

**Supplementary Table 1. Pairwise comparisons with significant differences using Dunn's (1964) procedure**

| Outcome measure and pairwise comparison   | Significance level |
|---|--------------------|
| <b>Device fitting time</b>                |                    |
| HP – Jabra                                | $p = .020$         |
| HP – Lexie B2                             | $p = .014$         |
| HP – Sony                                 | $p = <.001$        |
| HP – Lexie Lumen                          | $p = <.001$        |
| Sontro- Sony                              | $p = .001$         |
| Sontro – Lexie Lumen                      | $p = <.001$        |
| Jabra – Lexie Lumen                       | $p = .010$         |
| Lexie B2 – Lexie Lumen                    | $p = .015$         |
| <b>Heaing Aid Skills and Knowledge</b>    |                    |
| HP – Lexie B2                             | $p = .002$         |
| HP – Sontro                               | $p = .002$         |
| Jabra – Lexie B2                          | $p = .014$         |
| Jabra – Sontro                            | $p = .014$         |
| Lexie Lumen – Lexie B2                    | $p = .023$         |
| Lexie Lumen – Sontro                      | $p = .022$         |
| <b>Judgement of Sound Quality Overall</b> |                    |
| HP – Lexie Lumen                          | $p = .033$         |
| HP – Lexie B2                             | $p = .005$         |
| Sontro – Lexie B2                         | $p = .019$         |
| <b>Judgement of Sound Quality Clarity</b> |                    |
| HP – Lexie Lumen                          | $p = .034$         |
| HP – Jabra                                | $p = .014$         |
| HP – Lexie B2                             | $p = <.001$        |
| Sony – Lexie B2                           | $p = .037$         |