

Article



Assessing the Clinical Characteristics and Management of COVID-19 among Pediatric Patients in Ghana: Findings and Implications

Israel Abebrese Sefah ^{1,*}, Seth Adade Sarkodie ², Giuseppe Pichierri ³, Natalie Schellack ⁴

- ¹ Pharmacy Practice Department, School of Pharmacy, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ho PMB 31, Ghana
- ² School of Pharmacy, University of Health and Allied Sciences, Ho PMB 31, Ghana
- ³ Microbiology Department, Torbay and South Devon NHS Foundation Trust, Lowes Bridge Torbay Hospital, Torquay TQ2 7AA, UK
- ⁴ Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0084, South Africa
- ⁵ Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0RE, UK
- ⁶ Department of Public Health Pharmacy and Management, School of Pharmacy, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria 0208, South Africa
- ⁷ Centre of Medical and Bio-Allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman 346, United Arab Emirates
- * Correspondence: isefah@uhas.edu.gh (I.A.S.); brian.godman@strath.ac.uk (B.G.)

Abstract: There is an increasing focus across countries on researching the management of children admitted to hospital with COVID-19. This stems from an increasing prevalence due to new variants, combined with concerns with the overuse of antimicrobials driving up resistance rates. Standard treatment guidelines (STGs) have been produced in Ghana to improve their care. Consequently, there is a need to document the clinical characteristics of children diagnosed and admitted with COVID-19 to our hospital in Ghana, factors influencing compliance to the STG and treatment outcomes. In all, 201 patients were surveyed between March 2020 and December 2021, with males accounting for 51.7% of surveyed children. Those aged between 6 and 10 years were the largest group (44.8%). Nasal congestion and fever were some of the commonest presenting complaints, while pneumonia was the commonest (80.6%) COVID-19 complication. In all, 80.0% of all admissions were discharged with no untreated complications, with a 10.9% mortality rate. A combination of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine (41.29%) was the most prescribed antimicrobial regimen. Compliance to the STG was variable (68.2% compliance). Increased compliance was associated with a sore throat as a presenting symptom. Mortality increased following transfer to the ICU. However, current recommendations to prescribe antimicrobials without demonstrable bacterial or fungal infections needs changing to reduce future resistance. These are areas to address in the future.

Keywords: antimicrobials; children; COVID-19; Ghana; guidelines; hospitals; outcomes

1. Introduction

The novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) was first reported in China on the 29 December 2019, and later developed into a pandemic affecting all countries globally [1]. The disease, caused by infection with severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) spread swiftly from China and Asia across all countries [1]. The first case of COVID-19 in Africa was reported in Egypt in February 2020, with other African countries, including Ghana, following there after [2–4]. As of 1 July 2020, there were over 10 million confirmed cases globally with just over 500,000 recorded deaths [5].



Citation: Sefah, I.A.; Sarkodie, S.A.; Pichierri, G.; Schellack, N.; Godman, B. Assessing the Clinical Characteristics and Management of COVID-19 among Pediatric Patients in Ghana: Findings and Implications. *Antibiotics* **2023**, *12*, 283. https:// doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12020283

Academic Editor: Eleonora Nicolai

Received: 20 December 2022 Revised: 25 January 2023 Accepted: 27 January 2023 Published: 1 February 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). The first two confirmed cases of COVID-19 in Ghana were reported on 12 March 2020 [4], and by the end of June 2020 there were 17,741 confirmed cases with 112 deaths [3]. Initially, prevalence and mortality rates were appreciably lower among African countries during the early stages of the pandemic compared with, for instance, Western European countries. This was helped by the earlier introduction of lockdown measures and other initiatives as well as younger populations with lower incidence rates of the infection [3,4], with lockdown and other public health measures proven to be effective in slowing down the spread and the impact of the virus in the absence of effective treatments and vaccines [5,6]. The standard of care in Ghana during the pandemic required that suspected persons are quarantined to reduce transmission, while confirmed cases are transferred to designated treatment centers with effective isolation and disease control capacity. Critically ill patients should subsequently be admitted to an intensive care unit for further management [7].

The greatest concern across countries, including among African countries, with the rapid implementation of lockdown and other measures, has been the unintended consequences on the management of non-communicable diseases as well as vaccination programs for children [8,9]. The lack of routine vaccinations in children in Africa has been an appreciable concern with some authors predicting that for every one excess death from COVID-19 that could occur among parents and others when children visit vaccination clinics, 84 deaths could be prevented by sustaining routine childhood immunization programs [9,10].

Another key concern has been the rapid adoption of re-purposed medicines, including hydroxychloroquine, anti-viral medicines such as remdesivir, as well as anti-parasitic medicines including ivermectin for use in many African countries, despite no robust evidence supporting their use [11–15]. This adoption has been fueled by misinformation on social media and other platforms [11]. The 2020 Ghana Standard Treatment Guidelines (STGs) for COVID-19 treatment, which is yet to be updated, recommends the use of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine phosphate for the management of all confirmed asymptomatic and symptomatic COVID-19 cases using real time-polymerase chain reaction tests [7]. In addition, azithromycin is empirically indicated for all mild to moderate cases of COVID-19 in addition to hydroxychloroquine [7]. The increased use of these recommended antimicrobials is a concern as this may potentially increase adverse reactions, antimicrobial resistance (AMR), costs and mortality without any additional evidenced-based benefits to patients [13,14,16]. The only exception to the use of re-purposed pharmacological agents in COVID-19 patients has been dexamethasone, which has proven to help hospitalized patients needing ventilatory support [17]. Alongside this, there is growing anxiety with excessive prescribing of antimicrobials in patients with COVID-19, including children, across countries despite limited evidence of bacterial or fungal co-infections [18–23]. These high rates of antimicrobial prescriptions may be due to symptoms including coughs and fever which mimic some bacterial infections, as well as concerns with secondary infections especially if this increases mortality [23,24]. However, as mentioned, there are considerable concerns that the overprescribing of antimicrobials will increase AMR [24–27]. This issue is particularly important in Ghana, with growing resistance rates [28].

Children typically have lower infection rates with COVID-19 as well as milder symptoms compared with adults [29–31], with an appreciable number of children being asymptomatic [29–32]. The typical symptoms of children admitted to hospital with COVID-19 are fever, nausea and respiratory symptoms including coughing, as well as diarrhea, with higher admission rates seen among boys versus girls [20,21,29,30,32]. Between 6% to 10% of children admitted to hospital with COVID-19 experience severe disease, with up to 20% or more admitted to pediatric intensive care units; however, the majority of children may not require ventilatory support [20,21,33]. Since admission rates for children are typically lower than seen in adults, the focus among health authorities for children during the early stages of the pandemic has been on other infectious diseases especially given low vaccination rates following lockdown measures, and the subsequent impact on future morbidity and mortality [9,34].

However, there have been growing concerns with potentially increasing mortality among younger patients with successive waves of the pandemic [35–37]. In addition, growing anxiety that children are developing Kawasaki Disease (KD)-like symptoms, now known as Pediatric Inflammatory Multisystem Syndrome (PIMS-TS), alongside experiencing hypoalbuminemia, hyponatremia, leucopenia and respiratory changes with COVID-19 [38–40]. These combined symptoms can potentially increase admission to intensive care units (ICUs). This can be an issue in lower- and middle-income countries (LMICs) with limited healthcare resources. It has been reported that whilst a lower percentage of children with severe symptoms are admitted to ICUs in LMICs, deaths among these hospitalized children can be higher [33]. For instance, high mortality rates (40%) were seen at Dr. Cipto Mangunkusumo Hospital, in Indonesia, at the start of the pandemic among children admitted with COVID-19 [41]. However, appreciably lower rates were seen recently among children with COVID-19 admitted to hospitals in Bangladesh (1.4%) and Turkey (0.9%), with nearly all children in hospitals in Bangladesh and India in the early years of the pandemic making a full recovery at 92.5% and 92.2%, respectively, although higher rates were seen in Iran (11% mortality) [20,21,42,43].

With the potential for additional cases of COVID-19 in Ghana, including among children, exacerbated by currently high vaccine hesitancy [44], we believed it was important to document current characteristics and management of children with COVID-19 admitted to our hospital in Ghana. There are also concerns with rising AMR rates in Ghana with the appreciable prescribing of antibiotics among patients hospitalized with COVID-19 across countries [18–23]. Consequently, the objective of this study was to document the clinical characteristics of children diagnosed and admitted with COVID-19 to the COVID-19 treatment center of the only quaternary medical and research center in Ghana, factors influencing compliance to current Ghanian STG and treatment outcomes. The intention is to provide guidance to improve the future management of children in this hospital and beyond. Compliance to the current STG is important as there have been concerns with adherence to guidelines for the management of infections in our hospital in the past [45–47].

2. Results

2.1. Patient Characteristics

The medical records of 201 pediatric patients diagnosed with COVID-19 from March 2020 to December 2021 were extracted, which represented 9.8% (85/869) of pediatric cases admitted from March onwards in 2020, and 10.4% (116/1119) of cases admitted in 2021.

Male patients accounted for 51.7% of admitted patients with COVID-19 (104/201), while those aged between 6 and 10 years accounted for the majority (44.8%, 90/201) of pediatric patients surveyed with a median age of 9 (6–12) years. Nasal congestion (96.0%, 193/201) and fever (95.0%, 191/201) were some of the commonest presenting symptoms, while pneumonia was the commonest (80.6%, 162/210) COVID-19 complication. There was an overall mortality rate of 10.9% (22/201) among our study population (Table 1). Approximately 70% (140/201) of the COVID-19 pediatric cases had no additional diagnosed co-infection on admission, with only 14.9% (30/201) of the cases having a bacterial co-infection diagnosed.

A combination of azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine (41.29%) was the most prescribed antimicrobial regimen followed by a combination of azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine and remdesivir (18.4%). Azithromycin was the most commonly prescribed antibiotic, either alone (14.4%) or in combination (68.2%), with other antimicrobials including chloroquine, hydroxychloroquine, remdesivir, ceftriaxone, doxycycline, meropenem, clindamycin and amoxicillin (Figure 1).

Yes, μ (%) No, μ (%) Age Category ($n = 201$)	Characteristics (<i>n</i>)	Total, <i>n</i> (%)	Compliance to STG		Chi Square <i>p-</i> Value
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Age Category } (r = 201) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$			Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	No, n (%)	
	Age Category ($n = 201$)				
	Less than 5	41 (20.4%)	32 (78.0%)	9 (22.0%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6–10 years	90 (44.8%)	59 (65.6%)	31(34.4%)	0.405
16-18 years 14 (6.9%) 8 (57.1%) 6 (42.9%) Year of Admission ($n = 201$) 55 (42.3%) 55 (64.7%) 30(35.3%) 0.368 2021 116 (57.7) 82 (70.7%) 34(29.3%) 0.213 Gender ($n = 201$) 75 (72.1%) 29(27.9%) 0.213 Complications present ($n = 201$) 42 (1.1%) 42 (1.1%) Acute Kichney Injury 19 (9.5%) 15 (72.7%) 3 (27.3%) 0.462 COUPLP-Pneumonia 162 (80.6%) 109(67.3%) 5 (35.27%) 0.462 COUPLP-Pneumonia 162 (80.6%) 109(67.3%) 5 (32.27%) 0.639 Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) 0.639 0.639 No 140 (69.6) 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) 0.533 Other co-infection 30 (14.9) 23 (76.7) 7 (23.3) 0.533 Other co-infection 30 (14.9) 24 (64.5%) 10 (31.3%) 0.988 Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 44 (68.8%) 20 (31.3%) 0.988 Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 14 (65.2%) 0.623 No 169 (84.1%) 114 (67	11–15 years	56 (27.9%)	38 (67.9%)	18 (32.1%)	
Year of Admission ($n = 201$) 2020 85 (42.3%) 55 (64.7%) 30(35.3%) 0.368 2021 116 (57.7) 82 (70.7%) 34(29.3%) Gender ($n = 201$) Male 104 (51.7%) 75 (72.1%) 29(27.9%) 0.213 Female 97 (48.3%) 62 (63.9%) 35(36.1%) Complications present ($n = 201$) Acute Kidney Injury 19 (9.5%) 15 (78.9%) 4 (21.1%) Acute Kidney Injury 19 (9.5%) 15 (78.9%) 4 (44.4%) Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) Ne 61 (30.4) 18 (29.5) 46 (32.9) Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) None 140 (69.6) 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) None 140 (69.6) 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) Deter co-infection 30 (14.9) 23 (76.7) 7 (23.3) Other co-infection 31 (15.5) 20 (64.5) 11 (35.5) Level of Severity ($n = 201$) Male 46 (31.8%) 44 (88.8%) 20(31.3%) Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 44 (88.8%) 20(31.3%) Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 41 (46.8%) 20(31.3%) Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 23 (76.7%) 52 (82.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 114(67.5%) 55 (32.5%) Cough as presenting complaint ($n = 201$) No 169 (84.1%) 114(67.5%) 55 (25.5%) Sore Throat as presenting complaint ($n = 201$) No 169 (84.1%) 114(67.5%) 55 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126(70.8%) 52 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126(70.8%) 55 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126(70.8%) 55 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126(70.8%) 55 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126 (70.8%) 55 (22.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126 (82.1%) 14 (18.5%) No 169 (84.1%) 126 (84.1%) 126 (84.1%)	16–18 years	14 (6.9%)	8 (57.1%)	6 (42.9%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Year of Admission ($n = 201$)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2020	85 (42.3%)	55 (64.7%)	30(35.3%)	0.368
	2021	116 (57.7)	82 (70.7%)	34(29.3%)	
Male 104 (51.7%) 75 (72.1%) 292(97%) 0.213 Complications present ($n = 201$) -	Gender ($n = 201$)				
Female 97 (48.3%) 62 (63.9%) 35(61.%) Complications present (n = 201)	Male	104 (51.7%)	75 (72.1%)	29(27.9%)	0.213
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Female	97 (48.3%)	62 (63.9%)	35(36.1%)	
Acute Elver Disease 11 (55%) 15 (75.9%) 3 (27.3%) 0.462 COVID-Pneumonia 162 (80.6%) 109(67.3%) 53(32.7%) 0.462 (m = 201) 5 (55.6%) 4 (44.4%) 0.639 0.639 (m = 201) Yes 61 (30.4) 18 (29.5) 46 (32.9) 0.639 Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) 0.639 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) 0.23.3) Bacterial co-infection 30 (14.9) 23 (76.7) 7 (23.3) 0.533 Other co-infections 31 (15.5) 20 (64.5) 11 (35.5) 0.533 Level of Severity (n = 201)	Complications present ($n = 201$)				
Acute Liver Disease 11 (5.5%) 8 (72.7%) 3 (27.3%) 0.462 COVID-Preumonia 162 (80.6%) 109(67.3%) 533(32.7%) 533(32.7%) Presence of co-infection diagnosed 9 (4.4%) 5 (55.6%) 4 (44.4%) Presence of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) 0.639 0.639 0.639 No 140 (69.6) 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) 0.533 Other co-infection 30 (14.9) 23 (76.7) 7 (23.3) 0.533 Other co-infections 31 (15.5) 20 (64.5) 11 (35.5) 0.533 Level of Severity (n = 201) $$	Acute Kidney Injury	19 (9.5%)	15 (78.9%)	4 (21.1%)	
$\begin{array}{c c} {\rm COVID-Pretumonia} & 162 (80.6\%) & 109(67.3\%) & 53(32.7\%) \\ {\rm Septic Shock} & 9 (4.4\%) & 5 (55.6\%) & 4 (44.4\%) \\ \hline \\ {\rm Presence of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Acute Liver Disease	11 (5.5%)	8 (72.7%)	3 (27.3%)	0.462
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	COVID-Pneumonia	162 (80.6%)	109(67.3%)	53(32.7%)	
Presence of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) Yes 61 (30.4) 18 (29.5) 46 (32.9) 0.639 No 140 (69.6) 43 (70.5) 94 (67.1) 36 (25.7) 0.533 Type of co-infection 30 (14.9) 23 (76.7) 7 (23.3) 0.533 Bacterial co-infections 31 (15.5) 20 (64.5) 11 (35.5) 0.533 Level of Severity (n = 201)	Septic Shock	9 (4.4%)	5 (55.6%)	4 (44.4%)	
Yes61 (30.4) 140 (69.6)18 (29.5) 43 (70.5)46 (32.9) 94 (67.1)0.639Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) None140 (69.6)94 (67.1) 23 (76.7)36 (25.7) 7 (23.3)0.533Bacterial co-infection30 (14.9)23 (76.7)7 (23.3) 10 (55.5)0.633Other co-infections31 (15.5)20 (64.5)11 (35.5)Level of Severity (n = 201) Severe Pneumonia with ARDS/Sepsis60 (29.9%)41 (68.8%) 114 (68.3%)20(31.3%) 19(31.7%)0.988Cough as presenting complaint (n = 201) Yes23 (7 1.9%) 126 (70.8%)9 (28.1%) 55(32.5%)0.623Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201)11 (47.8%) 126 (70.8%)12(52.2%) 52(29.2%)0.623Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) No178 (88.6%)126 (70.8%) 130(68.1%)61 (31.9%) 61 (31.9%)0.898Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) No193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%) 3 (30.0%)59(30.6%) 5 (62.5%)0.057Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) No193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%) 3 (37.5%)5 (18.5%) 5 (62.5%)0.057Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Chest CT27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%)5 (18.5%) 20 (0.0570.044Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Chest CT27 (13.4%) 27 (13.4%)5 (18.5%) 20 (65.6%)0.044Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Chest CT27 (13.4%) 27 (13.4%)5 (18.5%) 20 (65.6%) <td>Presence of co-infection diagnosed $(n = 201)$</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.400</td>	Presence of co-infection diagnosed $(n = 201)$				0.400
No140 (69.6)43 (70.5)94 (67.1)Type of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) None140 (69.6)94 (67.1)36 (25.7) (23.3)0.533Bacterial co-infection30 (14.9)23 (76.7)7 (23.3)0.533Other co-infections31 (15.5)20 (64.5)11 (35.5)0.693Level of Severity ($n = 201$) 77 (38.3%)52 (67.5%)25(32.5%)0.988Mild/Moderate77 (38.3%)52 (67.5%)25(32.5%)0.988Severe Pneumonia Severe Pneumonia60 (29.9%)41 (68.3%)19(31.7%)0.623Cough as presenting complaint ($n = 201$) Yes 52 (15.9%)23 (7 1.9%)9 (28.1%)0.623Yes32 (15.9%)114 (67.5%)55(32.5%)0.623Sore Throat as presenting complaint ($n = 201$) Yes 23 (11.4%)11 (47.8%)12(52.2%)0.623Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint ($n = 201$)Yes191 (95.0%)130(68.1%)61 (31.9%)0.898No10 (50.%)70(0.0%)3 (30.0%)0.6976.8980.057Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint ($n = 201$)Yes193 (96.0%)134(69.4%)59(30.6%)0.057Presenting complaint of nasal congestion ($n = 201$)Yes193 (96.0%)3 (37.5%)5 (62.5%)0.057Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$)Yes193 (96.0%)134(69.4%)59(30.6%)0.057Yes193 (96.0%)3 (37.5%)5 (62.5%)0.0570.057Me	Yes	61 (30.4)	18 (29.5)	46 (32.9)	0.639
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	No	140 (69.6)	43 (70.5)	94 (67.1)	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $	Type of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$)				
Bacterial co-infection30 (14.9)23 (76.7)7 (23.3) 0.533 Other co-infections31 (15.5)20 (64.5)11 (35.5)Level of Severity (n = 201) $77 (38.3\%)$ 52 (67.5%)25 (32.5%)Mild/Moderate77 (38.3%)52 (67.5%)20 (31.3%) 0.988 Severe Pneumonia64 (31.8%)44 (68.8%)20 (31.3%) 0.988 Severe Pneumonia60 (29.9%)41 (68.3%)19 (31.7%) 0.988 Cough as presenting complaint (n = 201)Yes32 (15.9%)23 (7 1.9%)9 (28.1%) 0.623 No169 (84.1%)114 (67.5%)55 (32.5%) 0.623 0.623 Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201)Yes23 (11.4%)11 (47.8%)12 (52.2%) 0.026 No178 (88.6%)126 (70.8%)52 (29.2%) 0.026 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201)Yes191 (95.0%)130 (68.1%)61 (31.9%) 0.898 No10 (5.0%)7 (70.0%)3 (30.0%) 0.57 0.57 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201)Yes193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%)59 (30.6%) 0.057 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201)Yes193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%)59 (30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201)Yes193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%)59 (30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201)Yes193 (96.0%)134 (69.4%)59 (30.6%) 0.057	None	140 (69.6)	94 (67.1)	36 (25.7)	0 522
$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Bacterial co-infection	30 (14.9)	23 (76.7)	7 (23.3)	0.555
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Other co-infections	31 (15.5)	20 (64.5)	11 (35.5)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Level of Severity ($n = 201$)				
$\begin{array}{c c} Severe Pneumonia \\ Severe Pneumonia \\ with ARDS/Sepsis \\ \hline 60 (29.9\%) \\ 41 (68.8\%) \\ 19(31.7\%) \\ \hline 19(31.7\%) \\ \hline \\ Cough as presenting complaint (n = 201) \\ Yes \\ No \\ \hline \\ 169 (84.1\%) \\ 169 (84.1\%) \\ 114(67.5\%) \\ 55(32.5\%) \\ \hline \\ Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201) \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ 23 (11.4\%) \\ 11 (47.8\%) \\ 12(52.2\%) \\ \hline \\ No \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ No \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ 191 (95.0\%) \\ 126(70.8\%) \\ 52(29.2\%) \\ \hline \\ Fever > 37 ^{\circ}C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) \\ \hline \\ Fever > 37 ^{\circ}C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ 191 (95.0\%) \\ No \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ 191 (95.0\%) \\ 10 (5.0\%) \\ 7 (70.0\%) \\ 3 (30.0\%) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ No \\ \hline \\ Yes \\ 193 (96.0\%) \\ Yes \\ 193 (96.0\%) \\ 134(69.4\%) \\ 59(30.6\%) \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ No \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ No \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ Chest CT \\ 27 (13.4\%) \\ Chest CT \\ 27 (13.4\%) \\ Chest CT \\ 27 (13.4\%) \\ S0 (68.2\%) \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ Chest X-ray \\ 44 (21.9\%) \\ S0 (68.2\%) \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ Chest CT \\ 27 (13.4\%) \\ S0 (68.2\%) \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \\ \\ \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \hline \\ \hline \\$	Mild/Moderate	77 (38.3%)	52 (67.5%)	25(32.5%)	0.000
	Severe Pneumonia	64 (31.8%)	44 (68.8%)	20(31.3%)	0.988
with ARDS/Sepsis $000(213.\%)$ $110(000.\%)$ $100(000\%)$ Cough as presenting complaint (n = 201) Yes $32(15.9\%)$ $23(71.9\%)$ $9(28.1\%)$ 0.623 Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201) 114(67.5\%) $55(32.5\%)$ 0.026 Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201) Yes $23(11.4\%)$ $11(47.8\%)$ $12(52.2\%)$ 0.026 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) Yes $191(95.0\%)$ $130(68.1\%)$ $61(31.9\%)$ 0.898 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) Yes $191(95.0\%)$ $134(69.4\%)$ $59(30.6\%)$ 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Yes $193(96.0\%)$ $134(69.4\%)$ $59(30.6\%)$ 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Chest CT $27(13.4\%)$ $22(81.5\%)$ $5(18.5\%)$ 0.044 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) $11(15.4\%)$ $15(48.4\%)$ $16(51.6\%)$ 0.044 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) $12(13.4\%)$ $22(81.5\%)$ $5(18.5\%)$ 0.044 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201)	Severe Pneumonia	60 (29.9%)	41 (68 3%)	19(31.7%)	
$\begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Cough as presenting complaint } (n = 201) & 23 (7 1.9\%) & 9 (28.1\%) & 0.623 \\ \hline No & 169 (84.1\%) & 114 (67.5\%) & 55 (32.5\%) & 0.623 \\ \hline Sore Throat as presenting complaint \\ (n = 201) & 23 (11.4\%) & 11 (47.8\%) & 12 (52.2\%) & 0.026 \\ \hline No & 178 (88.6\%) & 126 (70.8\%) & 52 (29.2\%) & 0.026 \\ \hline Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint \\ (n = 201) & 28 (191 (95.0\%) & 130 (68.1\%) & 61 (31.9\%) & 0.898 \\ \hline No & 10 (5.0\%) & 7 (70.0\%) & 3 (30.0\%) & 0.898 \\ \hline Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) & 28 (4.0\%) & 134 (69.4\%) & 59 (30.6\%) & 0.057 \\ \hline Yes & 193 (96.0\%) & 134 (69.4\%) & 59 (30.6\%) & 0.057 \\ \hline No & 8 (4.0\%) & 3 (37.5\%) & 5 (62.5\%) & 0.0057 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) & 22 (81.5\%) & 5 (18.5\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline Method & 99 (49.3\%) & 70 (70.7\%) & 29 (29.3\%) & 0.044 \\ \hline \end{tabular}$	with ARDS/Sepsis	00 (29.970)	11 (00.070)	17(01.770)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} Yes & 32 (15.9\%) & 23 (7 1.9\%) & 9 (28.1\%) & 0.623 \\ \hline No & 169 (84.1\%) & 114 (67.5\%) & 55 (32.5\%) \end{array} \\ \hline \\ Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Cough as presenting complaint ($n = 201$)				
No 169 (84.1%) 114(67.5%) 55(32.5%) Sore Throat as presenting complaint ($n = 201$) 0.026 Yes 23 (11.4%) 11 (47.8%) 12(52.2%) 0.026 No 178 (88.6%) 126(70.8%) 52(29.2%) 0.026 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint ($n = 201$) Yes 191 (95.0%) 130(68.1%) 61(31.9%) 0.898 Yes 191 (95.0%) 130(68.1%) 61(31.9%) 0.898 No 10 (5.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 0.057 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion ($n = 201$) 0.057 0.057 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) 0.057 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) 0.058 0.044 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16 (51.6%) 0.044	Yes	32 (15.9%)	23 (7 1.9%)	9 (28.1%)	0.623
Sore Throat as presenting complaint (n = 201) 0.026 Yes 23 (11.4%) 11 (47.8%) 12(52.2%) 0.026 No 178 (88.6%) 126(70.8%) 52(29.2%) 0.026 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) 126(70.8%) 52(29.2%) 0.898 Yes 191 (95.0%) 130(68.1%) 61(31.9%) 0.898 No 10 (5.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 0.898 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) 0.057 0.057 Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) 0.057 0.057 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14(31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) 0.044	No	169 (84.1%)	114(67.5%)	55(32.5%)	
Yes 23 (11.4%) 11 (47.8%) 12(52.2%) 0.026 No 178 (88.6%) 126(70.8%) 52(29.2%) 0 Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) Yes 191 (95.0%) 130(68.1%) 61(31.9%) 0.898 No 10 (5.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 0 0.898 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) 0.057 0 0 0 Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) 0 0 0.057 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14(31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) 0.044	Sore Throat as presenting complaint $(n = 201)$				
No 178 (88.6%) 126(70.8%) 52(29.2%) Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201)	Yes	23 (11.4%)	11 (47.8%)	12(52.2%)	0.026
Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint (n = 201) 0.898 Yes 191 (95.0%) 130(68.1%) 61(31.9%) 0.898 No 10 (5.0%) 7 (70.0%) 3 (30.0%) 0.898 Presenting complaint of nasal congestion (n = 201) Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) 0.044	No	178 (88.6%)	126(70.8%)	52(29.2%)	
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Fever > 37 °C as a presenting complaint $(n - 201)$				
No $10 (5.0\%)$ $10 (50.07)$ $10 (50.07)$ $01 (01.7\%)$ No $10 (5.0\%)$ $7 (70.0\%)$ $3 (30.0\%)$ Presenting complaint of nasal congestion $(n = 201)$ 0.057 Yes $193 (96.0\%)$ $134 (69.4\%)$ $59 (30.6\%)$ No $8 (4.0\%)$ $3 (37.5\%)$ $5 (62.5\%)$ Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis $(n = 201)$ 0.057 Chest CT $27 (13.4\%)$ $22 (81.5\%)$ $5 (18.5\%)$ Chest X-ray $44 (21.9\%)$ $30 (68.2\%)$ $14 (31.8\%)$ Both $31 (15.4\%)$ $15 (48.4\%)$ $16 (51.6\%)$ None $99 (49.3\%)$ $70 (70.7\%)$ $29 (29.3\%)$	(n - 201) Yes	191 (95.0%)	130(68.1%)	61(31.9%)	0.898
Presenting complaint of nasal congestion $(n = 201)$ 0.057 Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 No 8 (4.0%) 3 (37.5%) 5 (62.5%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis $(n = 201)$ $Chest CT$ 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14(31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) None 99 (49.3%) 70 (70.7%) 29 (29.3%)	No	10 (5.0%)	7 (70.0%)	3 (30.0%)	
Presenting complaint of flash 0.057 congestion $(n = 201)$ 0.057 Yes 193 (96.0%) 134(69.4%) 59(30.6%) 0.057 No 8 (4.0%) 3 (37.5%) 5 (62.5%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis $(n = 201)$ 0.044 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14(31.8%) Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) None 99 (49.3%) 70 (70.7%) 29 (29.3%)	Presenting complaint of pagel	· · · ·	· · · ·	· · · ·	
Yes193 (96.0%)134(69.4%)59(30.6%) 0.057 No 8 (4.0%) 3 (37.5%) 5 (62.5%) 0.057 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14 (31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16 (51.6%) 0.044	congestion $(n = 201)$				
No $8 (4.0\%)$ $3 (37.5\%)$ $5 (62.5\%)$ Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (n = 201) $27 (13.4\%)$ $22 (81.5\%)$ $5 (18.5\%)$ Chest CT $27 (13.4\%)$ $22 (81.5\%)$ $5 (18.5\%)$ 0.044 Chest X-ray $44 (21.9\%)$ $30 (68.2\%)$ $14(31.8\%)$ 0.044 Both $31 (15.4\%)$ $15 (48.4\%)$ $16(51.6\%)$ None $99 (49.3\%)$ $70 (70.7\%)$ $29 (29.3\%)$	Yes	193 (96.0%)	134(69.4%)	59(30.6%)	0.057
Method of radiological assessment used 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 in diagnosis ($n = 201$) Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 22 (81.5%) 5 (18.5%) 0.044 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14(31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16(51.6%) None 99 (49.3%) 70 (70.7%) 29 (29.3%)	No	8 (4.0%)	3 (37.5%)	5 (62.5%)	
In diagnosis $(n = 201)$ 27 (13.4%)22 (81.5%)5 (18.5%)Chest CT27 (13.4%)30 (68.2%)14(31.8%)0.044Chest X-ray44 (21.9%)30 (68.2%)14(31.8%)0.044Both31 (15.4%)15 (48.4%)16(51.6%)None99 (49.3%)70 (70.7%)29 (29.3%)	Method of radiological assessment used				
Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 30 (68.2%) 14 (31.8%) 0.044 Both 31 (15.4%) 15 (48.4%) 16 (51.6%) None 99 (49.3%) 70 (70.7%) 29 (29.3%)	III UIAGIIUSIS ($n = 201$) Chart CT	27 (13 4%)	22 (81 5%)	5 (18 5%)	
Both31 (15.4%)15 (48.4%)16(51.6%)None99 (49.3%)70 (70.7%)29 (29.3%)	Chest X-ray	44 (21.9%)	30 (68 2%)	14(31.8%)	0.044
None 99 (49.3%) 70 (70.7%) 29 (29.3%)	Both	31 (15.4%)	15 (48.4%)	16(51.6%)	
	None	99 (49.3%)	70 (70.7%)	29 (29.3%)	

Table 1. Association between compliance to the COVID-19 Standard Treatment Guidelines (STG) and patients' characteristics.

Characteristics (n)	Total, <i>n</i> (%)	Compliance to STG		Chi Square <i>p</i> -Value	
		Yes, <i>n</i> (%)	No, n (%)		
Treatment Outcome ($n = 201$)					
Discharge home	179 (89.1%)	120 (67.0%)	59 (33.0%)	0.331	
Mortality	22 (10.9%)	17 (77.3%)	5 (22.7%)		
Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$)					
Yes	19 (9.5%)	12 (63.2%)	7 (36.8%)	0.623	
No	182 (90.5%)	125(68.7%)	57 (31.3%)		
Duration of Admission ($n = 201$)					
Less than 7 days	131 (65.2%)	84 (64.1%)	47 (35.9%)	0.040	
8–14 days	66 (32.8%)	50 (75.8%)	16 (24.2%)	0.243	
15–21 days	4 (2.0%)	3 (75.0%)	1 (25.0%)		

Table 1. Cont.

NB: Variables with *p*-values highlighted in bold showed a statistically significant association with compliance to the COVID-19 Standard Treatment Guidelines.



Figure 1. Antimicrobial agents prescribed for the management of COVID-19 infection among pediatric patients at the University of Ghana Medical Centre.

2.2. Bivariate Analysis

The overall compliance to the national COVID-19 STGs based on the choice of antimicrobial prescribed was 68.2%. Compliance to the STG was found to be associated with the reporting of a sore throat, documented in the patient's medical records as a presenting symptom among the study population (p-value = 0.026), and the method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis (p-value = 0.05) (Table 1).

Treatment outcomes were also found to be associated with age category of the patients (p-value = 0.020), the duration of their admission (p-value = 0.025) and whether they were transferred to ICU (p-value = 0.002) (Table 2).

$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Characteristics (<i>n</i>)	Total, <i>n</i> (%)	Treatment Outcome		Chi Square <i>p</i> -Value
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$			Discharge Home, n (%)	Death, <i>n</i> (%)	
$ \begin{array}{c c} \mbox{Less than 5} & 41 (20.4\%) & 37(90.2\%) & 4 (9.8\%) \\ - 6-10 years & 90 (44.8\%) & 81 (90.0\%) & 9 (10.0\%) & 0.020 \\ 11-15 years & 16 (6.9\%) & 9 (64.3\%) & 5 (35.7\%) & \\ \hline \\ \mbox{Cender} (n=201) & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	Age Category ($n = 201$)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Less than 5	41 (20.4%)	37(90.2%)	4 (9.8%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6–10 years	90 (44.8%)	81 (90.0%)	9 (10.0%)	0.020
I6-18 years 14 (6.9%) 9 (64.3%) 5 (35.7%) Gender (n = 201) Male 104 (51.7%) 92 (88.5%) 12 (11.5%) 0.780 Year of Admission (n = 201) 78 (91.8%) 7 (8.2%) 0.292 0	11–15 years	56 (27.9%)	52 (92.9%)	4 (7.1%)	
	16–18 years	14 (6.9%)	9 (64.3%)	5 (35.7%)	
	Gender ($n = 201$)				
Female 97 (48.3%) 87 (89.7%) 10 (10.3%) Year of Admission (n = 201) 2020 85 (42.3%) 78 (91.8%) 7 (8.2%) 0.292 2021 116 (57.7) 101 (87.1%) 15 (12.9%) 0.292 Complications present (n = 201)	Male	104 (51.7%)	92 (88.5%)	12 (11.5%)	0.780
Year of Admission ($n = 201$) 2020 85 (42.3%) 78 (91.8%) 7 (8.2%) 0.292 2021 116 (57.7) 101 (87.1%) 15 (12.9%) Complications present ($n = 201$) Acute Kichey Injury 19 (9.5%) 17 (89.5%) 2 (10.5%) Acute Kichey Injury 19 (9.5%) 17 (89.5%) 3 (27.3%) 0.581 COVID-Pneumonia 162 (80.6%) 146 (90.1%) 16 (9.9%) Septic Shock 9 (4.4%) 8 (88.9%) 1 (11.1%) Level of Severity ($n = 201$) Mild/Moderate 77 (38.3%) 71 (92.2%) 6 (7.8%) Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 56 (87.5%) 8 (12.5%) 0.523 Severe Pneumonia 60 (29.9%) 52 (86.7%) 8 (13.3%) with ARDS/Sepsis 60 (29.9%) 52 (86.7%) 8 (13.3%) Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 24 (88.9%) 5 (16.1%) None 99 (49.3%) 92 (92.9%) 7 (7.1%) Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) Ves 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 ($n = 201$) Ves 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 ($n = 201$) Ves 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 ($n = 201$) Ves 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$) Ves 19 (9.5%) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) Type of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) None 140 (69.6) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) Type of co-infection 30 (14.9) 28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) Other co-infections 31 (15.5) 29 (93.5) 2 (6.5) Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$) Ves 19 (9.5%) 12(63.2%) 7 (36.8%) 0.002 No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 15 (8.2%) Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) Less than 7 (49.8) 131 (65.2%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) No 182 (90.5%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) No 182 (90.5%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) O.002 No 182 (90.5%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) O.002 No 182 (93.3%) 12 (12.8%) 12 (12.8%) Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) Less than 7 (49.8%) 131 (65.2%) 120 (87.6%) 12 (12.4%) No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 12 (12.4%) No 192 (93.5%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) No 192 (93.5%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) No 182 (93.5%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) No 182 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%) 12 (12.4%)	Female	97 (48.3%)	87 (89.7%)	10 (10.3%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Year of Admission ($n = 201$)				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	2020	85 (42.3%)	78 (91.8%)	7 (8.2%)	0.292
$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{Complications present } (n=201) \\ \mbox{Actute Kidney Injury} & 19 (95\%) & 17 (89.5\%) & 2 (10.5\%) \\ \mbox{Actute Liver Disease} & 11 (5.5\%) & 8 (72.7\%) & 3 (27.3\%) & 0.581 \\ \mbox{COVID-Pneumonia} & 162 (80.6\%) & 146 (90.1\%) & 16 (9.9\%) \\ \mbox{Septic Shock} & 9 (4.4\%) & 8 (88.9\%) & 1 (11.1\%) \\ \mbox{Mild/Moderate} & 77 (38.3\%) & 71 (92.2\%) & 6 (7.8\%) \\ \mbox{Severe Pneumonia} & 64 (31.8\%) & 56 (87.5\%) & 8 (12.5\%) \\ \mbox{Severe Pneumonia} & 64 (31.8\%) & 56 (87.5\%) & 8 (12.5\%) \\ \mbox{Severe Pneumonia} & 64 (29.9\%) & 52 (86.7\%) & 8 (13.3\%) \\ \mbox{Method of radiological assessment} \\ \mbox{used in diagnosis } (n=201) \\ \mbox{Chest CT} & 27 (13.4\%) & 24 (88.9\%) & 3 (13.7\%) \\ \mbox{Chest CT} & 27 (13.4\%) & 24 (88.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) \\ \mbox{None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 57 (15.9\%) \\ \mbox{None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 57 (15.9\%) \\ \mbox{None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) \\ \mbox{None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 7 (7.1\%) \\ \mbox{Presence of co-infection diagnosed} \\ (n=201) & & & & \\ (n=201) & & & & & \\ \mbox{None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) \\ \mbox{Vpe of co-infection diagnosed} \\ (n=201) & & & & & \\ \mbox{None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) \\ \mbox{None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) \\ \mbox{None} & 19 (9.5\%) & 12 (63.2\%) & 7 (36.8\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (82\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (82\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (87.5\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (93.1\%) & 9 (6.9\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (93.1\%) & 9 (6.9\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (87.5\%) & 10.002 \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (18.2\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (18.2\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (18.2\%) \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & 0.025 \\ \mbox{Non} & 182 (90.5\%) & 122 (87.5\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & 0.025 \\ \mbox{Non} & 15 (2.9\%) & 0.$	2021	116 (57.7)	101 (87.1%)	15 (12.9%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Complications present ($n = 201$)				
Acute Liver Disease 11 (5.5%) 8 (72.7%) 3 (27.3%) 0.581 COVID-Pneumonia 162 (80.6%) 146 (90.1%) 16 (9.9%) 11(1.1%) Level of Severity ($n = 201$) 8 (88.5%) 1 (11.1%) 0.523 Severe Pneumonia 64 (31.8%) 56 (87.5%) 8 (12.5%) 0.523 Severe Pneumonia 60 (29.9%) 52 (86.7%) 8 (13.3%) 0.523 Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) 0.523 0.523 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 24 (88.9%) 3 (13.7%) 0.322 Both 31 (15.4%) 26 (83.9%) 5 (16.1%) 0.322 None 99 (49.3%) 92 (92.9%) 7 (7.1%) 0.322 Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) 0.188 0.188 ($n = 201$) Yes 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 No 140 (69.6) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) 0.421 Daterial coinfection 30 (14.9) 28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) 0.421 Set or infections 31 (15.5) 29 (93.5) 2 (6.7) 0.421	Acute Kidney Injury	19 (9.5%)	17 (89.5%)	2 (10.5%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} {\rm COVID-Pneumonia} & 162 (80.6\%) & 146 (90.1\%) & 16 (9.9\%) \\ {\rm Septic Shock} & 9 (4.4\%) & 8 (88.9\%) & 1 (11.1\%) \\ \hline \\ {\rm Level of Severity (n = 201)} & & & & & & & & \\ {\rm Severe Pneumonia} & 64 (31.8\%) & 56 (87.5\%) & 8 (12.5\%) & 0.523 \\ {\rm Severe Pneumonia} & 60 (29.9\%) & 52 (86.7\%) & 8 (13.3\%) & & & & & \\ {\rm Severe Pneumonia} & 60 (29.9\%) & 52 (86.7\%) & 8 (13.3\%) & & & & & \\ {\rm Method of radiological assessment} & & & & & & & \\ {\rm used in diagnosis (n = 201)} & & & & & & & \\ {\rm Chest CT} & 27 (13.4\%) & 24 (88.9\%) & 3 (13.7\%) & 0.322 \\ {\rm Chest X-ray} & 44 (21.9\%) & 37 (84.1\%) & 7 (15.9\%) & 0.322 \\ {\rm Both} & 31 (15.4\%) & 26 (83.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) & & & \\ {\rm None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 7 (7.1\%) & & & \\ {\rm Presence of co-infection diagnosed} & & & & \\ (n = 201) & & & & & \\ {\rm No} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.5\%) & 12 (63.2\%) & 7 (36.8\%) & & \\ {\rm Other co-infection} & 31 (15.5) & 29 (93.5) & 2 (6.5) & & \\ \\ \hline {\rm Transferred to ICU (n = 201) & & & & \\ {\rm Yes} & 19 (9.5\%) & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (8.2\%) & & \\ {\rm No} & 182 (90.5\%) & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (8.2\%) & & \\ \hline {\rm Duration of Admission (n = 201) & & & \\ {\rm Less than 7 days} & 131 (65.2\%) & 122 (93.1\%) & 9 (6.9\%) & \\ {\rm No} & 142 (2\%) & 54 (81.8\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & & \\ \hline {\rm Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201) & & & \\ {\rm Yes} & 137 (68.2\%) & 150 (20\%) & 17 (12.4\%) & 0.468 & \\ \hline {\rm Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201) & & & \\ {\rm Yes} & 137 (68.2\%) & 150 (20\%) & 17 (12.4\%) & 0.468 & \\ \end{array}$	Acute Liver Disease	11 (5.5%)	8 (72.7%)	3 (27.3%)	0.581
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	COVID-Pneumonia	162 (80.6%)	146 (90.1%)	16 (9.9%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Septic Shock	9 (4.4%)	8 (88.9%)	1 (11.1%)	
$\begin{array}{c ccccc} {\rm Mild}/{\rm Moderate} & 77 (38.3\%) & 71 (92.2\%) & 6 (7.8\%) \\ {\rm Severe Pneumonia} & 64 (31.8\%) & 56 (87.5\%) & 8 (12.5\%) & 0.523 \\ {\rm Severe Pneumonia} & 60 (29.9\%) & 52 (86.7\%) & 8 (13.3\%) & \\ \\ \hline \\ {\rm Method \ of\ radiological assessment} \\ {\rm used \ in\ diagnosis \ (n=201)} & \\ {\rm Chest\ CT} & 27 (13.4\%) & 24 (88.9\%) & 3 (13.7\%) & \\ {\rm Chest\ X-ray} & 44 (21.9\%) & 37 (84.1\%) & 7 (15.9\%) & 0.322 & \\ {\rm Both} & 31 (15.4\%) & 26 (83.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) & \\ {\rm None} & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 7 (7.1\%) & \\ \\ \hline \\ {\rm Presence\ of\ co-infection\ diagnosed} & \\ (n=201) & & & \\ {\rm No} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & \\ \\ \hline \\ {\rm Type\ of\ co-infection\ diagnosed} & \\ (n=201) & & & \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & \\ \\ \hline \\ {\rm None} & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & \\ \\ \hline \\ {\rm Presence\ of\ ICU\ (n=201) & & \\ {\rm Yes} & 19 (9.5\%) & 12 (63.2\%) & 7 (36.8\%) & \\ {\rm No\ 182 (90.5\%)} & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (8.2\%) & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm Duration\ of\ Admission\ (n=201) & & \\ {\rm Les\ than\ 7\ days} & 131 (65.2\%) & \\ {\rm Les\ than\ 7\ days} & 66 (32.8\%) & 54 (81.8\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ {\rm Compliance\ to\ COVID\ STG\ (n=201) & & \\ {\rm Yes} & 137 (68.2\%) & 120 (87.6\%) & 17 (12.4\%) & 0.468 & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Level of Severity $(n = 201)$				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Mild/Moderate	77 (38.3%)	71 (92.2%)	6 (7.8%)	0 522
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Severe Pneumonia	64 (31.8%)	56 (87.5%)	8 (12.5%)	0.525
with ARDS/Sepsis $00(257.6)$ $01(265.6)$ $01(255.6)$ Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis $(n = 201)$ (Arrow 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10,	Severe Pneumonia	60 (29 9%)	52 (86.7%)	8 (13 3%)	
Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$) Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 24 (88.9%) 3 (13.7%) 0.322 Chest CT 27 (13.4%) 24 (88.9%) 3 (13.7%) 0.322 Chest X-ray 44 (21.9%) 37 (84.1%) 7 (15.9%) 0.322 Both 31 (15.4%) 26 (83.9%) 5 (16.1%) 0.188 None 99 (49.3%) 92 (92.9%) 7 (7.1%) 0.188 Presence of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$) 0.188 0.188 Yes 61 (30.4) 57 (93.4) 4 (6.6) 0.188 No 140 (69.6) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) 0.421 Bacterial coinfection diagnosed ($n = 201$) 28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) 0ther co-infections 31 (15.5) 29 (93.5) 2 (6.5) 17 Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$) Yes 19 (9.5%) 12(63.2%) 7 (36.8%) 0.002 No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 15 (8.2%) 0.002 0.025 Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) Yes 131 (65.2%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) 0.025 <t< td=""><td>with ARDS/Sepsis</td><td>00 (29.970)</td><td>32 (00.7 /0)</td><td>0 (10.070)</td><td></td></t<>	with ARDS/Sepsis	00 (29.970)	32 (00.7 /0)	0 (10.070)	
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Chest CT & 27 (13.4\%) & 24 (88.9\%) & 3 (13.7\%) \\ Chest X-ray & 44 (21.9\%) & 37 (84.1\%) & 7 (15.9\%) \\ Both & 31 (15.4\%) & 26 (83.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) \\ None & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 7 (7.1\%) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c ccccc} Presence of co-infection diagnosed \\ (n = 201) & & & & & & & \\ No & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis ($n = 201$)				
$\begin{array}{c cccc} Chest X-ray & 44 (21.9\%) & 37 (84.1\%) & 7 (15.9\%) & 0.322 \\ Both & 31 (15.4\%) & 26 (83.9\%) & 5 (16.1\%) \\ None & 99 (49.3\%) & 92 (92.9\%) & 7 (7.1\%) \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ Presence of co-infection diagnosed \\ (n = 201) & & & & & & & & \\ No & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & & & \\ \hline \\ No & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & & & \\ \hline \\ None & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & & & \\ \hline \\ None & 140 (69.6) & 122 (87.1) & 18 (12.9) & & & \\ \hline \\ Bacterial coinfection & 30 (14.9) & 28 (93.3) & 2 (6.7) & & \\ Other co-infections & 31 (15.5) & 29 (93.5) & 2 (6.5) & & & \\ \hline \\ Transferred to ICU (n = 201) & & & & \\ Yes & 19 (9.5\%) & 12 (63.2\%) & 7 (36.8\%) & 0.002 & & \\ No & 182 (90.5\%) & 167 (91.8\%) & 15 (8.2\%) & & \\ \hline \\ Duration of Admission (n = 201) & & & & \\ Less than 7 days & 131 (65.2\%) & 122 (93.1\%) & 9 (6.9\%) & & \\ ILess than 7 days & 66 (32.8\%) & 54 (81.8\%) & 12 (18.2\%) & & \\ \hline \\ Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201) & & & & \\ Yes & 137 (68.2\%) & 120 (87.6\%) & 17 (12.4\%) & 0.468 & & \\ \hline \\ Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201) & & & \\ Yes & 137 (68.2\%) & 120 (87.6\%) & 17 (12.4\%) & 0.468 & & \\ \hline \end{array}$	Chest CT	27 (13.4%)	24 (88.9%)	3 (13.7%)	
Both31 (15.4%)26 (83.9%)5 (16.1%)None99 (49.3%)92 (92.9%)7 (7.1%)Presence of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201)Yes61 (30.4)57 (93.4)4 (6.6)No140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) $(n = 201)$ 0.188None140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)Bacterial coinfection30 (14.9)28 (93.3)2 (6.7)Other co-infections31 (15.5)29 (93.5)2 (6.5)Transferred to ICU (n = 201)Yes19 (9.5%)12(63.2%)7 (36.8%)No182 (90.5%)167 (91.8%)15 (8.2%)0.002Duration of Admission (n = 201)Less than 7 days131 (65.2%)122 (93.1%)9 (6.9%)Less than 7 days131 (65.2%)54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)0.02515-21 days4 (2%)3 (75%)1 (25%)0.468Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201)Yes137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468	Chest X-ray	44 (21.9%)	37 (84.1%)	7 (15.9%)	0.322
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Both	31 (15.4%)	26 (83.9%)	5 (16.1%)	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	None	99 (49.3%)	92 (92.9%)	7 (7.1%)	
Yes61 (30.4)57 (93.4)4 (6.6)0.188No140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)Type of co-infection diagnosed ($n = 201$)140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)None140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)0.421Bacterial coinfection30 (14.9)28 (93.3)2 (6.7)Other co-infections31 (15.5)29 (93.5)2 (6.5)Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$)Yes19 (9.5%)12(63.2%)7 (36.8%)No182 (90.5%)167 (91.8%)15 (8.2%)0.002Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) $4 (2\%)$ 54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)0.025S-14 days66 (32.8%)54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)0.025Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$)Yes137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468Ves137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468	Presence of co-infection diagnosed $(n = 201)$				
No 140 (69.6) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201)	Yes	61 (30.4)	57 (93.4)	4 (6.6)	0.188
Type of co-infection diagnosed (n = 201) None 140 (69.6) 122 (87.1) 18 (12.9) 0.421 Bacterial coinfection 30 (14.9) 28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) 0.421 Other co-infections 31 (15.5) 29 (93.5) 2 (6.5) 0.002 Transferred to ICU (n = 201) Yes 19 (9.5%) 12(63.2%) 7 (36.8%) 0.002 No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 15 (8.2%) 0.002 Duration of Admission (n = 201) Less than 7 days 131 (65.2%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) 0.025 S-14 days 66 (32.8%) 54 (81.8%) 12 (18.2%) 0.025 Compliance to COVID STG (n = 201) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	No	140 (69.6)	122 (87.1)	18 (12.9)	
None140 (69.6)122 (87.1)18 (12.9)0.421Bacterial coinfection30 (14.9)28 (93.3)2 (6.7)Other co-infections31 (15.5)29 (93.5)2 (6.5)Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$)Yes19 (9.5%)12(63.2%)7 (36.8%)No182 (90.5%)167 (91.8%)15 (8.2%)0.002Duration of Admission ($n = 201$)Less than 7 days131 (65.2%)122 (93.1%)9 (6.9%) $8-14$ days66 (32.8%)54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)0.02515-21 days4 (2%)3 (75%)1 (25%)0.468Yes137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468	Type of co-infection diagnosed $(n = 201)$				
Bacterial coinfection 30 (14.9) 28 (93.3) 2 (6.7) Other co-infections 31 (15.5) 29 (93.5) 2 (6.5) Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$) Yes 19 (9.5%) 12(63.2%) 7 (36.8%) 0.002 No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 15 (8.2%) 0.002 Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) $k = 14$ days 66 (32.8%) 54 (81.8%) 12 (18.2%) 0.025 $k = 14$ days 66 (32.8%) 54 (81.8%) 12 (18.2%) 0.025 Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	None	140 (69.6)	122 (87.1)	18 (12.9)	0.421
Other co-infections $31 (15.5)$ $29 (93.5)$ $2 (6.7)$ Transferred to ICU (n = 201) Yes $19 (9.5\%)$ $12 (63.2\%)$ $7 (36.8\%)$ 0.002 No 182 (90.5\%) 167 (91.8\%) 15 (8.2\%) 0.002 Duration of Admission (n = 201) Less than 7 days 131 (65.2\%) 122 (93.1\%) $9 (6.9\%)$ 0.025 $8-14$ days 66 (32.8\%) 54 (81.8\%) 12 (18.2\%) 0.025 15-21 days 4 (2\%) 3 (75\%) 1 (25\%) 0.468 Yes Output: Description of Cover the second sec	Bacterial coinfection	30 (14.9)	28 (93.3)	2 (6.7)	0.121
Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$) Yes 19 (9.5%) 12(63.2%) 7 (36.8%) 0.002 No 182 (90.5%) 167 (91.8%) 15 (8.2%) 0.002 Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) 0.002 Less than 7 days 131 (65.2%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) 0.025 8–14 days 66 (32.8%) 54 (81.8%) 12 (18.2%) 0.025 15–21 days 4 (2%) 3 (75%) 1 (25%) 0.025 Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	Other co-infections	31 (15.5)	29 (93.5)	2 (6.5)	
Yes19 (9.5%)12(63.2%)7 (36.8%)0.002No182 (90.5%)167 (91.8%)15 (8.2%)0.002Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) </td <td>Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Transferred to ICU ($n = 201$)				
No182 (90.5%)167 (91.8%)15 (8.2%)Duration of Admission ($n = 201$)Less than 7 days131 (65.2%)122 (93.1%)9 (6.9%)8-14 days66 (32.8%)54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)15-21 days4 (2%)3 (75%)1 (25%)Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$)Yes137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468	Yes	19 (9.5%)	12(63.2%)	7 (36.8%)	0.002
Duration of Admission ($n = 201$) Less than 7 days 131 (65.2%) 122 (93.1%) 9 (6.9%) 0.025 8-14 days 66 (32.8%) 54 (81.8%) 12 (18.2%) 0.025 15-21 days 4 (2%) 3 (75%) 1 (25%) 0.025 Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	No	182 (90.5%)	167 (91.8%)	15 (8.2%)	
Less than 7 days131 (65.2%)122 (93.1%)9 (6.9%)0.025 $8-14$ days66 (32.8%)54 (81.8%)12 (18.2%)15-21 days4 (2%)3 (75%)1 (25%)Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes137 (68.2%)120 (87.6%)17 (12.4%)0.468	Duration of Admission ($n = 201$)				
8-14 days $66 (32.8%)$ $54 (81.8%)$ $12 (18.2%)$ 0.025 $15-21 days$ $4 (2%)$ $3 (75%)$ $12 (18.2%)$ 0.025 Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes $137 (68.2%)$ $120 (87.6%)$ $17 (12.4%)$ 0.468 Na $(4 (21.8%))$	Less than 7 days	131 (65.2%)	122 (93.1%)	9 (6.9%)	0.000
15-21 days 4 (2%) 3 (75%) 1 (25%) Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	8–14 days	66 (32.8%)	54 (81.8%)	12 (18.2%)	0.025
Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$) Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468 No 64 (21.8%) Solution (20.2%) Solution (20.2%)	15–21 days	4 (2%)	3 (75%)	1 (25%)	
Yes 137 (68.2%) 120 (87.6%) 17 (12.4%) 0.468	Compliance to COVID STG ($n = 201$)				
No. $(4/(21.90))$ = $(0.0.00)$ = (7.00)	Yes	137 (68.2%)	120 (87.6%)	17 (12.4%)	0.468
100 $04 (31.6%)$ $39 (92.2%)$ $3 (7.8%)$	No	64 (31.8%)	59 (92.2%)	5 (7.8%)	

 Table 2. Association between treatment outcomes and patients' characteristics.

NB: Variables with *p*-values highlighted in bold showed a statistically significant association with Treatment outcomes.

2.3. Multivariate Analysis

Compliance to the Ghanaian COVID-19 STG was independently predicted by a sore throat as a presenting complaint (aOR = 0.39, CI = 0.16-0.94, *p*-value = 0.036) (Table 3), while COVID-19 treatment outcomes were independently predicted by the patients' transfer to the ICU (aOR = 0.22, CI = 0.07-0.66, *p*-value = 0.007) (Table 4).

Table 3. Multiple logistic regression between independent variables that showed statistically significant association with STG compliance.

Independent Characteristics	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value
Sore throat as presenting complaint	0.39	0.16-0.94	0.036
Method of radiological assessment used in diagnosis	1.21	0.93–1.57	0.160

NB: Variables with *p*-values highlighted in bold showed a statistically significant association with compliance to the COVID-19 Standard Treatment Guidelines.

Table 4. Logistic regression between independent variables that showed statistically significant association with treatment outcomes.

Independent Characteristics	Adjusted Odds Ratio	95% CI	<i>p</i> -Value
Age	0.69	0.41-1.19	0.190
Transferred to ICU	0.22	0.07-0.66	0.007
Duration of Admission	0.44	0.19–1.00	0.052

NB: Variables with *p*-values highlighted in bold showed statistically significant association with treatment outcome.

3. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, we believe this study is a novelty among pediatric patients admitted to hospitals with COVID-19 in Ghana.

The calculated incidence rates of COVID-19 among pediatric patients managed at the University of Ghana Medical Centre (UGMC) in 2020 and 2021 were 9.8% (85/869) and 10.4% (116/1119), respectively, with an overall mortality rate of 10.9% (22/201). This mortality rate (10.9%) among pediatric patients diagnosed and admitted for COVID-19 was lower than seen in Bangladesh and Indonesia at the start of the pandemic, at 13.3% and 40%, respectively [41,48]. However, it was higher than a recent study in Bangladesh (1.4%), as well as the rate reported by the Child Rights International in Ghana, which showed that the incidence rate of COVID-19 among the pediatric population was 4.43% of the total population, with only four deaths recorded out of 2180 children with the virus (0.13% of total deaths) by the end of 2020 [20,49]. The higher mortality rate seen in UGMC could be accounted for by the surge of the disease following recent waves with new variants, coupled with a relaxation of restrictions surrounding social contact among the pediatric population following the commencement of the vaccination program [50]. In addition, the high COVID-19 vaccine hesitancy rates currently being reported among the population in Ghana may have contributed to these rates [44].

Admission rates among males (104/201, 51.7%) was slightly higher than among females (97/201, 48.3%). This is in line with previous studies conducted in other countries [29,30]; however, this had no association with the two outcomes of interest, i.e., prescribers' compliance to Ghana COVID-19 STGs concerning the choice of antimicrobials and patient treatment outcomes.

Currently, vaccination against COVID-19 among the pediatric population in Ghana is targeted at those above 15 years. This is a concern since among the pediatric population admitted with COVID-19 at UGMC, those aged from 6 to 10 years formed the majority (44.8%, 90/201) followed by those aged from 11 to 15 years (27.9%, 56/201). This agrees with the Child Rights International report, which showed that majority of the pediatric population who have contracted COVID-19 fell within the age group of 0 to 14 years compared to those

aged between 15 and 17 years [49]. It must also be noted that several countries including China, Cuba and the USA, have currently approved COVID-19 vaccination for children below the age of 18 years [51]. In addition, the Pfizer vaccine has now been approved by the US Food and Drug Administration for the vaccination of children between the ages of 5 to 10 years, proving guidance to the authorities in Ghana [51].

The commonly reported symptoms among the admitted pediatric patients included nasal congestion and fever (Table 1). These mirror many reported observational studies; however, a cough was reported less commonly in our study population than reported elsewhere [20,30,33,43,52]. We are not fully sure of the reasons for this, and will be investigating this further.

Encouragingly, most of the pediatric COVID-19 cases that were admitted in our study were mild to moderate, similar to other studies, with only a limited number of children subsequently admitted to ICUs [20,53,54]. These presentations led mostly to a shorter hospital stay of less than seven days (65.2%, 131/201), with pneumonia (80.6%, 162/201) being the most diagnosed complication followed by acute kidney injury (9.5%, 19/201). Overall, few reported cases (9.5%, 19/201) were transferred to the ICU for more aggressive management, which is also similar to other studies [20].

Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine (41.3%, 83/201) were the most prescribed combination of antimicrobial agents used in the management of COVID-19 among the pediatric patients in our study, followed by the combination of azithromycin, hydroxychloroquine and remdesivir (18.4%, 37/201). A plethora of other antibiotics including meropenem, doxycycline, clindamycin and amoxicillin, were also prescribed, even though just under 15% (Table 1) of the cases had a bacterial coinfection diagnosed, which needs addressing to reduce future resistance rates [27,55]. This is reflected by the fact that compliance to the Ghana COVID-19 STGs concerning the choice of antimicrobials prescribed was sub-optimal, with a reported compliance rate of 68.2% (137/201). However, it must also be emphasized that the recommendations for the use of hydroxychloroquine or chloroquine in both adult and pediatric populations for the management of confirmed COVID-19 asymptomatic cases, and the use of the same antimicrobials in combination with either azithromycin or doxycycline for all forms of symptomatic cases, i.e., from mild to severe symptoms, in the current Ghana STG [7], are at variance with other international guidelines including the WHO COVID-19 guideline, the US National Institute of Health and the UK National Institute of Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) guidelines. These guidelines recommend against the use of these antimicrobials as there is still no robust evidence for their prescribing, either for SARS-CoV-2 virus treatment or the presumptive treatment of bacteria co-infection [56–58]. The increased use of antibiotics either alone, e.g., azithromycin, or in combination with other regimens, without the clinical evidence or suspicion of bacterial co-infection, will, as mentioned, increase AMR and associated morbidity, mortality and healthcare cost without improving patient outcomes [19,26,59]. Consequently, there is an urgent need to review the 2020 Ghana STG for the management of patients with COVID-19 admitted to hospitals, based on the latest evidence. The current situation in Ghana though is similar to a number of other African countries where their STGs routinely recommend the prescribing of an appreciable number of antibiotics when managing patients with COVID-19 in both adults and children [60].

COVID-19 diagnosed patients who presented with a sore throat were less likely (OR = 0.39, C.I 0.16–0.94, *p*-value = 0.036) to receive empiric treatment with STG recommended antimicrobials in our study compared to those without this symptom. This may be due to the fact the most commonly associated symptoms of COVID-19 in the pediatric population were fever and nasal congestion, and not a sore throat [30,33,36,52]. Whilst the recovery rate in the hospital was high at approximately nine out of 10 admitted cases, pediatric patients who were transferred to the ICU were less likely (OR = 0.22, C.I 0.07–0.60, *p*-value = 0.007) to be discharged home alive. The higher mortality rate among these critical cases needs to be further investigated to ascertain the availability of essential resources and

skills for the effective management of these children to reduce future mortality. We will be following this up in the future.

We are aware of a number of limitations with this study. Firstly, we only conducted the study in one hospital for the reasons stated. Secondly, as this was a retrospective study, some key data sets could not be ascertained and so were not recorded. For instance, we were unable to collect any data on the type of biomarkers used in the screening of potential bacterial co-infections as a way of confirming the presence of suspected co-infections. However, despite these limitations, we believe our findings are robust, providing guidance for the future.

4. Materials and Methods

4.1. Study Design, Study Site and Population

The study design was a retrospective cross-sectional study of medical records of pediatric patients with laboratory confirmed diagnosis of SARS-CoV-2 infection who were admitted to the COVID Treatment Center at the Medical Department of the University of Ghana Medical Centre (UGMC) between March 2020 and December 2021.

The COVID-19 Treatment Center at UGMC is a quaternary medical and research center located on the campus of the University of Ghana in Accra, Ghana. UGMC is an ultra-modern 1000 bed medical center located within the University of Ghana campus in the capital city of Ghana. The Center operates three major service areas namely Quaternary Level Healthcare provision, Medical Training and Scientific/Medical Research.

In addition to the many departments at the center including obstetrics and gynecology, pediatrics, family medicine, laboratory, pharmacy, emergency medicine, it is one of the foremost primary treatment and referral sites offering specialist care for patients with COVID-19 in Ghana. Consequently, this hospital was chosen for this initial study to research the current management and outcomes of children admitted to hospitals in Ghana with COVID-19.

The medical records of pediatric patients between the ages of 0 and 18 years of age admitted for the treatment of laboratory confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infections during the study period were sourced. The medical records of pediatric patients who had missing data concerning laboratory confirmatory tests were excluded.

4.2. Data Collection Method and Analysis

A data collection tool (Supplementary Table S1) was adapted from previous studies and designed to extract the medical records of the study participants [42,43]. We have used this approach before in previous studies involving patients with COVID-19 as well as previous point-prevalence studies [20,37,61,62].

Patients' age, gender, year of admission, the presence of complications, the level of the severity of their COVID-19 spanning from mild to severe symptoms including acute respiratory distress syndrome based on the criteria contained within the current Ghana STG [7], their presenting symptoms, (i.e., fever, cough, sore throat and nasal congestion, treatment outcomes, categorized as discharged home and mortality, their duration of hospital admission, whether the children were transferred to the ICU for subsequent management or not, any antimicrobials prescribed, and compliance of the choice of antimicrobials prescribed based on the level of severity of COVID-19 according to the 2020 edition of the Ghana COVID-19 STG [7]. Adherence to guidelines is increasingly seen as a marker of the quality of care as part of antimicrobial stewardship programs in hospitals [46,61,63–66].

All data collected were entered on Microsoft Excel version 2013 and imported into STATA version 14 (StataCorp, TX, USA) for analysis. The analysis comprised of descriptive statistics, bivariate analysis (Chi-square test of independence) to determine any association between the study outcomes (guideline compliance and treatment outcome) and the independent variables at a significance level of 95%, and a multiple logistic regression to determine the predictors of the study outcomes, i.e., compliance to guidelines and treatment outcomes.

4.3. Ethical Consideration

Ethical clearance has been obtained for the work from the UHAS research and Ethics committee (Protocol Identification Number: UHAS-REC 4.7 1712t-22) and from the University of Ghana Medical and Research Centre (Protocol Identification Number: UGMC-IRB/MSRC/011/2022).

5. Conclusions

From our study, the incidence rates of COVID-19 among the pediatric population admitted at the COVID-19 treatment center of the UGMC in Ghana were reported to be higher in 2021 than in 2020. They were also observed to be higher among the age group of 6 to 10 years and the male gender population.

The commonly reported symptoms among these patients included nasal congestion, fever and cough and were usually mild to moderate in severity. However, the mortality rate was higher among those children subsequently transferred to the ICU.

Azithromycin and hydroxychloroquine were the most prescribed combination of antimicrobial agents used in the management of COVID-19 among the pediatric patients in our study. This reflected the recommendations in the current Ghanian STG; however, overall compliance with the current STG was sub-optimal. Knowledge of the clinical presentation as well as management and the impact of COVID-19 on pediatric patients is important to ensure that appropriate resources and skillful personnel are allocated for their care. In addition, there is an urgent need to revise the Ghana STG given concerns with over-promoting the prescribing of antimicrobials. We will be following this up in the future.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/antibiotics12020283/s1, Table S1: Study Questionnaire.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, I.A.S., S.A.S. and B.G.; methodology, I.A.S., S.A.S., G.P. and N.S.; validation, I.A.S. and S.A.S.; formal analysis, I.A.S., S.A.S. and B.G.; investigation, I.A.S. and S.A.S.; resources, I.A.S. and S.A.S.; data curation, I.A.S., S.A.S., G.P. and N.S.; writing—original draft preparation, I.A.S. and B.G.; writing—review and editing, I.A.S., S.A.S., G.P., N.S. and B.G.; visualization, I.A.S.; supervision, I.A.S.; project administration, I.A.S. and B.G. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Ethical clearance has been obtained for the work from the UHAS research and Ethics committee (Protocol Identification Number: UHAS-REC 4.7 1712t-22) and from the University of Ghana Medical and Research Centre (Protocol Identification Number: UGMC-IRB/MSRC/011/2022).

Informed Consent Statement: No informed consent was sought as there was no direct contact with patients or their guardians. The data collected was obtained directly from patients' medical records. This is in line with other similar studies undertaken by the co-authors [20,67–73].

Data Availability Statement: Additional data are available from the corresponding authors on reasonable request.

Acknowledgments: We acknowledge the management and staff of UGMC especially Josephine Mensah (the head of the pharmacy department).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Khan, M.; Adil, S.F.; Alkhathlan, H.Z.; Tahir, M.N.; Saif, S.; Khan, M.; Khan, S.T. COVID-19: A Global Challenge with Old History, Epidemiology and Progress So Far. *Molecules* 2020, 26, 39. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Aljazeera. Egypt Confirms Coronavirus Case, the First in Africa. Available online: https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2020/2/1 4/egypt-confirms-coronavirus-case-the-first-in-africa (accessed on 21 June 2021).

- Ogunleye, O.O.; Basu, D.; Mueller, D.; Sneddon, J.; Seaton, R.A.; Yinka-Ogunleye, A.F.; Wamboga, J.; Miljković, N.; Mwita, J.C.; Rwegerera, G.M.; et al. Response to the Novel Corona Virus (COVID-19) Pandemic Across Africa: Successes, Challenges, and Implications for the Future. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2020, *11*, 1205. [CrossRef]
- 4. Afriyie, D.K.; Asare, G.A.; Amponsah, S.K.; Godman, B. COVID-19 pandemic in resource-poor countries: Challenges, experiences and opportunities in Ghana. J. Infect. Dev. Ctries. 2020, 14, 838–843. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ayouni, I.; Maatoug, J.; Dhouib, W.; Zammit, N.; Ben Fredj, S.; Ghammam, R.; Ghannem, H. Effective public health measures to mitigate the spread of COVID-19: A systematic review. *BMC Public Health* 2021, 21, 1015. [CrossRef]
- 6. Nejadghaderi, S.A.; Saghazadeh, A.; Rezaei, N. Health Care Policies and COVID-19 Prevalence: Is There Any Association? *Int. J. Health Serv.* **2022**, *52*, 9–22. [CrossRef]
- Republic of Ghana Ministry of Health. Provisional Standard Treatment Guidelines for Novel Coronavirus Infection: COVID-19 Guidelines for Ghana. Available online: https://www.moh.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/COVID-19-STG-JUNE-2020 -1.pdf (accessed on 21 June 2021).
- Kluge, H.H.P.; Wickramasinghe, K.; Rippin, H.L.; Mendes, R.; Peters, D.H.; Kontsevaya, A.; Breda, J. Prevention and control of non-communicable diseases in the COVID-19 response. *Lancet* 2020, 395, 1678–1680. [CrossRef]
- Abbas, K.; Procter, S.R.; van Zandvoort, K.; Clark, A.; Funk, S.; Mengistu, T.; Hogan, D.; Dansereau, E.; Jit, M.; Flasche, S. Routine childhood immunisation during the COVID-19 pandemic in Africa: A benefit-risk analysis of health benefits versus excess risk of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Lancet Glob. Health* 2020, 8, e1264–e1272. [CrossRef]
- Causey, K.; Fullman, N.; Sorensen, R.J.D.; Galles, N.C.; Zheng, P.; Aravkin, A.; Danovaro-Holliday, M.C.; Martinez-Piedra, R.; Sodha, S.V.; Velandia-González, M.P.; et al. Estimating global and regional disruptions to routine childhood vaccine coverage during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020: A modelling study. *Lancet* 2021, 398, 522–534. [CrossRef]
- Schellack, N.; Strydom, M.; Pepper, M.S.; Herd, C.L.; Hendricks, C.L.; Bronkhorst, E.; Meyer, J.C.; Padayachee, N.; Bangalee, V.; Truter, I. Social Media and COVID-19; Perceptions and Public Deceptions of Ivermectin, Colchicine and Hydroxychloroquine: Lessons for Future Pandemics. *Antibiotics* 2022, *11*, 445. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sefah, I.A.; Ogunleye, O.O.; Essah, D.O.; Opanga, S.A.; Butt, N.; Wamaitha, A.; Guantai, A.N.; Chikowe, I.; Khuluza, F.; Kibuule, D.; et al. Rapid Assessment of the Potential Paucity and Price Increases for Suggested Medicines and Protection Equipment for COVID-19 Across Developing Countries with a Particular Focus on Africa and the Implications. *Front. Pharmacol.* 2021, 11, 588106. [CrossRef]
- Abena, P.M.; Decloedt, E.H.; Bottieau, E.; Suleman, F.; Adejumo, P.; Sam-Agudu, N.A.; Muyembe TamFum, J.-J.; Seydi, M.; Eholie, S.P.; Mills, E.J.; et al. Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine for the Prevention or Treatment of novel coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in Africa: Caution for Inappropriate Off-label Use in Healthcare Settings. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 2020, 102, 1184–1188. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- RECOVERY Collaborative Group; Horby, P.; Mafham, M.; Linsell, L.; Bell, J.L.; Staplin, N.; Emberson, J.R.; Wiselka, M.; Ustianowski, A.; Elmahi, E.; et al. Effect of Hydroxychloroquine in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2020, 383, 2030–2040. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Dyer, O. COVID-19: Remdesivir has little or no impact on survival, WHO trial shows. BMJ 2020, 371, m4057. [CrossRef]
- 16. Ferner, R.E.; Aronson, J.K. Chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine in COVID-19. *BMJ* **2020**, *369*, m1432. [CrossRef]
- 17. RECOVERY Collaborative Group; Horby, P.; Lim, W.S.; Emberson, J.R.; Mafham, M.; Bell, J.L.; Linsell, L.; Staplin, N.; Brightling, C.; Ustianowski, A.; et al. Dexamethasone in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2021**, *384*, 693–704.
- Langford, B.J.; So, M.; Raybardhan, S.; Leung, V.; Soucy, J.-P.R.; Westwood, D.; Daneman, N.; MacFadden, D.R. Antibiotic prescribing in patients with COVID-19: Rapid review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Microbiol. Infect.* 2021, 27, 520–531. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Alshaikh, F.S.; Godman, B.; Sindi, O.N.; Seaton, R.A.; Kurdi, A. Prevalence of bacterial coinfection and patterns of antibiotics pre-scribing in patients with COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE* 2022, 17, e0272375. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Chowdhury, K.; Haque, M.; Nusrat, N.; Adnan, N.; Islam, S.; Lutfor, A.B.; Begum, D.; Rabbany, A.; Karim, E.; Malek, A.; et al. Management of Children Admitted to Hospitals across Bangladesh with Suspected or Confirmed COVID-19 and the Implications for the Future: A Nationwide Cross-Sectional Study. *Antibiotics* 2022, 11, 105. [CrossRef]
- Kumar, S.; Haque, M.; Shetty, A.; Choudhary, S.; Bhatt, R.; Sinha, V.; Manohar, B.; Chowdhury, K.; Nusrat, N.; Jahan, N.; et al. Characteristics and Management of Children with Suspected COVID-19 Admitted to Hospitals in India: Implications for Future Care. *Cureus* 2022, 14, e27230. [CrossRef]
- Ramzan, K.; Shafiq, S.; Raees, I.; Mustafa, Z.U.; Salman, M.; Khan, A.H.; Meyer, J.C.; Godman, B. Co-Infections, Secondary Infections, and Antimicrobial Use in Patients Hospitalized with COVID-19 during the First Five Waves of the Pandemic in Pakistan; Findings and Implications. *Antibiotics* 2022, 11, 789. [CrossRef]
- Rawson, T.M.; Moore, L.S.P.; Zhu, N.; Ranganathan, N.; Skolimowska, K.; Gilchrist, M.; Satta, G.; Cooke, G.; Holmes, A.H. Bacterial and Fungal Coinfection in Individuals with Coronavirus: A Rapid Review to Support COVID-19 Antimicrobial Prescribing. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2020, *71*, 2459–2468. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lai, C.-C.; Chen, S.-Y.; Ko, W.-C.; Hsueh, P.-R. Increased antimicrobial resistance during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* 2021, 57, 106324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Lucien, M.A.B.; Canarie, M.F.; Kilgore, P.E.; Jean-Denis, G.; Fénélon, N.; Pierre, M.; Cerpa, M.; Joseph, G.A.; Maki, G.; Zervos, M.J.; et al. Antibiotics and antimicrobial resistance in the COVID-19 era: Perspective from resource-limited settings. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 104, 250–254. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Ghosh, S.; Bornman, C.; Zafer, M.M. Antimicrobial Resistance Threats in the emerging COVID-19 pandemic: Where do we stand? J. Infect. Public Health 2021, 14, 555–560. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Hsu, J. How COVID-19 is accelerating the threat of antimicrobial resistance. BMJ 2020, 369, m1983. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Godman, B.; Egwuenu, A.; Wesangula, E.; Schellack, N.; Kalungia, A.C.; Tiroyakgosi, C.; Kgatlwane, J.; Mwita, J.C.; Patrick, O.; Niba, L.L.; et al. Tackling antimicrobial resistance across sub-Saharan Africa: Current challenges and implications for the future. *Expert Opin. Drug Saf.* 2022, 21, 1089–1111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 29. Cui, X.; Zhao, Z.; Zhang, T.; Guo, W.; Guo, W.; Zheng, J.; Zhang, J.; Dong, C.; Na, R.; Zheng, L.; et al. A systematic review and meta-analysis of children with coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *J. Med. Virol.* **2020**, *93*, 1057–1069. [CrossRef]
- Ma, X.; Liu, S.; Chen, L.; Zhuang, L.; Zhang, J.; Xin, Y. The clinical characteristics of pediatric inpatients with SARS-CoV-2 infection: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *J. Med. Virol.* 2021, 93, 234–240. [CrossRef]
- Howard-Jones, A.R.; Bowen, A.C.; Danchin, M.; Koirala, A.; Sharma, K.; Yeoh, D.K.; Burgner, D.P.; Crawford, N.W.; Goeman, E.; Gray, P.E.; et al. COVID-19 in children: I. Epidemiology, prevention and indirect impacts. *J. Paediatr. Child Health* 2021, 58, 39–45. [CrossRef]
- 32. Mansourian, M.; Ghandi, Y.; Habibi, D.; Mehrabi, S. COVID-19 infection in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical features and laboratory findings. *Arch. De Pédiatrie* 2021, *28*, 242–248. [CrossRef]
- Irfan, O.; Muttalib, F.; Tang, K.; Jiang, L.; Lassi, Z.S.; Bhutta, Z. Clinical characteristics, treatment and outcomes of paediatric COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Arch. Dis. Child.* 2021, 106, 440–448. [CrossRef]
- Olorunsaiye, C.Z.; Yusuf, K.K.; Reinhart, K.; Salihu, H.M. COVID-19 and Child Vaccination: A Systematic Approach to Closing the Immunization Gap. Int. J. Matern. Child Health AIDS (IJMA) 2020, 9, 381–385. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 35. Kumar, G.; Mukherjee, A.; Sharma, R.K.; Menon, G.R.; Sahu, D.; Wig, N.; Panda, S.; Rao, V.V.; Singh, S.; Guleria, R.; et al. Clinical profile of hospitalized COVID-19 patients in first & second wave of the pandemic: Insights from an Indian registry based observational study. *Indian J. Med. Res.* 2021, 153, 619–628. [PubMed]
- Zeiser, F.A.; Donida, B.; da Costa, C.A.; Ramos, G.D.O.; Scherer, J.N.; Barcellos, N.T.; Alegretti, A.P.; Ikeda, M.L.R.; Müller, A.P.W.C.; Bohn, H.C.; et al. First and second COVID-19 waves in Brazil: A cross-sectional study of patients' characteristics related to hospitalization and in-hospital mortality. *Lancet Reg. Health Am.* 2021, 6, 100107. [CrossRef]
- 37. Kumar, S.; Haque, M.; Shetty, A.; Acharya, J.; Kumar, M.; Sinha, V.K.; Manohar, B.; Gowere, M.; Godman, B. Current management of children with COVID-19 in hospitals in India; Pilot study and findings. *Adv. Hum. Biol.* 2022, *12*, 16–21.
- 38. Mantovani, A.; Rinaldi, E.; Zusi, C.; Beatrice, G.; Saccomani, M.D.; Dalbeni, A. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in children and/or adolescents: A meta-analysis. *Pediatr. Res.* 2020, *89*, 733–737. [CrossRef]
- 39. Mardi, P.; Esmaeili, M.; Iravani, P.; Abdar, M.E.; Pourrostami, K.; Qorbani, M. Characteristics of Children with Kawasaki Disease-Like Signs in COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review. *Front. Pediatr.* **2021**, *9*, 625377. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 40. Yasuhara, J.; Watanabe, K.; Takagi, H.; Sumitomo, N.; Kuno, T. COVID-19 and multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **2021**, *56*, 837–848. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dewi, R.; Kaswandani, N.; Karyanti, M.R.; Setyanto, D.B.; Pudjiadi, A.H.; Hendarto, A.; Djer, M.M.; Prayitno, A.; Yuniar, I.; Indawati, W.; et al. Mortality in children with positive SARS-CoV-2 polymerase chain reaction test: Lessons learned from a tertiary referral hospital in Indonesia. *Int. J. Infect. Dis.* 2021, 107, 78–85. [CrossRef]
- 42. Cura Yayla, B.C.; Özsürekçi, Y.; Aykaç, K.; Derin Oygar, P.; Laçinel Gürlevik, S.; İlbay, S.; Kukul, M.G.; Karahan, S.; Cengiz, A.B.; Ceyhan, M. Characteristics and Management of Children with COVID-19 in Turkey. *Balk. Med. J.* **2020**, *37*, 341–347. [CrossRef]
- Mahmoudi, S.; Mehdizadeh, M.; Badv, R.S.; Navaeian, A.; Pourakbari, B.; Rostamyan, M.; Ekbatani, M.S.; Eshaghi, H.; Abdolsalehi, M.R.; Alimadadi, H.; et al. The Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Children: A Study in an Iranian Children's Referral Hospital. *Infect. Drug Resist.* 2020, 13, 2649–2655. [CrossRef]
- 44. Ogunleye, O.O.; Godman, B.; Fadare, J.O.; Mudenda, S.; Adeoti, A.O.; Yinka-Ogunleye, A.F.; Ogundele, S.O.; Oyawole, M.R.; Schönfeldt, M.; Rashed, W.M.; et al. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Pandemic across Africa: Current Status of Vaccinations and Implications for the Future. *Vaccines* 2022, *10*, 1553. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 45. Sefah, I.A.; Denoo, E.Y.; Bangalee, V.; Kurdi, A.; Sneddon, J.; Godman, B. Appropriateness of surgical antimicrobial prophylaxis in a teaching hospital in Ghana: Findings and implications. *JAC Antimicrob. Resist.* **2022**, *4*, dlac102. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Sefah, I.A.; Essah, D.O.; Kurdi, A.; Sneddon, J.; Alalbila, T.M.; Kordorwu, H.; Godman, B. Assessment of adherence to pneumonia guidelines and its determinants in an ambulatory care clinic in Ghana: Findings and implications for the future. *JAC Antimicrob. Resist.* 2021, 3, dlab080. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Campbell, S.M.; Meyer, J.; Godman, B. Why Compliance to National Prescribing Guidelines is Important Especially across Sub-Saharan Africa and Suggestions for the Future. *J. Biomed. Pharm. Sci.* **2021**, *4*, 1–7.
- 48. Hussain, M.; Al Mamun, M.A. COVID-19 in children in Bangladesh: Situation analysis. *Asia Pac. J. Paediatr. Child Health* **2020**, *3*, 59–65.
- 49. Child Rights International. COVID-19: State of Children in Ghana. Available online: https://crighana.org/wp-content/uploads/ 2020/11/COVID19-STATE-OF-CHILDREN-IN-GHANA_EDIT.pdf (accessed on 21 June 2021).

- 50. Karim, S.S.A.; Karim, Q.A. Omicron SARS-CoV-2 variant: A new chapter in the COVID-19 pandemic. *Lancet* 2021, 398, 2126–2128. [CrossRef]
- Mishra, M.; Erman, M.U.S. FDA Authorizes First COVID-19 Shot for Young Kids. Available online: https://www.reuters.com/ world/us/us-fda-expected-authorize-first-covid-19-vaccine-young-children-friday-nyt-2021-10-29/ (accessed on 21 June 2021).
- 52. Yasuhara, J.; Kuno, T.; Takagi, H.; Sumitomo, N. Clinical characteristics of COVID-19 in children: A systematic review. *Pediatr. Pulmonol.* **2020**, *55*, 2565–2575. [CrossRef]
- Castagnoli, R.; Votto, M.; Licari, A.; Brambilla, I.; Bruno, R.; Perlini, S.; Rovida, F.; Baldanti, F.; Marseglia, G.L. Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) Infection in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *JAMA Pediatr.* 2020, 174, 882–889. [CrossRef]
- Forrest, C.B.; Burrows, M.E.K.; Mejias, A.; Razzaghi, M.H.; Christakis, D.; Jhaveri, R.; Lee, G.M.; Pajor, N.M.; Rao, S.; Thacker, D.; et al. Severity of Acute COVID-19 in Children <18 Years Old March 2020 to December 2021. *Pediatrics* 2022, 149, e2021055765. [CrossRef]
- 55. Jampani, M.; Chandy, S.J. Increased antimicrobial use during COVID-19: The risk of advancing the threat of antimicrobial resistance. *Health Sci. Rep.* **2021**, *4*, e459. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- NICE. COVID-19 Rapid Guideline: Managing COVID-19. Available online: https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng191 /resources/covid19-rapid-guideline-managing-covid19-pdf-51035553326 (accessed on 21 June 2021).
- 57. National Institutes of Health. COVID-19 Treatment Guidelines; Anti-SARS-CoV-2 Antibody Products. Available online: https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/ (accessed on 17 January 2022).
- WHO. Clinical Management of COVID-19: Living Guideline. Available online: https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/ WHO-2019-nCoV-Clinical-2022.2 (accessed on 21 June 2021).
- 59. Murray, C.J.; Ikuta, K.S.; Sharara, F.; Swetschinski, L.; Aguilar, G.R.; Gray, A.; Han, C.; Bisignano, C.; Rao, P.; Wool, E.; et al. Global burden of bacterial antimicrobial resistance in 2019: A systematic analysis. *Lancet* **2022**, *399*, 629–655. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Adebisi, Y.A.; Jimoh, N.D.; Ogunkola, I.O.; Uwizeyimana, T.; Olayemi, A.H.; Ukor, N.A.; Lucero-Prisno, D.E. The use of antibiotics in COVID-19 management: A rapid review of national treatment guidelines in 10 African countries. *Trop. Med. Health* 2021, 49, 51. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Anand Paramadhas, B.D.; Tiroyakgosi, C.; Mpinda-Joseph, P.; Morokotso, M.; Matome, M.; Sinkala, F.; Gaolebe, M.; Malone, B.; Molosiwa, E.; Shanmugam, M.G.; et al. Point prevalence study of antimicrobial use among hospitals across Botswana; findings and implications. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 2019, 17, 535–546. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Nusrat, N.; Haque, M.; Chowdhury, K.; Adnan, N.; Lutfor, A.B.; Karim, E.; Hassan, M.; Rabbany, A.; Begum, D.; Hasan, M.N.; et al. Pilot Study on the Current Management of Children with COVID-19 In Hospitals in Bangladesh; Findings and Implications. *Bangladesh J. Med. Sci.* 2021, 20, 188–198. [CrossRef]
- 63. Versporten, A.; Zarb, P.; Caniaux, I.; Gros, M.-F.; Drapier, N.; Miller, M.; Jarlier, V.; Nathwani, D.; Goossens, H.; Koraqi, A.; et al. Antimicrobial consumption and resistance in adult hospital inpatients in 53 countries: Results of an internet-based global point prevalence survey. *Lancet Glob.* **2018**, *6*, e619–e629. [CrossRef]
- 64. Brink, A.J.; Messina, A.P.; Feldman, C.; Richards, G.A.; van den Bergh, D. From guidelines to practice: A pharmacist-driven prospective audit and feedback improvement model for peri-operative antibiotic prophylaxis in 34 South African hospitals. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* **2017**, *72*, 1227–1234. [CrossRef]
- 65. Niaz, Q.; Godman, B.; Campbell, S.; Kibuule, D. Compliance to prescribing guidelines among public health care facilities in Na-mibia; findings and implications. *Int. J. Clin. Pharm.* **2020**, *42*, 1227–1236. [CrossRef]
- Saleem, Z.; Godman, B.; Cook, A.; Khan, M.A.; Campbell, S.M.; Seaton, R.A.; Siachalinga, L.; Haseeb, A.; Amir, A.; Kurdi, A.; et al. Ongoing Efforts to Improve Antimicrobial Utilization in Hospitals among African Countries and Implications for the Future. *Antibiotics* 2022, 11, 1824. [CrossRef]
- 67. Godman, B.; Momanyi, L.; Opanga, S.; Nyamu, D.; Oluka, M.; Kurdi, A. Antibiotic prescribing patterns at a leading referral hospital in Kenya: A point prevalence survey. *J. Res. Pharm. Pract.* **2019**, *8*, 149–154. [CrossRef]
- 68. Okoth, C.; Opanga, S.; Okalebo, F.; Oluka, M.; Kurdi, A.; Godman, B. Point prevalence survey of antibiotic use and resistance at a referral hospital in Kenya: Findings and implications. *Hosp. Pract.* **2018**, *46*, 128–136. [CrossRef]
- Mustafa, Z.U.; Salman, M.; Yasir, M.; Godman, B.; Majeed, H.A.; Kanwal, M.; Iqbal, M.; Riaz, M.B.; Hayat, K.; Hasan, S.S. Antibiotic consumption among hospitalized neonates and children in Punjab province, Pakistan. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 2021, 20, 931–939. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Dlamini, N.N.; Meyer, J.C.; Kruger, D.; Kurdi, A.; Godman, B.; Schellack, N. Feasibility of using point prevalence surveys to assess antimicrobial utilisation in public hospitals in South Africa: A pilot study and implications. *Hosp. Pract.* 2019, 47, 88–95. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Skosana, P.; Schellack, N.; Godman, B.; Kurdi, A.; Bennie, M.; Kruger, D.; Meyer, J. A point prevalence survey of antimicrobial utilisation patterns and quality indices amongst hospitals in South Africa; findings and implications. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 2021, 19, 1353–1366. [CrossRef]

- Saleem, Z.; Hassali, M.A.; Versporten, A.; Godman, B.; Hashmi, F.K.; Goossens, H.; Saleem, F. A multicenter point prevalence survey of antibiotic use in Punjab, Pakistan: Findings and implications. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* 2019, 17, 285–293. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 73. Kurdi, A.; Hasan, A.J.; Baker, K.I.; Seaton, R.A.; Ramzi, Z.S.; Sneddon, J.; Godman, B. A multicentre point prevalence survey of hospital antibiotic prescribing and quality indices in the Kurdistan regional government of Northern Iraq: The need for urgent action. *Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther.* **2021**, *19*, 805–814. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.