

1 **Association Between Partner Treatment and Repeat Sexually Transmitted Infections**
2 **Positivity in Pregnant Women in, East London, South Africa**

3

4 Authors

5 Mandisa M. Mdingi^{*1,2}, Ranjana M.S. Gigi^{1,3}, Chibuzor Babalola⁴, Christopher M. Taylor⁵,
6 Christina A. Muzny⁶, Andrew Medina-Marino^{7,8}, Jeffrey D. Klausner⁴, Remco P.H. Peters^{*1,2,9}

7

8 Institutions

- 9 1. Research Unit, Foundation for Professional Development, East London, South Africa.
10 2. Department of Medical Microbiology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.
11 3. Institute of Social and Preventive Medicine, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland.
12 4. Department of Population and Public Health Sciences, Keck School of Medicine of
13 University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA.
14 5. Department of Microbiology, Immunology, and Parasitology, Louisiana State University
15 Health Sciences, New Orleans, LA, USA.
16 6. Division of Infectious Diseases, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL,
17 USA.
18 7. Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania,
19 Philadelphia, PA, USA.
20 8. Desmond Tutu HIV Centre, University of Cape Town, South Africa.
21 9. Division of Medical Microbiology, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa.

22

23 Corresponding Authors:

24 Mandisa M Mdingi, Foundation for Professional Development, Research Unit, 16 Surrey
25 Road, East London, South Africa. Email: mandisam@foundation.co.za.

26 *These authors contributed equally to the development of this manuscript.

27 Key words

28 Sexually transmitted infections; test of cure; repeat testing; partner treatment; partner
29 notification.

30

31 **MANUSCRIPT DETAILS**

32 Word count

33 Abstract: 274 words.

34 Text: 1287 words.

35 Figure: 1.

36 References: 11.

37 Supplementary Table: 1.

38

39 Contributors

40 MMM wrote the first manuscript draft supported by RPHP and with contributions from all the
41 co-authors. MMM and RPHP led the overall main study implementation, in addition, MMM
42 and RMSG were responsible for daily operations at the clinical research sites. All co-authors
43 critically revised the versions of the manuscript and approved the final submitted version.

44

45 Funding statement

46 This study was funded by the United States National Institutes of Health (grant number
47 R01AI149339), National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases and Cepheid provided
48 GeneXpert® machines.

49

50 Declaration of interests

51 The Foundation for Professional Development (RPHP, MMM and RMSG) received
52 institutional funding from United States National Institutes of Health for this work. All other
53 authors declare no competing interests.

54

55 Acknowledgments

56 We would like to thank the Foundation for Professional Development STI research team for
57 their work on this study.

58 **ABSTRACT (274 words)**

59 **Objectives** Sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are common in pregnant women. Effective
60 partner treatment of women with an STI is essential to prevent reinfection. We evaluated the
61 impact of partner notification and treatment based on the occurrence of repeat STIs in
62 pregnant women in South Africa.

63

64 **Methods** We used data from one of the intervention arms in a randomised clinical trial of STI
65 diagnostic screening strategies in pregnancy. In this cohort, women were tested at their first
66 antenatal care visit (<27 weeks gestational age) using onsite Xpert® test assays (Cepheid,
67 Sunnyvale, California) for *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT), *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) and
68 *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TV). Women with a positive STI results received pathogen-directed
69 treatment, partner notification slips, and a test-of-cure visit was scheduled 21-35 days post-
70 treatment. At the test of cure visit, sexual behaviour and partner treatment data were collected,
71 and STI testing was repeated. Cure was defined as a negative result at the test-of-cure visit.

72

73 **Results** Of 754 women tested, 193 (26%) tested positive for an STI and 183 (95%) received
74 pathogen-directed treatment. Test-of-cure visit was attended by 108/183 (59%) women within
75 the time window. Of those, 19/108 (18%) had a positive repeat STI results. Most women
76 attending the test-of-cure visit (95%; 103/108) reported disclosure of their STI to their partner
77 however only 44% (48/108) reported that their partner received treatment. Among those who
78 reported partner treatment, the repeat STI positivity was 4% versus 27% in those with reported
79 untreated partners (risk ratio 0.15 with 95% CI 0.03 to 0.7).

80

81 **Conclusions** Reported partner treatment reduced the likelihood of a repeat positive test result
82 in pregnant women. Strengthening partner notification and treatment is essential to prevent
83 reinfection.

84

85

86 **RESEARCH IN CONTEXT**

87 ***What is already known on this topic***

- 88 • Repeat STIs during pregnancy are associated with adverse pregnancy complications
- 89 • Partner management is a cornerstone of STI control and prevention; however, partner
90 treatment rates remain low in many settings.
- 91 • Limited data on the direct association between partner treatment and repeat STI
92 positivity in pregnant women, particularly in sub-Saharan Africa

93 ***Added value of this study***

- 94 • We evaluated the STI treatment outcomes based on test-of-cure result in relation to
95 reported partner notification and treatment among pregnant women in South Africa.
- 96 • Partner treatment is essential in STI management to prevent reinfection.

97 ***How this study might affect research, practice or policy***

- 98 • Supports integrating partner services into routine antenatal care to improve pregnancy
99 outcomes.
- 100 • Provides evidence to inform the South African national STI guidelines and
101 interventions aimed at reducing reinfection during pregnancy.

102

103 **INTRODUCTION**

104 Each year, an estimated 340 million new cases of curable sexually transmitted infections
105 (STIs) occur globally, with most affecting low- and middle-income countries.¹ In South Africa,
106 the prevalence of *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT), *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) and *Trichomonas*
107 *vaginalis* (TV) among pregnant women is estimated at 25-40%, with a higher burden among
108 those with HIV infection.²⁻⁴ Those infections can be associated with adverse pregnancy
109 outcomes such as still birth, low birthweight and pre-term delivery, and may facilitate HIV
110 transmission.⁵ Syndromic management is the standard of care in South Africa.⁵ In South
111 Africa, partner management is carried out through a passive contact referral system. Index
112 patients are given a referral slip to provide to their sex partner(s), informing them of the
113 condition and the need for them to receive the same antimicrobial treatment even if
114 asymptomatic.⁵ With the current standard of passive partner information, an important gap
115 exists in effective partner management. High acceptability of partner notification is reported;
116 however, the uptake of partner treatment remains low.⁶ A test of cure at 3-5 weeks after
117 completing STI treatment may be performed to determine treatment outcome.¹ A negative STI
118 test result confirms cure while a positive test indicates a persistent STI due to new or persistent
119 infection.¹

120 There is limited data on the impact of partner treatment on STI treatment outcomes in pregnant
121 women. Therefore, we evaluate STI treatment outcomes based on test-of-cure result in
122 relation to reported partner notification and treatment among pregnant women in South Africa.

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131 **METHODS**

132 **Study design and setting**

133 We conducted a cross-sectional analysis of test-of-cure outcomes within a larger randomised
134 clinical trial of diagnostic STI strategies to improve pregnancy outcomes (Philani Ndiphile
135 study) in four primary health care facilities in Buffalo City Metropolitan Health District, Eastern
136 Cape Province, South Africa.⁷

137

138 **Study population**

139 In a completed randomised controlled trial from 2021 to 2025, pregnant women (≥ 18 years,
140 < 27 weeks gestation based on ultrasound) were enrolled at their first antenatal care visit. At
141 the baseline visit women were randomised to one of three arms: to receive either baseline STI
142 testing with test-of-cure 3-4 weeks after treatment (arm 1), baseline STI testing with repeat
143 testing at 30-34 weeks gestational age (arm 2), or syndromic management as the standard of
144 care (arm 3). This analysis includes data for women enrolled in arm 1 from their baseline and
145 test-of-cure study visits.

146

147 **Study procedures**

148 Research nurses collected demographic, clinical, and sexual behaviour data using REDCap
149 system. Nurse-collected vaginal swabs using the Xpert® Vaginal/Endocervical Specimen
150 Collection Kit (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) were tested by trained clinical staff on site for
151 *Chlamydia trachomatis* (CT), *Neisseria gonorrhoeae* (NG) and *Trichomonas vaginalis* (TV)
152 using the Xpert® CT/NG and Xpert® TV (Cepheid, Sunnyvale, CA) molecular assays.

153 All women with a positive STI test result received pathogen directed treatment, single dose 1g
154 oral azithromycin for CT infection, 500mg ceftriaxone intramuscular injection for NG infection,
155 and a 7-day course of 400mg oral metronidazole twice daily for TV infection. When possible,
156 same-day treatment was offered, otherwise participants were contacted telephonically to
157 return to the study site for treatment. Following treatment, women were issued partner
158 notification slips for the number of sex partners reported within the preceding six months.

159 Women were scheduled for a test-of-cure visit 21 days after treatment initiation, with an
160 allowable visit window of up to 35 days post-treatment.

161 At the test-of-cure visit, sexual behaviour and partner notification and treatment data were
162 collected. Repeat STI testing was performed for the pathogen(s) that were positive at the
163 baseline visit and cure was defined as a negative test result at the test-of-cure for each specific
164 pathogen.

165

166 **Ethics**

167 The study was approved by the Faculty of Health Sciences Human Research Ethics
168 Committee at the University of Cape Town (676/2019). All women provided written informed
169 consent before enrolment.

170

171 **RESULTS**

172 A total of 754 women were included in this analysis with a median age of 28 years (IQR 24-
173 33); 417 (55%) were unemployed. Most women were asymptomatic (627/754, 83%) and 30%
174 (225/754) were living with HIV. Median gestational age at enrolment was 13 weeks (IQR 8-
175 18); 225 (30%) women were primigravida. Of the 754 women, 193 (26%) tested positive for
176 any STI (15% (112/754) for CT, 5% (37/754) for NG, and 11% (81/754) for TV).

177 Of the 193 women with a positive STI test result, 183 (95%) received treatment (107 for CT,
178 33 for NG, and 78 for TV) (**Supplementary Table 1**); and 176/183 (96%) accepted a partner
179 notification slip. There was no difference by HIV status for test of cure visit and repeat test
180 positivity.

181 Test-of-cure visit was attended within the study window by 59% (108/183) of treated women
182 with positive STI test result at baseline (65 CT, 19 NG, 45 TV). Of the 108 women who
183 attended the test-of-cure visit, 19/108 (18%) had a repeat positive STI test (8/65 (12%) for CT,
184 2/19 (11%) for NG, and 11/45 (24%) for TV). At the test-of-cure visit, 95% of women (103/108)
185 reported disclosure of their STI to their partner however only 44% (48/108) reported that their
186 partner received treatment (**Figure 1**). Among those who reported partner treatment, the

187 repeat STI positivity was 4% (2/48) versus 27% (6/22) in those with reported untreated
188 partners (risk ratio 0.15 with 95% CI 0.03-0.7) which did not change when adjusting for HIV
189 status (adjusted risk ratio 0.15 with 95% CI 0.03-0.9).

190

191 **DISCUSSION**

192 Curable STIs are prevalent among pregnant women in Southern Africa ranging from 15-30%.⁸

193 We assessed the impact of partner notification and treatment in a study where aetiological STI
194 testing was integrated into antenatal care. While most women accepted and reported that they
195 delivered partner notification slips, fewer than half reported that their partners received
196 treatment. Effective partner management reduced repeat STI positivity, underscoring its
197 critical role in STI management, especially during pregnancy.

198 A substantial proportion of women whose partners did not receive treatment had a repeat
199 positive STI test at the test-of-cure visit. In contrast, women who reported partner treatment
200 were significantly more likely to test negative, supporting the association between effective
201 partner management and successful treatment outcomes. Compared to a similar studies in
202 Botswana and Kenya providing expedited partner treatment, our cohort demonstrated a higher
203 rate of repeat positivity, highlighting the possible positive effects of expedited partner
204 management.^{9 10} Additionally, among pregnant women living with HIV, persistent CT and TV
205 infections have been associated with unknown partner treatment status.⁶

206 This study has several limitations. Partner notification and treatment data were self-reported
207 and may reflect overreporting of socially desirable behaviours. In addition, there is a potential
208 for selection bias with unclear effect, as only 58% of women returned for their test-of-cure visit.
209 Generalizability may be limited due to relatively small sample size and loss to follow-up. Last,
210 we did not collect any data on factors that facilitated uptake or provided barriers to partner
211 services. Stigma and fear of partner violence may be important barriers that should be
212 considered for successful partner notification and treatment services. Multifaceted packages
213 addressing barriers for both the index individual and partner and influencing multiple points in

214 the partner notification and treatment pathway, are likely required to facilitate partner
215 management.¹¹

216 Our findings reinforce that partner treatment is an important component of syndromic or
217 diagnostic STI management and effective in preventing re-infection. Despite high rates of
218 partner disclosure, the gap between reported partner notification and reported confirmed
219 treatment was substantial, i.e. women did notify their partners but were not certain about
220 whether these went to receive treatment. This indicates that current partner notification
221 strategies may be insufficient without additional interventions such as expedited partner
222 therapy, partner tracing, or community-based treatment support. Aetiological testing provides
223 a valuable opportunity for targeted treatment, but without robust partner management
224 systems, its impact on STI management will remain limited. Strengthening partner services
225 within antenatal care could reduce STI reinfection, improve pregnancy outcomes, and interrupt
226 onward transmission in high-burden settings.

227

228 **REFERENCES**

229 1. World Health Organization. Geneva. Global prevalence and incidence of selected curable sexually
230 transmitted infections: overview and estimates: WHO/HIV_AIDS/2001.02,
231 WHO/CDS/CSR/EDC, 2001.

232 2. Nyemba DC, Medina-Marino A, Peters RP, et al. Prevalence, incidence and associated risk factors
233 of STIs during pregnancy in South Africa. *Sexually transmitted infections* 2021;97(5):375-81.

234 3. Mukavhanyedzi D, Rukasha I. Sexually transmitted pathogens in asymptomatic women at
235 Rethabile clinic, Limpopo, South Africa. *S Afr J Infect Dis* 2024;39(1):618. doi:
236 10.4102/sajid.v39i1.618 [published Online First: 20241127]

237 4. Peters R, Klausner J, de Vos L, et al. Aetiological testing compared with syndromic management
238 for sexually transmitted infections in HIV-infected pregnant women in South Africa: a non-
239 randomised prospective cohort study. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics &*
240 *Gynaecology* 2021;128(8):1335-42. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-0528.16617>

241 5. Peters RP, Garret N, Chandiwana N, et al. Erratum: Southern African HIV Clinicians Society 2022
242 guideline for the management of sexually transmitted infections: Moving towards best
243 practice. *Southern African Journal of HIV Medicine* 2022;23(1)

244 6. Medina-Marino A, Mudau M, Kojima N, et al. Persistent Chlamydia trachomatis, Neisseria
245 gonorrhoeae or Trichomonas vaginalis positivity after treatment among human
246 immunodeficiency virus-infected pregnant women, South Africa. *Int J STD AIDS*
247 2020;31(4):294-302. doi: 10.1177/0956462419898612 [published Online First: 20200223]

248 7. Medina-Marino A, Cleary S, Muzny CA, et al. Sexually transmitted infection screening to prevent
249 adverse birth and newborn outcomes: study protocol for a randomized-controlled hybrid-
250 effectiveness trial. *Trials* 2022;23(1):441. doi: 10.1186/s13063-022-06400-y [published
251 Online First: 20220524]

252 8. Shaetonhodi NG, de Voux A, Babalola CM, et al. Prevalence, symptomology, and correlates of
253 curable sexually transmitted infections among pregnant women in Eastern Cape, South
254 Africa. *Int J STD AIDS* 2025;9564624251347484. doi: 10.1177/09564624251347484
255 [published Online First: 20250611]

256 9. Mussa A, Wynn A, Ryan R, et al. High Cure Rate Among Pregnant Women in a Chlamydia
257 trachomatis and Neisseria gonorrhoeae Testing and Treatment Intervention Study in
258 Gaborone, Botswana. *Sex Transm Dis* 2023;50(2):124-27. doi:
259 10.1097/olq.0000000000001725 [published Online First: 20221029]

260 10. Unger JA, Matemo D, Pintye J, et al. Patient-Delivered Partner Treatment for Chlamydia,
261 Gonorrhoea, and Trichomonas Infection Among Pregnant and Postpartum Women in Kenya.
262 *Sex Transm Dis* 2015;42(11):637-42. doi: 10.1097/olq.0000000000000355

263 11. Martin K, Mackworth-Young CRS, Nyamwanza R, et al. Financial incentives to improve uptake of
264 partner treatment for sexually transmitted infections in antenatal care: a cluster randomised
265 trial in Zimbabwe. *The Lancet Global Health* 2025;13(12):e2097-e110. doi: 10.1016/S2214-
266 109X(25)00306-7

267