nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_03368 DPBB_1 PAT1 Y extr	Antigen
FCIRG_03489 TcdA_TcdB_pore TcdA_TcdB_pore superfamily N mito Pfam-B_4370 Pfam-B_8938	Antigen
FCIRG_14908 HET HET superfamily N mito	Antigen
FCIRG_12843 Lysine_decarbox Lysine_decarbox superfamily N cyto	Antigen
FCIRG_15130 Pfam-B_12758 N nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_12122 MMR_HSR1 Ras_like_GTPase superfamily N nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_13499 Elong_lki1 Y extr	Nonantigen
FCIRG_10116 Peptidase_S8 Peptidases_S8_S53 N nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_06189 Pfam-B_19120 ZnF_C2HC N nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_05800 Pfam-B_360 Abhydrolase_6 N nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_03074 DDR NBD_sugar-kinase_HSP70_actin N cysk superfamily	Antigen
FCIRG_10765 MFS_1 HpaX N plas	Nonantigen
FCIRG_04655 tail_TIGR02242 superfamily N cyto_nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_02555 Aldedh NBD_sugar-kinase_HSP70_actin N cyto superfamily	Antigen
FCIRG_09038 ADIP N nucl	Antigen

Protein family as predicted by the program Pfam.

comparing the ORFs against the F. circinatum mitochondrial genome data (Fourie et al. 2013), thus confirming that all of the 36 ORFs are encoded on the nuclear genome. Twenty-four putative proteins were predicted to be potentially antigenic, suggesting that they are good candidates for an immune-based diagnostic assay. No paralogs of any of these ORFs were identified in the F. circinatum genomic data and we found evidence of expression in *F. circinatum* for 28 of the ORFs (Table 3).

Evaluating the specificity of the identified ORFs to F. circinatum

The 17 genes that encode putative proteins without any known domains were regarded as good candidates for diagnostics. This is because their use might eliminate cross-reactivity associated with the use of proteins with conserved domains that can present the same epitopes. Among the 17 ORFs encoding proteins with no known domains, we selected five for which we found evidence for expression and that potentially encode antigenic proteins. Therefore, primers were designed to amplify the five *F. circinatum* genes FCIRG_14470, FCIRG_06550, FCIRG_06217, FCIRG_05181, and FCIRG_10575. Three primer sets designed for the genes FCIRG_14470, FCIRG_05181, and FCIRG_10575 resulted in amplicons of the expected size in all tested isolates of *F. circinatum*. Sequence analyses of the FCIRG_05181 amplicons revealed single nucleotide polymorphisms among different isolates of F. circinatum, while no differences were observed in FCIRG_10575 and FCIRG_14470. The primer set designed for FCIRG_06217 amplified different sized amplicons in the various F. circinatum strains. Sequence analyses of these amplicons revealed that the observed polymorphism is due to various indels (20-115 bp) in different F. circinatum isolates. The PCRs with the primers designed for FCIRG_06550 failed to generate amplicons in some F. circinatum isolates (Table 6). These findings were confirmed by the results of the dot blot hybridization assays, where positive hybridization was observed for all of the reactions with the probes for FCIRG_14470, FCIRG_06217, FCIRG_05181, and FCIRG_10575. Reactions with the probe for FCIRG_06550 only showed positive hybridization for those isolates from which the corresponding amplicon could be generated.

No corresponding amplicons of the expected size were amplified using any of the five primers pairs in the other Fusarium species tested. Although not within the expected size range, amplicons were obtained in some Fusarium species. Primers for FCIRG_10575 resulted in multiple-sized amplicons with most of the Fusarium species tested, and no sequence analysis was done on its amplicons. Sequencing of the amplicons obtained with the primers for FCIRG_06550, FCIRG_05151, FCIRG_14470, and FCIRG_06217 from the non-F. circinatum isolates showed that they were all different from those of F. circinatum. Sequence comparison of the FCIRG_05181 amplicon obtained from

Conserved domains as predicted from the conserved domain database.

Presence (Y) or absence (N) of signal peptides as predicted by the program SignalP.

Top predicted subcellular localization of the putative proteins as predicted by the program WoLF PSORT.

e Predicted antigenicity or nonantigenicity of the putative proteins as predicted by the program Vaxijen.

■ Table 5 F. circinatum potentially unique candidate genes with no currently known protein motifs indicating top hits on subcellular localization, signal peptides (N, not present and Y, present) and whether proteins are antigens or nonantigens

Name of Gene in FSP34	SignalP	WoLF PSORT	VaxiJen
FCIRG_01122	N	cyto_nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_02584	Ν	cyto	Antigen
FCIRG_03107	Υ	extr	Nonantigen
FCIRG_05181	Ν	cyto	Antigen
FCIRG_06217	Ν	mito	Antigen
FCIRG_06393	Ν	nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_06550	Ν	extr	Antigen
FCIRG_08620	Ν	nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_09402	Ν	cyto_nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_10144	Ν	mito	Nonantigen
FCIRG_10575	Ν	mito	Antigen
FCIRG_10746	Ν	nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_12049	Ν	nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_13677	Ν	nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_14829	Ν	nucl	Antigen
FCIRG_14907	Ν	nucl	Nonantigen
FCIRG_14470	N	extr	Antigen

See Table 4 for description of the various entries.

F. oxysporum with F. circinatum also resulted in <50% identity (Figure 1). Based on our parameters for defining unique ORFs, none of the sequences from the other species (including F. oxysporum) was therefore regarded as similar or homologous to those of F. circinatum. These findings further corresponded with the results of the dot blot hybridization assays, which suggested that $FCIRG_05151$, $FCIRG_14470$, $FCIRG_06217$, and $FCIRG_06550$ were absent from all of the non-F. circinatum isolates tested. The only exception was $FCIRG_10575$, which appeared to be present in both of the tested F. subglutinans isolates.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we utilized a genome-based *in silico* approach to identify and characterize a set of genes that are potentially unique to *F. circinatum*.

Although it is possible that we might have excluded suitable gene targets during the initial identification phase of the process, our use of >50% and >30% sequence similarity cut-off values, at the respective DNA and protein levels, ensured that the genes or ORFs identified in this fungus encode products that are quite distinct from other proteins. In other words, strongly conserved genes with homologous sequences in related fungi were excluded to limit the possibility of unwanted cross-reactivity in diagnostic assays. For example, a LAMP assay utilizes six primers targeting eight regions within a DNA fragment of between 130 bp and 200 bp; and for it to be unambiguous, all the primers have to be specific to the target organism (Notomi et al. 2000). Such cross-reactivity can also occur in an immune-based assay such as ELISA which utilizes the interactions between an antibody and epitopes on an antigen; and homologous proteins that potentially have similar folding patterns could present similar epitopes that would allow cross-reaction with antibodies. Our relatively conservative approach for identifying genes or ORFs unique to F. circinatum thus facilitated compilation of a list of putative gene targets that are sufficiently variable to ultimately allow for their potential use in the diagnostics of this pathogen.

Among the set of 36 ORFs potentially unique to the pitch canker fungus, 17 encode proteins with obscure features (POFs) (Armisén et al. 2008) that lack known and defined motifs or domains. Arguably, these ORFs would represent good candidates for diagnostics because of their apparent uniqueness and lack of domains common to other organisms. Although all 17 of these ORFs appear to be transcribed and 10 are predicted to be antigenic, more work is, however, needed to fully understand their expression and the types of proteins they encode, before utilizing them for immune-based procedures. The ideal candidates for an immune-based assay would be genes that are constitutively expressed in all the life stages of the pathogen, while their protein products are stable and easily accessible or extractable (Gan et al. 1997).

The other 19 ORFs that are potentially unique to *F. circinatum* encode proteins involved in a range of different processes. These include cellular division (FCIRG_03368) (Wang *et al.* 1996), growth (FCIRG_12122) (Callebaut *et al.* 2001), and maintenance (FCIRG_10765) (Pao *et al.* 1998), as well as host colonization (FCIRG_10116, FCIRG_05800, and FCIRG_00789) (Soustre *et al.* 1996; Suárez

■ Table 6 Summary of PCR amplification of the five selected genes in different strains of F. circinatum

Isolates	FICIRG_06217	FCIRG_06550	FCIRG_10575	FCIRG_05181	FCIRG_14470
CMWF30	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF39	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF45	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF56	+	_	+	+	+
CMWF350	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF497	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF538	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF513	+	_	+	+	+
CMWF659	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF674	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF530	+	_	+	_	_
CMWF550	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF560	+	_	+	+	+
CMWF567	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1221	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1799	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1800	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1801	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1802	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1803	+	+	+	+	+
CMWF1804	+	+	+	+	+

Summary of PCR results indicating successful amplification (+) and no amplicon obtained (—). Mexican isolate CMWF530 gave inconsistent results.

		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
CMWF30	1		99.77	100.00	100.00	99.77	99.77	99.77	99.77	39.51
CMWF497	2	0.00		99.77	99.77	100.00	100.00	100.00	100.00	39.71
CMWF538	3	0.00	0.00		100.00	99.77	99.77	99.77	99.77	39.51
CMWF659	4	0.00	0.00	0.00		99.77	99.77	99.77	99.77	39.51
CMWF674	5	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100.00	100.00	100.00	39.71
CMWF550	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3	100.00	100.00	39.71
CMWF560	7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		100.00	39.71
CMWF567	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		39.71
CMWF915	9	0.99	0.98	0.99	0.99	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.98	

Figure 1 Pairwise comparison of FCIRG_05181 amplicon sequences from different strains of F. circinatum (CMWF30, CMWF497, CMWF538, CMWF659, CMWF674, CMWF550, CMWF560, and CMWF567) and F. oxysporum isolate (CMWF915). Percentage similarity is shown above the diagonal and Jukes-Cantor corrected distances are shown below the diagonal.

et al. 2007; Carr and Ollis 2009). Some of these ORFs also encode substrate-transforming proteins (FCIRG_03079, FCIRG_02555, FCIRG_12843, and FCIRG_07223) (Williams and Bruce 2002), while others encode products potentially involved in transcription (FCIRG_00789) (Shelest 2008) and nonself recognition (FCIRG_14908) (Espagne et al. 2002). One of the identified ORFs encoded the TcdA/ TcdB pore motif (FCIRG_03489) of the Clostridium difficile toxin A and toxin B pore-forming region (Qa'Dan et al. 2000). Clostridial toxins A and B are a class of virulence factors that cause serious diseases in mammals (Qa'Dan et al. 2000) and their occurrence in fungi and effects on plants has not been reported.

All 36 ORFs were compared against the F. circinatum mitochondrial genome assembly data (Fourie et al. 2013) to check if any of them could represent mitochondrial genes. No significant hits were obtained indicating that these were all nuclear genes. Roughly 1% of mitochondrial proteins are typically encoded by the mitochondrial genome while the rest are encoded on the nuclear genome (Pfanner and Geissler 2001; Schmidt et al. 2010). As a result, the large majority of mitochondrial proteins are synthesized as precursor proteins in the cytoplasm and imported into the organelle (Schmidt et al. 2010). Our results thus suggest that at least four of the ORFs (FCIRG_03489, FCIRG_14908, FCIRG_06217, and FCIRG_10144) apparently unique to F. circinatum encode for proteins that are transported in a similar way into the mitochondrion. It would be interesting to understand exactly how they function in this cellular compartment and whether or not they potentially convey unique mitochondrial traits to the pathogen.

The available *F. circinatum* genome harbored no detectable paralogs of the 36 unique ORFs and all of them, therefore, appeared to represent single copy nuclear genes. Although multi-copy genes are usually regarded as good candidates for DNA-based diagnostics because of enhanced sensitivity compared to single copy genes (Ioos et al. 2009), there are limitations associated with their use in this context. Some of the notable limitations include intragenomic heterogeneity (Morandi et al. 2005) that could lead to misidentification of species (Graf 1999). Single copy genes, however, can often be quite useful as diagnostic markers (Álvarez et al. 2008) because they are less likely to be subject to complexities related to intragenomic polymorphisms (i.e., differences among the paralogs of a gene) (Simon and Weiß 2008).

By making use of a PCR-based approach and dot blot hybridization assays, we evaluated the ubiquitous presence of a subset of five unique ORFs in a diverse collection of F. circinatum isolates. These assays indicated that homologs for four of the five genes tested (i.e., FCIRG_14470, FCIRG_06217, FCIRG_05181, and FCIRG_10575) were present in all of the genetically and geographically diverse F. circinatum isolates evaluated, while only some isolates of this fungus appear to harbor a homolog of FCIRG_06550. Through sequence analysis, we also showed that the amplified products corresponded to the original FSP34 sequences, although we did observe various single nucleotide polymorphisms (FCIRG_05181) and indels (FCIRG_06217) among the isolates. Therefore, based on their ubiquitous presence in F. circinatum, at least four of the tested genes represent potential candidates for the development of rapid in-the-field diagnostic assays for this pathogen.

For diagnostic assays to be reliable, they should ideally produce unambiguous and conclusive diagnoses. In other words, if a specific marker region is used, it should be present in all individuals of the focal species to avoid recording false negatives; the results of our screenings with the diverse set of F. circinatum isolates allowed evaluation of this issue. However, the ideal diagnostic marker should also be absent from all nonfocal species to avoid recording false positives. This aspect was evaluated by screening a set of non-F. circinatum isolates for the presence/absence of the target genes. The PCR and dot blot hybridization assays showed that none of the evaluated isolates encodes a homolog of any of the five genes tested. The only exception was for FCIRG_10575, which appeared to be also present in F. subglutinans, which is closely related to F. circinatum (Kvas et al. 2009). Although F. subglutinans is unlikely to be encountered in the commercial forestry environment (Kvas et al. 2009; Leslie and Summerell 2006), the fact that it apparently harbors a homolog of FCIRG_10575 points toward the potential presence of the gene in other species of the so-called "American Clade" of the Gibberella fujikuroi complex of which F. circinatum is also a member (Kvas et al. 2009). This considerably detracts from the potential value of gene FCIRG_10575 as a diagnostic marker because its use might lead to recording of false positives when non-F. circinatum members of the "American Clade" of the complex are encountered.

Taken together, these findings suggest that the four ORFs found in all of the F. circinatum isolates examined represent members of the socalled core genome of the fungus (Hsiang and Baillie 2005). However, our findings also indicated that only those core genome components not shared with those of other species would be useful for the development of robust diagnostic assays (i.e., the use of core genome regions that overlap with those of other species would lead to false negatives). The ORF that was absent from some *F. circinatum* isolates is potentially lineage-specific, forming part of its so-called accessory genome (Croll and McDonald 2012). Although the genes encoded on this component of the fungal genome is often associated with adaptive properties such as virulence and/or pathogenicity (Croll and McDonald 2012), their use in diagnostics is limited due to the high likelihood of recording false negatives.

Here we showed that comparative genomic studies allow for the identification of species-specific traits that can be used to identify a taxon. Species-specific traits might be genomic regions that are unique and fixed to a particular species or strongly modified compared to homologous loci in close relatives. In this study, genomic regions that are unique to *F. circinatum* and are fixed in different strains of the pitch canker fungus were identified. Although care should be taken to avoid regions characterized by high levels of intraspecific polymorphism, these genomic regions appear to be good candidates for use as targets in a *F. circinatum* species-specific diagnostic assay. However, lack of functional annotation of these genes makes it very difficult to infer or speculate on their significance within the *F. circinatum* genome. Tracing the origins of these genes will also go a long way in validating any diagnostic assay that may be developed based on them. Nevertheless, the findings of this study thus represent a fundamental resource for the development of diagnostic tool(s) for the pitch canker pathogen as at least three of the gene targets identified could be used to develop rapid methods for in-the-field diagnosis of the pathogen. Our novel approach and the workflow employed can also easily be adapted for identifying species-specific diagnostic markers for other important taxa.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the University of Pretoria, members of the Tree Protection Cooperative Program (TPCP), the National Research Foundation (NRF)/Department of Science and Technology (DST), Centre of Excellence in Tree Health Biotechnology (CTHB) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade and Industry (DTI) in South Africa for financial assistance. This work was based on the research supported in part by a number of grants from the National Research Foundation of South Africa (includes Grant specific unique reference number (UID) 83924). The Grant holders acknowledge that opinions, findings and conclusions or recommendations expressed in any publication generated by the NRF supported research are that of the author(s), and that the NRF accepts no liability whatsoever in this regard. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

LITERATURE CITED

- Abd-Elsalam, K. A., 2003 Bioinformatic tools and guideline for PCR primer design. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 2: 91–95.
- Alonso, R., and L. Bettucci, 2009 First report of the pitch canker fungus *Fusarium circinatum* affecting *Pinus taeda* seedlings in Uruguay. Australas. Plant Dis. Notes 4: 91–92.
- Álvarez, I., A. Costa, and G. N. Feliner, 2008 Selecting single-copy nuclear genes for plant phylogenetics: a preliminary analysis for the Senecioneae (Asteraceae). J. Mol. Evol. 66: 276–291.
- Armisén, D., A. Lecharny, and S. Aubourg, 2008 Unique genes in plants: specificities and conserved features throughout evolution. BMC Evol. Biol. 8: 280.
- Bragança, H., E. Diogo, F. Moniz, and P. Amaro, 2009 First report of pitch canker on pines caused by *Fusarium circinatum* in Portugal. Plant Dis. 93: 1079
- Britz, H., T. Coutinho, B. Wingfield, W. Marasas, and M. Wingfield,
 2005 Diversity and differentiation in two populations of *Gibberella circinata* in South Africa. Plant Pathol. 54: 46–52.
- Callebaut, I., B. Goud, and J. P. Mornon, 2001 RUN domains: a new family of domains involved in Ras-like GTPase signaling. Trends Biochem. Sci. 26: 79–83.
- Carlucci, A., L. Colatruglio, and S. Frisullo, 2007 First report of pitch canker caused by *Fusarium circinatum* on *Pinus halepensis* and *P. pinea* in Apulia (Southern Italy). Plant Dis. 91: 1683.
- Carr, P. D., and D. L. Ollis, 2009 $\,$ α/β hydrolase fold: an update. Protein Pept. Lett. 16: 1137–1148.
- Coutinho, T., E. Steenkamp, K. Mongwaketsi, M. Wilmot, and M. Wingfield, 2007 First outbreak of pitch canker in a South African pine plantation. Australas. Plant Pathol. 36: 256–261.

- Croll, D., and B. A. McDonald, 2012 The accessory genome as a cradle for adaptive evolution in pathogens. PLoS Pathog. 8: e1002608.
- De Vos, L., E. T. Steenkamp, S. H. Martin, Q. C. Santana, G. Fourie *et al.*, 2014 Genome-wide macrosynteny among *Fusarium* species in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex revealed by Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphisms. PLoS One 9: e114682.
- Doytchinova, I. A., and D. R. Flower, 2007 VaxiJen: a server for prediction of protective antigens, tumour antigens and subunit vaccines. BMC Bioinformatics 8: 4.
- Dreaden, T., J. Smith, E. Barnard, and G. Blakeslee, 2012 Development and evaluation of a real time PCR seed lot screening method for *Fusarium* circinatum, causal agent of pitch canker disease. For. Pathol. 42: 405–411.
- Dyrløv Bendtsen, J., H. Nielsen, G. von Heijne, and S. Brunak, 2004 Improved prediction of signal peptides: SignalP 3.0. J. Mol. Biol. 340: 783–795.
- Espagne, E., P. Balhadère, M. L. Penin, C. Barreau, and B. Turcq, 2002 HET-E and HET-D belong to a new subfamily of WD40 proteins involved in vegetative incompatibility specificity in the fungus *Podospora* anserina. Genetics 161: 71–81.
- Fourie, G., N. A. Van der Merwe, B. D. Wingfield, M. Bogale, B. Tudzynski et al., 2013 Evidence for inter-specific recombination among the mitochondrial genomes of Fusarium species in the Gibberella fujikuroi complex. BMC Genomics 14: 605.
- Fravel, D., C. Olivain, and C. Alabouvette, 2003 Fusarium oxysporum and its biocontrol. New Phytol. 157: 493–502.
- Gan, Z. R., R. Marquardt, D. Abramson, and R. M. Clear, 1997 The characterization of chicken antibodies raised against *Fusarium* spp. by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay and immunoblotting. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 38: 191–200.
- Gordon, T., A. Storer, and D. Wood, 2001 The pitch canker epidemic in California. Plant Dis. 85: 1128–1139.
- Graf, J., 1999 Diverse restriction fragment length polymorphism patterns of the PCR-amplified 16S rRNA genes in *Aeromonas veronii* strains and possible misidentification of *Aeromonas* species. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37: 3194–3197.
- Horton, P., K. J. Park, T. Obayashi, N. Fujita, H. Harada et al., 2007 WoLF PSORT: protein localization predictor. Nucleic Acids Res. 35: W585– W587.
- Hsiang, T., and D. L. Baillie, 2005 Comparison of the yeast proteome to other fungal genomes to find core fungal genes. J. Mol. Evol. 60: 475–483.
- Ioos, R., C. Fourrier, G. Iancu, and T. R. Gordon, 2009 Sensitive detection of *Fusarium circinatum* in pine seed by combining an enrichment procedure with a real-time polymerase chain reaction using dual-labeled probe chemistry. Phytopathology 99: 582–590.
- Kvas, M., E. T. Steenkamp, B. D. Wingfield, W. F. O. Marasas, and M. J. Wingfield, 2008 Diversity of Fusarium species associated with malformed inflorescences of Syzygium cordatum. S. Afr. J. Bot. 74: 370.
- Kvas, M., W. F. O. Marasas, B. D. Wingfield, M. J. Wingfield, and E. T. Steenkamp, 2009 Diversity and evolution of *Fusarium* species in the *Gibberella fujikuroi* complex. Fungal Divers. 34: 1–21.
- Landeras, E., P. García, Y. Fernández, M. Braña, O. Fernández-Alonso et al., 2005 Outbreak of pitch canker caused by Fusarium circinatum on Pinus spp. in northern Spain. Plant Dis. 89: 1015.
- Leslie, J. F., and B. A. Summerell, 2006 The Fusarium Laboratory Manual. Blackwell Professional, Ames, IA.
- Letunic, I., T. Doerks, and P. Bork, 2012 SMART 7: recent updates to the protein domain annotation resource. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: D302–D305.
- Marchler-Bauer, A., S. Lu, J. B. Anderson, F. Chitsaz, M. K. Derbyshire et al., 2011 CDD: a Conserved Domain Database for the functional annotation of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 39: D225–D229.
- Morandi, A., O. Zhaxybayeva, J. P. Gogarten, and J. Graf, 2005 Evolutionary and diagnostic implications of intragenomic heterogeneity in the 16S rRNA gene in *Aeromonas* strains. J. Bacteriol. 187: 6561–6564.
- Notomi, T., H. Okayama, H. Masubuchi, T. Yonekawa, K. Watanabe *et al.*, 2000 Loop-mediated isothermal amplification of DNA. Nucleic Acids Res. 28: e63–e63.

- Pao, S. S., I. T. Paulsen, and M. H. Saier, 1998 Major facilitator superfamily. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 62: 1-34.
- Pfanner, N., and A. Geissler, 2001 Versatility of the mitochondrial protein import machinery. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2: 339-349.
- Pfenning, L. H., S. S. Costa, M. P. Melo, H. Costa, J. A. Ventura et al., 2014 First report and characterization of Fusarium circinatum, the causal agent of pitch canker in Brazil. Trop. Plant Pathol. 39: 210-216.
- Punta, M., P. C. Coggill, R. Y. Eberhardt, J. Mistry, J. Tate et al., 2012 The Pfam protein families database. Nucleic Acids Res. 40: D290-D301.
- Qa'Dan, M., L. M. Spyres, and J. D. Ballard, 2000 pH-induced conformational changes in Clostridium difficile toxin B. Infect. Immun. 68: 2470-
- Sambrook, J., E. F. Fritsch, and T. Maniatis, 1989 Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual, Ed. 2. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, New
- Santana, Q. C., M. P. A. Coetzee, B. D. Wingfield, M. J. Wingfield, and E. T. Steenkamp, 2015 Nursery linked plantation-outbreaks and evidence for multiple introductions of the pitch canker pathogen Fusarium circinatum into South Africa. Plant Pathol. 10.1111/ppa.12437.
- Schmidt, O., N. Pfanner, and C. Meisinger, 2010 Mitochondrial protein import: from proteomics to functional mechanisms. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 11: 655-667.
- Schweigkofler, W., K. O'Donnell, and M. Garbelotto, 2004 Detection and quantification of airborne conidia of Fusarium circinatum, the causal agent of pine pitch canker, from two California sites by using a real-time PCR approach combined with a simple spore trapping method. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 70: 3512-3520.
- Shelest, E., 2008 Transcription factors in fungi. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 286: 145-151.
- Simon, U. K., and M. Weiß, 2008 Intragenomic variation of fungal ribosomal genes is higher than previously thought. Mol. Biol. Evol. 25: 2251-
- Soustre, I., Y. Letourneux, and F. Karst, 1996 Characterization of the Saccharomyces cerevisiae RTA1 gene involved in 7-aminocholesterol resistance. Curr. Genet. 30: 121-125.
- Steenkamp, E. T., B. D. Wingfield, T. A. Coutinho, M. J. Wingfield, and W. F. Marasas, 1999 Differentiation of Fusarium subglutinans f. sp. pini by histone gene sequence data. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 65: 3401-3406.
- Steenkamp, E. T., T. A. Coutinho, A. E. Desjardins, B. D. Wingfield, W. F. O. Marasas et al., 2001 Gibberella fujikuroi mating population E is associated with maize and teo- sinte. Mol. Plant Pathol. 2: 215-221.
- Steenkamp, E. T., C. A. Rodas, M. Kvas, and M. J. Wingfield, 2012 Fusarium circinatum and pitch canker of Pinus in Colombia. Australas. Plant Pathol. 41: 483-491.
- Steenkamp, E. T., O. M. Makhari, T. A. Coutinho, B. D. Wingfield, and M. J. Wingfield, 2014 Evidence for a new introduction of the pitch canker fungus Fusarium circinatum in South Africa. Plant Pathol. 63: 530-538.

- Stępień, Ł., G. Koczyk, and A. Waśkiewicz, 2011 Genetic and phenotypic variation of Fusarium proliferatum isolates from different host species. J. Appl. Genet. 52: 487-496.
- Suárez, M. B., J. A. Vizcaíno, A. Llobell, and E. Monte, 2007 Characterization of genes encoding novel peptidases in the biocontrol fungus Trichoderma harzianum CECT 2413 using the TrichoEST functional genomics approach. Curr. Genet. 51: 331-342.
- Tamura, K., D. Peterson, N. Peterson, G. Stecher, M. Nei et al., 2011 MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. Mol. Biol. Evol. 28: 2731-2739.
- Tomita, N., Y. Mori, H. Kanda, and T. Notomi, 2008 Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) of gene sequences and simple visual detection of products. Nat. Protoc. 3: 877-882.
- Viljoen, A., M. Wingfield, and W. Marasas, 1994 First report of Fusarium subglutinans f. sp. pini on pine seedlings in South Africa. Plant Dis. 78: 309-312.
- Viljoen, A., M. J. Wingfield, T. R. Gordon, and W. F. O. Marasas, 1997 Genotypic diversity in a South African population of the pitch canker fungus Fusarium subglutinans f. sp. pini. Plant Pathol. 46: 590-593.
- Wang, X., P. M. Watt, E. J. Louis, R. H. Borts, and I. D. Hickson, 1996 Pat1: a topoisomerase II-associated protein required for faithful chromosome transmission in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Nucleic Acids Res. 24: 4791-
- Wiemann, P., C. M. Sieber, K. W. Von Bargen, L. Studt, E. M. Niehaus et al., 2013 Deciphering the cryptic genome: genome-wide analyses of the rice pathogen Fusarium fujikuroi reveal complex regulation of secondary metabolism and novel metabolites. PLoS Pathog. 9: e1003475.
- Wikler, K., and T. R. Gordon, 2000 An initial assessment of genetic relationships among populations of Fusarium circinatum in different parts of the world. Can. J. Bot. 78: 709-717.
- Williams, R. E., and N. C. Bruce, 2002 'New uses for an Old Enzyme'-the Old Yellow Enzyme family of flavoenzymes. Microbiology 148: 1607-1614.
- Wingfield, B. D., E. T. Steenkamp, Q. C. Santana, M. P. Coetzee, S. Bam et al., 2012 First fungal genome sequence from Africa: a preliminary analysis. S. Afr. J. Sci. 108: 93-98.
- Wingfield, M., A. Jacobs, T. Coutinho, R. Ahumada, and B. Wingfield, 2002 First report of the pitch canker fungus, Fusarium circinatum, on pines in Chile. Plant Pathol. 51: 397.
- Wingfield, M., A. Hammerbacher, R. Ganley, E. Steenkamp, T. Gordon et al., 2008 Pitch canker caused by Fusarium circinatum: a growing threat to pine plantations and forests worldwide. Australas. Plant Pathol. 37: 319-334.

Communicating editor: A. H. Paterson